BD 206 213

HE 014 168

TITLE INSTITUTION

Basic Grants: End-of-Year Report, 1979-80. Office of Student Financial-Assistance (ED).

Washington. D.C.

PÜB DATE

May & 81

NOTE

152p.

AVÁILABLÉ FROM

U.S. Department of Education, Basic Grant Branch, DPPD/OSFA, 400 Maryland Ave., S.W., Washington, DC

20202

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC07 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

\*College Students: Databases: Eligibility: Family Income: \*Federal Aid: \*Financial Aid Applicants: Grants: Higher Education: Institutional

Characteristics: Need Analysis (Student Pinancial Aid): \*Student Characteristics: Student Costs:

\*Student Financial Aid

IDENTIFIERS

\*Basic Educational Opportunity Grants

ABSTRACT

The 1979-80 end-of-year report on the Basic Educational Opportunity Grant (BEOG) program is presented. The BEOG program is the largest of six federal student financial aid programs. During the 1979-80 academic year, 2,537,835 individuals, or approximately 29 percent of all undergraduates in the United States shared over \$2.5 billion in grants from BEOGs. The primary feature that distinguished the BEOG program from other forms of financial assistance is its entitlement concept: all students meeting certain criteria are quaranteed aid, with the amount of aid determined by financial need and educational cost. The major portion of the report is statistical tables, accompanied by narrative, that describe in detail selected aspects of program activity. Contents include the following: general information such as the total number of applications processed, the number of grant recipients, the types and number of institutions participating in the BEOG program, and typical income-related characteristics of applicants and recipients. An in-depth analysis of selected demographic characteristics of applicants and recipients and the impact of these characteristics on grant levels is included. The interrelationship of factors such as recipient age, family income, dependency status, student eligibility index, and educational cost is examined. Summary information is provided on the numbers, type, control, and location of BEDG participating institutions. Selected aspects of the Multiple Data Entry Application Processing System are examined, and information about the eligibility status of applicants by income level is presented. A glossary is included. (SW)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.



ED206213

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

CENTER TERIC)
This document has been reproreceived from the person or or

real second



#### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20202

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
FOR POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION
OFFICE OF STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

May, 1981

Dear Colleague:

Enclosed for your information is the Basic Educational Opportunity Grant Program "End-of-Year Report" for the 1979-80 award period. The "End-of-Year Report" contains general information and statistical tables based on merged applicant/recipient data. The report also includes a brief description of program trends for award periods 1973-74 through 1979-80, as well as summary tables for 1979-80 regarding various characteristics of Basic Grant recipients. A new section has been added this year which presents, for comparative purposes, summary statistics for the 1979-80 Basic Grant applicants.

It is intended that this report be used as a summary status guide or desktop reference manual on the Basic Grant Program.

We hope that this information will be useful to you. We appreciate your continuing interest and support of the Basic Grant Program.

If you have any questions or comments concerning this report, please contact Joseph A, Vignone, Branch Chief, Basic Grant Branch, DPPD/OSFA, Room 4318, ROB-3, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202.

Sincerely,

James W. Moore

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Student Financial Assistance

Enclosure

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u> </u>	en e		Page
INTRODUCTI	ON		, ' <b>i</b>
CHAPTER 1:	OP	CHLIGHTS OF THE BÁSIC ÉDUCATIONAL PORTUNITY GRANT PROGRAM-AWARD RIOD 1979-80	<b>.</b>
	<u>Tat</u>	<u>)]e</u>	· .
	1.	Basic Educational Opportunity Grant Program Summary Statistics for Cross-Year Reference	8
CHAPTER 21	SEL RE	ECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF APPLICANTS/ CIPIENTS-AWARD PERIOD: 1979-80	
	Tab	lies.	
	2.	Distribution of Basic Crant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index and Family Income	12
	3.	Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income and Grant Level	18
	- <b>4.</b> .	Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index and Grant Level	24
	5.	Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility index and Type of Institution	28
,	<i>6</i> .	Billion and Bullion Ballion and Live	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·O•	Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income and Type of Institution	32
	7.	Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index and Educational Cost	38
	<b>8.</b>	Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income and Educational Cost	
	9.	Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income and Net Asset Level	48
, ,	10.	Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Age and Family Income	52
	11.	Summary Statistics for Basic Grant Applicants	



### TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

• •	•				•	
	٠ ;	7.3		• . ,	Page	•
CHAPTER 3:		I FITUTIONS PARTICIPATING IN ICATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GR				
		MARY INFORMATION AWARD				· · · ·
•	Tabl	<u>es</u>	,			,
*	13.	Summary Statistics by Type or	f Institution		64	.'
	14.	Basic Grant Expenditures, Red Average Grant by Type and Co Institution			~ 66	
•	15.	Distribution of Basic Grant Re Average Grant by-Grant Level Institution			<b>72</b>	
	16.	Distribution of Basic Grant Re Educational Cost and Grant Le		•	78	•
	17.	Distribution of Basic Grant Re Expenditures by State and Cor Institution		.7	84	<del>-</del> ,•
	-18.	Distribution of Basic Grant Re Expenditures by Recipient's St Residence and Control of Insti	ate of Legal		88	<del>.</del>
CHAPTER 4:	PRO	MULTIPLE DATA ENTRY APP CESSING SYSTEM-SUMMARY ARD PERIOD 1979-80	•	ÓN -	•	٠
	Tabl	<u>es</u>	•			.4
	19.	Multiple Data Entry Summary	Statistics		92	• • •
<b>k</b> .	20:	Distribution of Basic Grant Re Family Income and Multiple D Application		•	96	** ( *
CHAPTER 5:		LICANT STATISTICS-SUMMAR ARD PERIOD 1979-80	Y INFORMA	TION	•	-
. 0.	Tabl	<u>.</u> es	~			
	21.	Applicant Statistics	· ·	••	102	8-
GLOSSARY	•	٠,,,	• -		107	

### LIST OF GRAPHS AND CHARTS

		Page
Summary Statistics for Cross-Year Reference	i.	. 2
Qualified Applicants by Dependency Status and Income Level-Award Period 1979-80	3	4
Distribution of Basic Educational Opportunity Grant Recipients by Level of Family Income-Award Period 1979-80		5
Basic Grant Recipients by Type of Institution Attended-Award Period 1979-80		`\ 6
Basic Grant Applicants/Recipients by Multiple Data Entry Source-Award Period 1979-80	•	.7
Number of Basic Educational Opportunity Grant Recipients by State of Institution Attended-Award Period 1979-90		87
Breakdown of Applicants by Multiple Data Entry Source and Jutcome of Application Processing-	• • .	
Award Period 1979-80		95



#### IN'TRODUCTION

The Basic Educational Opportunity Grant Program (BEOG), administered by the Department of Education's Office of Student Financial Assistance, is the largest of 6 student financial aid programs authorized under Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 and its amendments. The program offered grants ranging from \$200 to \$1,800 in the 1979-80 academic year to eligible individuals so that they could further their postsecondary education. Since its inception in 1973, the number of BEOG recipients has grown over twelve-fold. During the 1979-80 school year alone, 2,537,835 individuals, or approximately 29 percent of all undergraduates in the United States shared over \$2.5 billion in grants.

The primary feature that distinguishes the Basic Educational Opportunity Grant Program from other forms of financial assistance is its entitlement concept. All students meeting certain criteria are guaranteed aid, with the amount of aid determined by financial need and educational cost. To be eligible for a grant an individual must meet certain residency requirements, be enrolled at least half-time in an eligible program in a BEOG participating school, and be determined to have sufficient financial need. Financial need is calculated using a formula developed annually by the Department of Education and reviewed by Congress. This formula, applied consistently to all applicants, takes into account such indicators of financial strength as income, assets, and family size, and produces a Student Eligibility Index (SEI). The index is combined with the cost of a student's education and the student's enrollment status (full or part-time) to determine the amount of the Basic Grant.

ERIC

The other major programs providing student financial assistance in order of decreasing size are as follows: (1) Guaranteed Student Loan; (2) National Direct Student Loan; (3) Collège Work-Study; (4) Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant; (5) State Student Incentive Grant.

For a full-time student the educational cost must exceed the eligibility index by about 150 points to quality for the minimum grant of \$200. The size of the grant increases as the eligibility index decreases so that an applicant with an eligibility index of zero may receive the maximum award equal to half the educational cost. This is subject to an overall award limit of \$1,800, and annual edu ational costs must be at least \$400 to qualify for any award. Proportionately smaller, awards are made to part-time students.

Prior to 1981-82, students could receive Basic Grants for up to four full years. They could, however, receive grants for an additional year if they were enrolled in a five-year program leading to an undergraduate degree or were required to take up to one year's additional noncredit remedial course work.

#### PURPOSE AND ORGANIZATION OF THE 1979-80 END-OF-YEAR REPORT

Since 1973 the Basic Grant Program Analysis Section of the Office of Student Financial Assistance has compiled statistical information on BEOG program activity. The information provides a basis for program planning and development and is incorporated each year into an End-of-Year Report. This report, designed to be used as a desk top reference manual, can be helpful to higher education officials and financial aid administrators in better understanding current patterns of Basic Grant disbursements.

The core of the 1979-80 End-of-Year Report is a set of tables, accompanied by narrative, which describes in detail selected aspects of program activity. The tables are organized into five major chapters. Chapter 1 highlights the most significant program activity occurring during the 1979-80 award period. This chapter contains general information such as the total number of applications processed, the number of grant recipients, the types and number of institutions participating in the BEOG program, and typical income-related characteristics of applicants and recipients. Chapter 2 is an in-depth analysis of selected demographic characteristics of applicants and recipients and the impact of these characteristics on grant levels. The interrelationship of factors such as recipient age, family income, dependency status, Student Eligibility Index, and educational cost is examined. Chapter 3 provides summary information on the numbers, type, control, and location of BEOG

<sup>2/</sup> Formerly the Pureau of Student Financial Assistance in the Department of Health, Education and Welfare.



participating institutions. Chapter 4 looks at selected aspects of the Multiple Data Entry Application Processing System. Chapter 5 presents information about the eligibility status of applicants by income level. 3/

A glossary at the end of Chapter 5 defines terms as they are used in this report. The reader may find it useful to scan the glossary before continuing further.

To facilitate cross-year comparisons, this report's format is consistent with the 1978-79 report. All tables are the same, except for Table 12 which contained information on Basic Grant applicants who also reported Social Security education benefits. The 1979-80 application did not separate Social Security education benefits from other Social Security benefits. Therefore, Table 12 could not be produced for this report. The succeeding table numbers have not been changed in this report in order to maintain consistency with the 1978-79 report.

#### DATA BASES, FOR END-OF-YEAR REPORT TABLES.

All of the tables are derived from the same data bases: the 1979-80 Basic Grant applicant history file and the 1979-80 Basic Grant recipient file. The recipient file used in this report contained a much larger proportion of validated disbursements than had been available for previous reports. In instances where the recipient data were not yet validated, expected disbursements were used. The tables do not reflect monies recovered from overawards or ineligible recipients. This includes recipients who drop out of school part way through the year.



It is recognized that distributions of recipients based on combinations of certain factors, such as family income and type of institution a student chooses to attend, do not fall clearly into one of the above categories, but instead encompass elements of two categories. Distributions of this nature have been placed in the category which seems best to highlight the materials presented.

participating institutions. Chapter 4 looks at selected aspects of the Multiple Data Entry Application Processing System. Chapter 5 presents information about the eligibility status of applicants by income level. 3/

A glossary at the end of Chapter 5 defines terms as they are used in this report. The reader may find it useful to scan the glossary before continuing further.

To facilitate cross-year comparisons, this report's format is consistent with the 1978-79 report. All tables are the same, except for Table 12. Table 12 contained information on Basic Grant applicants who also reported Social Security education benefits. The 1979-80 application did not separate Social Security education benefits from other Social Security benefits. Therefore, Table 12 could not be produced for this report. The succeeding table numbers have not been changed in this report to maintain consistency with the 1978-79 report.

#### DATA BASES FOR IND-OF-YEAR REPORT TABLES

All of the tables are derived from the same data bases: the 1979-80 Basic Grant applicant history file and the 1979-80 Basic Grant recipient file. The recipient file used in this report contained a much larger proportion of validated disbursements than had been available for previous reports. In instances where the recipient data were not yet validated, expected disbursements were used. The less do not reflect monies recovered from overawards or ineligible recipients. This includes recipients who drop out of school part way through the year.

It is recognized that distributions of recipients based on combinations of certain factors, such as family income and type of institution a student chooses to attend, do not fall clearly into one of the above categories, but instead encompass elements of two categories. Distributions of this nature have been placed in the category which seems best to highlight the materials presented.




#### CHAPTER 1

HIGHLIGHTS OF THE BASIC EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANT PROGRAM AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

### HIGHLIGHTS OF THE BASIC EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANT PROGRAM AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

During the 1979-80 award period, 4,186,716 individuals, or almost one out of every two undergraduate students applied for a Basic Educational Opportunity Grant. Of those individuals who applied for Basic Grants, 61 percent were recipients; 20 percent were determined ineligible to receive support because of insufficient financial need; and 11 percent were found eligible for grants but never submitted a Student Eligibility Report (SER) to the school they planned to attend. The eligibility status of the remaining 8 percent of the applicants could not be determined because they provided insufficient information on the application and did not complete application processing.

#### Summary Statistics for Cross-Year Reference




Comparative statistics in the United States on the total number of students enrolled in undergraduate programs in 1979-80 were compiled by the National Center for Educational Statistics.


From 1978-79 to 1979-80 the BEOG program expanded dramatically both in terms of the number of grant recipients and the amount of the average award. Although the number of applicants rose less than 10 percent (from 3,885,383 to 4,186,716), the number of recipients increased by over a third (from 1,893,000, to 2,537,875), and the average award increased from \$825 to \$987 (19.6%). Total expenditures grew from \$1.56 billion to \$2.50 billion or approximately 60 percent. This expansion in program size resulted primarily from the passage of the Middle Income Student Assistance Act (MISAA) in 1978. The Act set a ceiling of \$25,000 for a family of four, thus increasing the number of students eligible for a minimum grant. The increase in the number of recipients and attendant program expenditures was compounded by a change in the maximum grant from \$1600 to \$1800 and by rising educational costs.


In 1979-80 the percentage of applicants for whom a Student Eligibility Index could not be determined returned to a normal level after a significant increase in the previous year. That increase was due to the introduction of new administrative procedures to tighten edits in the application processing system. The percentage of invalid awards as determined by a validation sample dropped from 1.5 percent in 1978-79 to 1.3 percent in 1979-80.

Of those students who received a Basic Grant for the 1979-80 award period, almost three-fourths were under 22 years of age. Most (approximately 66%) were dependent on their families as their primary source of income; only about one-third were considered financially independent. Over half of the recipients had family incomes of \$9,000 or less, with more independent than dependent students reporting very low incomes (under \$6,000). Reflecting the increased eligibility ceiling, the number of recipients reporting family incomes exceeding \$15,000 rose from 8% in 1978-79 to 27%. Approximately 5 percent of all recipients reported veteran's educational benefits.

### Qualified Applicants By Dependency Status and Income Level = Award Period 1979-80








As would be expected, there was a strong correlation between family income and assets and an applicant's Student Eligibility Index. Generally, the lower an applicant's income and assets, the lower his or her SEI. The correlation between SEI and grant level, however, was not as direct since educational costs and enrollment status impact heavily on the size of the grant awarded. For example, although 38 percent of all students receiving a Basic Grant had an eligibility index of zero, slightly over one-third of these students received grants of over \$1,200.


The majority of 1979-80 Basic Grant recipients were awarded grants ranging from \$400 to \$1,000 with the average grant amount being \$987. This was up \$162 from the 1978-79 award-period. (See Table 1)



Distribution of Basic Educational Opportunity Grant Recipients
by Level of Family Income
Award Period 1979-80




Public institutions continued to attract the largest number of Basic Grant recipients. About 66 percent of all BEOG recipients attended public institutions, while approximately 24 percent attended private non-profit schools. Only 10 percent attended private profit-making schools. Four-year colleges and universities also continued to draw the majority of BEOG recipients. Almost two-thirds of all Basic Grant recipients were enrolled in a four-year college or university. Slightly less than a third attended a school offering a two-year program, while the remaining students attended institutions requiring less than two years of course work, or schools offering other types of nontraditional education. This pattern of enrollment is similar to that for 1978-79.




Students could apply for a 1979-80 Basic Grant through one of four sources. Slightly less than half of all applicants applied through the College Scholarship Service (CSS); one-third submitted BEOG applications directly to the Basic Grant program; and slightly more than 16 percent used the American College Testing Program application form (ACT). A small number of individuals (3%) applied through the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency (PHEAA). Individuals applying for Basic Grants through ACT or BEOG were determined eligible to receive grants slightly more often than those applying through other sources.

Most applicants with family incomes of \$7,500 or less qualified for a Basic Grant as compared to only 56 percent of applicants with incomes over \$15,000. The latter group was comprised almost completely of dependents. Overall 84 percent of qualified applicants received grants, with the balance failing to file Student Eligibility Reports or changing their academic plans.

### Basic Grant Applicants/Recipients by Multiple Data Entry Source (Based on Total Official Applications Processed) Award Peric. 1979-80



#### Recipients by MDE Source



#### TABLE 1

Basic Educational Opportunity Grant Program Summary Statistics for Cross-Year Reference Award Period 1973-74 through 1979-80

Table: 1 summarizes the general applicant and recipient trends of the Basic Grant Program from award period 1973-74, the first year of the program, through award period 1979-80.

The Basic Grant Program experienced a dramatic increase in both the number of applications processed and the number of grant recipients from 1973-74 to 1976-77. Much of this increase was due to the expansion of the population eligible for Basic Grants from full-time treshmen in 1973-74 to all undergraduates attending BEOG participating institutions at least half-time in 1976-77.

Program expansion was more gradual from 1976-77 to 1978-79. During this period, the number of official applications processed increased from 3,590,379 to 3,885,383 and total grant expenditures rose slightly less than 100 million dollars, compared to an over 1.4 billion dollar increase between 1973-74 and 1976-77. During 1978-79, the number of qualified applicants dropped from 2,390,320 to 2,228,603. An inflationary increase in the average grant from \$758 in 1976-77 to \$825 in 1978-79 accompanied the decline in the number of recipients and the growth of total expenditures.

From 1978-79 to 1979-80 there was a large program expansion. While applications only increased from 3,885,383 to 4,186,716 (7:8%), the number of recipients increased from 1,893,000 to 2,537,875 (34.1%). Since the average grant increased from \$825 to \$987 (19.6%), the total expenditures grew from \$1.56 billion to \$2.50 billion (60.6%).

One of the most significant changes in program activity in the last two years was the sharp increase in 1978-79 in the number of students who never received a valid SER and consequently were never determined eligible or ineligible for a grant. These students (making up the category "Number and Percent of Applications Returned for Insufficient Data and Never Resubmitted for Processing" in Table 1) accounted for 12.5 percent of the total applicant population in 1978-79. This jump resulted primarily from the introduction of new and tightened edits into the application processing system and the resulting processing backlog.



These problems were largely resolved in 1979-80 as the number of students not receiving a valid SER dropped to 7.6 percent of the total. Furthermore, the percent of non-qualified applicants dropped significantly from over 30 percent in previous years to 20 percent in 1979-80.



The percentages of Qualified Applicants and Non-Qualified Applicants and the percentage of Applications returned for insufficient Data and Never Re-submitted to Processing add up to 100 percent.

<sup>2.</sup> The minimum Basic Grant for the 1974-75 and 1978-79 Award Periods was \$50 due to reduced funding.

### CHAPTER 2-

SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF BASIC EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANT APPLICANTS/RECIPIENTS--AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

#### TABLE 2

Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients
By Student Eligibility Index and Family Income
Award Period 1979-80

2A - Total 2B - Dependent 2C - Independent

Tables 2A, 2B, and 2C present the distribution of Basic Grant recipients by Student Eligibility Index and family income. The format is similar to previous year reports except that the group for families with income over \$20,000 is divided into two income categoaries: \$20,000-30,000 and over \$30,000.

The tables indicate that during academic year 1979-80, Basic Grants were awarded to 2,537,875 students, of which 1,680,896, or 66 percent, had dependent status and 856,979 or 34 percent had independent status. The percentage of dependents is only slightly larger than last year.

The majority of Basic Grant recipients reported a family income of \$9,000 or less, with a notably larger proportion of independent than dependent recipients in the lower income ranges.

Recipients with family income \$9,000 or less:

Total - 1,355,967 (53% of all recipients)
Dependent - 564,316 (34% of dependents)
Independent - 791,651 (92% of independents)

Recipients with family income greater than \$15,000:

Total - 691,053 (27% of all recipients)
Dependent - 688,741 (41% of dependents)
Independent - 2,312 (.25% of independents)

Half of all recipients received a Student Eligibility Index of 200 or less. Of these, over 70 percent reported a family income of \$6,000 or less. In addition, a much larger portion of independents than dependents received an eligibility index of 200 or less.

Recipients with an eligibility index of 200 or less:

Total - 1,269,029 (50% of all recipients)
Dependent - 622,609 (37% of dependents)
Independent - 646,420 (75% of independents)

A comparison of the data from award periods 1978-79 and 1979-80 shows that the Basic Grant Program experienced a large increase in the number of recipients who reported an income greater than \$15,000 and a small increase in the number reporting an income of \$9,000 or less. This occurred because eligibility was extended to higher income applicants in 1979-80.

Recipients with family income greater than \$15,000:

1978-79 - 150,410 · (8% of all recipients) 1979-80 - 691,051 (27% of all recipients)

Recipients with family income \$9,000 or less:

1978-79 - 1,287,815 (70% of all recipients) 1979-80 - 1,355,967 (53% of all recipients)

The number of students receiving a Student Eligibility Index of 200 or less increased from award period 1978-79 to 1979-80, but these students constituted a smaller percentage of the group of all recipients.

Recipients with an Student Eligibility Index of 200 or less:

1978-79 - 1,030,411 (56% of all recipients) 1979-80 - 1,269,029 (50% of all recipients)

### TABLE 2A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY, INDEX AND FAMILY INCOME AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

#### ALL RECIPIENTS

• .	,	•	• ′	FAMIL	Ym I NC C	HE	• • /			
		-\$1,001-	\$3,001-	\$6,001-	\$9,001-	\$ 15,001- <u>.</u>	\$20,001-			
STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX:	,\$1,0 <u>0</u> 1	3,000	6,000	9,000	15,000	20,000	30,000	\$30,001+*	TOTAL	
0	162,841	302,642	341,332	128,681	35.037	829	160	30	971,552	
	16.76	31.15	35. 13	13:24	3:60	0.08	0.01	0.00	100.00	
	92.03	-91.40	67.01	38.00	7.13	0.26	0.04	0.06	* 38.28	CX
1 200	9,403	17,581	74, 149	98,753	90,455	6.650	464	22	297.477	
	3.16	5.91	24.92	<b>'33. 19</b>	30.40	.2.23	0. 15	0.00	100.00	
	5.31	5.31	14.55	- 29.16	18.42	2.13	0.14	0.04	11.72	
201 400	1, 160	3,536	23, 176°	43.635	112, 197	30,642	4,945	36	219,327	<b>N</b> f
	0.52.	1.61	- 10.56	19.89	51.15	13.97	2.25	9.01	100.00	
• • •	. 0.65	1.06	4.55	12.88	22.85	9.82	1.50	6.07	8.64	
-401 - 600	₹401	2,323	17,317	22,347	93,029	57,347	21,496	401	214,661	, .
	<del>`</del> Q. 18	1.08	8:06	10.41	43.33	26.71	10.01	0.18	100.00	
•	. 0.22 a	(0.70	3.40	6.59	18.95	18.38	6.52	0,81	8.45	
601 800	270	1.785	14,088	16.864	60,432	67,739	43,830	2,384	207,342	١.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0:13	0.86	6.77	16,864 8,13	29.14	`32.67	21.13	- 1.145	100.00	
	0.15	0.53	2.75	4.98	12.31	21.71	13.29	4.82	8, 16	
801 - 1,000	171	1,098	~ 11,905	11,668	· 35,771	63.499	61,112	6,784	192,008	
	. 0.00	0.57	6.20	6.07	18.62	33.07	31.82	3.53	100.00	
	0.09	" o.33 .	2.33	.3.44	7.28	20.35	18.54	· 13.72	7.56	
.001 - 1,200	1 15	772	10,694	7,655	25,643	44,386	70,660-	9.733	169,658	
	0.06	0.45	6.30	4.5	15.11	26. 16	41.64	5.73	100.00	
	0.06	0.23	, 2.09	2.26	5.22	14.22	21.43	19.68	6.68	CX.
.201 - 1,400	81	617	9,035	5,112	21,258	24,832	69,312	14,736	144,983	
•	0.05	0.42	6.23 (	. 3.52	14.66	17.12	47.60	10.16	100.00	
•	0.04	0.18	1:77`	1.50	4.33	7.95	21:02	29.80	5.71	
,40Y - 1,600	2,498	733	7,665	3,914.	17,023	16,070	57,641	15,313	120,867	! N
	2.06	0.60	6.34	3.23	14.09	13.29	47.68		100.00	
*	1.41	0.22	1.50	i 15	3.47	5.15	17.48	30.97	4.76	
DTAL	176,940	33,1,087	509,311	338,629,	490,855	311,994	329,620	49,439	2,527,875	М
•	6.97	13.04	20.06		19.34	12.29	12.98		100.00	RY
	1 100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100:00	100.00	100:00	100.00	

20

### TABLE 2B. DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND FAMILY INCOME AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

#### DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

			Te.		ĴĔĸĀĸŴĬĮĹĿĹ	Y INC	D M .E'	•			•
· . Pratt	STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX:	LESS THAN	\$1,001 <del>.</del> 3,000	\$3,001- 6,000	\$6,001- 69,000	\$9,001- 15,000	\$15,001- 20,000	\$20,001- 30,000	\$30,001+	TOTAL	*
40,000	0	26,567	Έ7̈΄, 63ž	150,7,12	90, 167	30,640	^. 776	140	25	366,659	N:
3		7 .24	18'.44	41.10	24.59	· 8.35	0.21	0.03	0.00	100:00	R%
, <del>1</del>		68.25	78.77	70.50	<b>39</b> . 93	<b>"7.</b> .16	0.25	·0.04	0.05	21.81	CX:
	1 - 200	9,346	15,366	51,575	84,751	87.808	6,620	462	22	255,950	N
3		3.65	6.00	20.15	33.11	34.30	2.58	0-18	1 0.00	100.ÒQ	
÷	and the same of th	24.00	17.89	24.12.	37.53	20.52	2. 13	0.14	0.04	15722	
	201 400	1,12)	1.502	5.317	28,275	108,218	30,593	4,944	35	180,005	N
		0.62	0.83	2.95	15.70	60.11	16.99	2.74	0.01	100.00	
:		, 2.87	174	2.48	12.52	25.29	9.87	, t.50	0.07	10.70	
,	401 - 600	362	4 <b>6</b> 7	2,138	-8.064	87.669	<sup>-</sup> 57,268	21,494	401	177,863	N
,		0.20	0.26	1.20	4.53	49.29	32.19	12.08	0.22	100:00	
		0.92	0.54	4.00	3.57	20.49	18.47	6.52	0.81	10.'58	
į.	601 - 800	247	290	1,338	4,869	53, 172	67,583	43,823	2,384	173,706	M
		0.14	0.16	0.77	2.80	30.61	38.90	25.22	1.37	100.00	
1	Control of the second	0.63	0.33	0.62	2.15	12,42	21.80	13.30	4.82	- 10.33	
	8011 - 4,000.	154	201	. 956	3,462	25.860	63,221	61,101	6,784	161,739	
ž		0.09	0.12	0.59	2.14	15.98	39.08	37.77	4.19	100.00	
		. 0:39	0.23	0.44	1.53	6.04	20.39	18.55	13.72	9.62	
2	1,001 - 1,200	98	100	- 606	. 2,522	14,924	43,998	70,631	9,733	142,612	N 1
· ·	-	0.06	0.07	0.42	1.76	10.46	30.85	49.52	6.82	100.00	
I.		0.25	0.11	0.28	3.11	- 3.48	14.19	21.44	19.69	8.48	
	1,201 - 1,400	63	103	506	1,916	10.920	24,352	69,260	14.736	121,856	
		0.05	0.08	0.41	1:57	8.96	19.98	56.83	12.09	100.00	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.16	0.11	0.23	0.84	2.55	7:85	21.02	29.82	7.24	
1,	1,401 - 1,600	968	. 198~	610 ورسر	1,747	8,828	15,541	57,521	15,293	100,506	M
1		0.96	0.19	0.60	73	8.58	15.46	57.23	15.21	100,500	
		2.48	0.23	0.28	بِ Ö.77 ِ ،	2.01	5.01	17.46	30.94	5.97	
	ŤŎŢĂĹ	38,926	- 85 859. 1	213,758	225 <sub>7</sub> 773: -	427,839	309,952	329,376	. 49,413	1,680,896	M
		2.31	. E 10	12.71	13:43	25. 45	18.43	19.59	2.93	100.00	
1	<b></b>	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	7100.00	100.00	

### TABLE 2C. DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX. AND FAMILY INCOME AWARD PERIOD 1979-80.

#### INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

5.				FEX MILE	YI-N-C-(	)-H-E				
STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX:	LESS THAN \$1,001	\$1,001- 3,000	\$3,001- 6,000	\$6,001- 3,000	\$9,001- 15,000	\$15,001- 20,000	\$20,001- 30,000	\$30,001+	TOTAL	-
0	136,274	235.010	190,620	38,514	4,397	53	2Ö	5	604,893	
1.	22.52 38.73	38.85. 95.83	31.51 64.49	6.36 34.12	0.72 6.97	. 0.00 2.59	0.00	0.00	100.00	
***	(30.75	20.00	07.75	37.12	6.97	2.59	8.19	19.23	70.58	C76
1 - 200	57	2,215	22,574	14,002	. 2,647	. 30	. 2	0	41,527	N
.1	0.13	5.33	54.35	33.71	6.37·	0.07	0.00	0.00	100.00	R%
î	0:04	0.90	7.63	12.40	4.20	1.46	0.81	0.00	* 4.84	C%
201 - 400	. 39	2,034	177859	15,360	3,979	49	1	4	39,322	, A
	0.09	5.17	45.41	39.06	10.11	O. 12	0.00	0.00	100.00	
	0.02	0.82	6.04	13.61	6.31	2.39	0.40	3.84	4.58	
401 - 600	39	. 1,856	15, 179	14,283	5.360	79	. 2	~°O·	36,798	
	0.10	5.04	41.24	38.81	14.56	0.21	0.00	0.00	100.00	
	0.02	0.75	5.13	12.65	8.50	3.86	0.81	0.00	4.29	
601 - 800	23	1.495	12.700	11,995	7,260	. 156	7	0	00 606	
	0.06	4.44	37.75	35.66	21.58	0.46	0.02	0.00	33,636 100.00	
	0.01	0.60	4.29	10.62	11.52	7.63	2.86	٥.٥٥	3.92	
801 - 1,000	17	897	10,949	8,206	9,911	. 278	11		20.000	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.05	2.96	36.17	27.,11	32.74	0:91	0.03	0.00	30,269 100.00	
*. ·	0.01	0.36	3.70	7.27	15.72	13.61	4.50	0.00	3.53	
1.001 - 1.200	17	672	10.088	5, 133	10:719	388	· 29.	0	07.046	
,	0.06	2.48	37.29	18.97	39.63	1.43	0.10	0.00	27,046 100.00	
1	0.01	0.27	3.41	4.54	17.00	19.00	11.88	0.00	3.15	
1.201 - 1.400	18	514	€.529	3,196	10.338	480	52	^	À 40=	
1	0.07	2.22	36.87	13.81	44.70	2.07	0.22	0.00	23,127 100.00	
	0.01	0.20	2.88	2.83	16.40	23.50	21.31	0.00	2.69	
1,401 - 1,600	1,530	535	7,055 ·	2, 167	8,405	52 <b>9</b>	120	20	* , 00 004	
	7.51	2.62	34.64	10.64	41.27	2.59	0.58	0.09	<sup>7</sup> 20,361 100.00	
	1.10	0.21	2.38	1.92	13.33	25.90	49.18	76.92	2.37	
TOTAL	138,014	245,228	295.553	.112,856	63;016	2.042	244	26	856,979	.,
•	16.10	28.61	34.48	13.16	7.35	0.23	- 0.02	0.00	100.00	
1.	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
	<u> </u>	W. 1		<u> </u>					•	

#### TABLE 3

Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients
By Family Income and Grant Level
Award Period 1979-80

3A Total
3B - Dependent
3C - Independent

Tables 3A, 3B, and 3C show the distribution of Basic Grant recipients by family income and grant level. The grant levels shown are in ranges of \$400 for the first two intervals, \$200 for the next three intervals, and \$400 for the sixth interval. The final grant level category indicates the number of students receiving an \$1800 grant, the maximum Basic Grant.

Relative to the total, few students received the maximum grant during the 1979-80 award period. The majority of Basic Grant recipients were awarded grants ranging from \$400 to \$1,199.

Students receiving a \$1,800 grant:

Total - 146,717 (5.8% of all recipients)
Dependent - 69,896 (4.2% of dependents)
Independent - 76,821 (9.0% of independents)

Students receiving a grant from \$400 to \$1,199;

Total -1,581,381 (62,3% of all recipients)
Dependent -1,052,684 (62,6% of dependents)
Independent - 528,697 (61,7% of independents)

The data from these tables illustrate that the greater the recipient's family income, the lower is his/her potential for a large Basic Grant. Only 5.8 percent of recipients were awarded the maximum grant of \$1,800. However, among those recipients with family income of \$6,000 or less, the maximum award was made to 10.7 percent. Of the recipients reporting a family income greater than \$20,000, 66 percent received a grant less than \$800.

It is interesting to note, however, that 25 percent of all recipients reporting an income of \$3,000 or less were awarded a grant below \$800. Educational cost, one determinant of the size of a Basic Grant, is one reason why a relatively large proportion of low income recipients received a grant below \$800. As Table 8 demonstrates, 36 percent of these recipients attended institutions where the educational cost was \$2,100 or less. Another possible reason, as Table 9 indicates, is the 3.4 percent of recipients with income of \$3,000 or less reported net assets greater than \$25,000.



A comparison of the data from award periods 1979-80 and 1978-79 shows that the Basic Grant Program experienced both a decline in the proportion of students receiving grants less than \$800 and a corresponding increase in those awarded grants \$1,200 or greater. (It is important to note that \$1,600 was the maximum grant during award period 1978-79.)

Students receiving a grant less than \$800:

1978-79 - 659,355 (37% of all recipients) 1979-80 - 842,929 (33% of all recipients)

Students receiving a grant \$1,200 or greater:

1978-79 - 421,366 (23% of all recipients) 1979-80 - 719,497 (28% of all recipients)

# TABLE 3A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIFIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND GRANT LEVEL AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

#### ALL RECIPIENTS

1	424 12									
-	<del>-</del>			, .	GRANT	LEVEL			*	
•	FAMILY_INCOME:	\$1- 399	* \$400÷ 	\$800 999	\$1.,000- 1,199	\$1,200- 1,399	\$1,400- 1,799	\$1,800	TOTAL	
1	LESS THAN \$1,001	14,082	34,436	32,956	31,638	23,465	18,866	21,497	176,940	N
1		7.95	19.46	18.62	17.88	13.26	10.66	12.14	100.00	R%
		5.94	5.68	6.34	6.93	7.65	7.08	14.65	6.97	C%
	\$1,001 - 3,000	16,432	- 59,689	67,908	63, 138	44,888	36,974	42.058	331,087	N I
1		4.96	18.02	20.51	19.06	13.55	11.16	. 12.70		R%_
1		6.93	9:85	, 13.07 . <sup>-</sup>	- 13.84		13.87	28.66	13.04	C%
ı	\$3,001 - 6,000	36, 121	107, 102	103,915	× 88 , 339	66,614	62,006	45,217	509,311	N
. 1	,	7.09	21.02	20.40	17.34	13.07	12.17	8.87	100.00	R%
١	7. ·	15.24	17.67	20.01	° 19.36	21.74	23.27,	30.81	20.06	C%
ı	\$6,001 - 9,000	20,115	64,914	70,465	60.386	44,233	56,099	22,417	338,629	N
ı	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5.94	19.16	20.80	17.83	13.06	16.56	6.61	100.00	RX.
ı		8.48	10.71	13.56	13.23	14.43	21.05	15.27	13.34	C%
ı	\$9,001 - 15,000	37,761	90,705	95,636	103,036	78,286	73,463	11.968	490.855	N I
ı	•	7.69	18.47	19,48	20.99	15.94	14.96	2.43	100:00	RX
İ	•	15.93	14.96	18.41	22.58	25.55	27.57	8.15	19.34	C%
I	\$15,001 - 20,000	25,947	86,208	79,248	67,748	35, 185	15, 187	2,471	311,994	N-
ı		8.31	27.63	25.40	21.71	11.27	4.86	0.79	100.00	RX.
-		10.94	_ 14.22	15.26	14.85	11.48	5.70	1.68	12.29	C%
ı	\$20,001 - 30,000	· 69,869	139,325	- 62,709	39,718	13,312	- 3,662	1,025	329,620	N
1		21.19	42.26	19.02	12.04	4.03	1.11	0.31	100.00	" R%
ı	٧, ٠	29.48	22.99	12.07	8.70	4.34	1.37	0.69	12.98	C%
١	\$30,001 +	16,670	23,553	6,467	2.142	379	164	64	49,439	N
I	•	33.71	·47.64	13.08	14.33	0.76	0.33	0.12	100.00	R%
I		7.03	3.88	1.24	0.46	0.12	0.06	0.04 "		c%
I	TOTAL	236,997	605,932	519,304	456, 145	306,359	266,421	146,717	2,537,875	N
1		~ 9.33 <sub>.</sub>	23.87	20.46	17.97	12.07	10.49	5.78	100.00	R%
ı	•	<b>•</b> 100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	cx.

## TABLE 3B DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND GRANT LEVEL AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

#### DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

a system of the system	-81								
	1 .	•		GRANT	T E A E J				
Fride is anone	\$1-	\$400-	\$800-	. \$1,000-	\$1,200-	\$1,400-			
LEAMILY (INCOME:	<sup>399</sup>	799	999	1,199	1,39	1,799	\$1,800	TOTAL '	
LESS THAN \$1,001	1,594	6,296	7,929	6,726	5,744	6.867	3,770	38,926	٠.
•	4.09	16, 17	20.36	17.27	14.75	17.64	9.68	100.00	1
	1.07	1,58	2.26	2.19	2.73	3.44	5.39	2.31	R?
· \$1,001 - 3,000	2,76Ô	12,429	19,559	13,917	13,500	. 14,912	0.700		
	3.21	14.47	22.78	. 16.20	15.72	17.36	8,782	85,859	
· •	1,85	3.13	5.58	4,54	6.42	747	10.22 12.56	100.00 5.10	R7
\$3,001 - 6,000	6,721	. 31, 168	43,884	36,043	33,005	00 4-5	. /	•	
	3.14	14.58	20.52	16.86	15.44	38,417	24,520	243,758	
	4.51	7.85	, 12.54	11.77		17.97	11.47	, 100.00	R%
,	•	•		11.77	15.70	19.26	35.Ó8	12.71	C
\$6,001 - 9,000	7,378 3.26	31,538	45,067	42,019	33,367	48,389	18,015	225,773	
•	4.96	13.96	19.96	18.61		21.43	7.97	100.00	RY
	. 4.90	7.95	12.87	13.72	15.87	. 24.26	25.77	13.43	CX
\$9,001 - 15,000	18,778	67,014	85,303	27,889	75,722	71,869	11,264	427,839	'N
», <sup>†</sup>	4.38	15.66	19.93	22.87	17.69	16.79	2.63	100.00	
	12.62	16.89	. 24 . 37	31.97	36.03	36.03	, 16.11	25.45	CX
\$15,001 - 20,000	25,063	85,446	79,624	67,660	35,135	15, 165	2,459	200 050	
	8.08	27.56	25.49	21,82	11.33	4.89	0.79	309,952	Ň
. 1	16.85	21.54	22.58	22.10	16.71	7.60	3.51	100.00 18,43	RX CX
\$20.001 - 30,000	69.758	139,237	62,686	<b>39,706</b>	13,307	: 10	- 1 -	<del></del> : : .	
•	21.17	42.27	19.03	12.05	4.04		1,022	329,376	N
	46.90	35. 10	17.91		6.33	1, 11	-0.31	100.00	RX
*20.004	_		,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.33	1.83	1.46	19.59	CX
\$30,001 +	16,666	23,541	6,463	2,140	375	. 164	64	.49,413	N
,	33.72	47.64	- 13.07.	4.33	0.75	0.33	0. 12	100.00	RX
٠.	. 11.20	5.93	1,84	, 0.69	0.17	0.08	0.09	2.93	CX
TOTAL	148,718	396,669	349,915	306, 100	210, 155	199,443	69,896		
· ·	8.84	23.59	20.81	18.21	12.50	11.86		1,680,896	N
•	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	4 . 15 100 . 00	100.00	RX
						100.00	iw.w	100.00	C%



# TABLE 3C DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAM LY INCOME AND GRANT LEVEL AWARD PERIOD 1079-80

#### INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

Marine Marine and the Control of the									
	_	•		GRANT	LEVEL	•	۰		
•	<b>\$</b> 1-	\$400- '	\$800-	\$1,000-	\$1,500-	\$1,400-			
FAMILY INCOME:	399	799	999	1, 199	1,339	1,799	\$1,800	TOTAL	•
TARRET INCOME.	303	, 55			1,075	*,****	0.,000	,,,,,,	
LESS THAN \$1,001	12,485	28, 140	25,027	24,913	17,721	11,999	17,727	138,014	N.
بالمحامس	9.04	20.38	18.13	18.05	12.84	8.69	12.84	100.00	- R%
,	14.14	13.44	. 14.77	16.60	18.42	17.91	23.07	16.10	C%
1				•					
\$1,001 - 3,000	13.672	47,260	48,349	<sup>4</sup> 49,221	31,388	22,062	33,276	245,228	N
and weeks	5.57	19.27	19.71	20.07	12.79	8.99	13:56	100.00	R%
N	15.48	22.58	28.54	32.80	32.62	32.93	43.31	28.61	C%
***						•	. •		
\$3,001 - 6,000	29,400	75,934	60,031	52,296	33,606	23,589	-20,697	295,553	N
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	9.94	25.69	20.31	. 17.69	11.37	~7 98	7:00	100.00	R%
•	33.30	36.28	35.43	34.85	34.93	. 35,21	26.94	34.48	CX.
H					. ,			•	
\$6,001 - 9,000	· 12,737	33,376	25,398	18,367	10,866	7,710	4,402	112,856	N
	11.28	29.57	22.50	16.27	9.62	6.83	3.90	100.00	R%
*** <b>!</b>	14.42	15.94	14.99	12.24	11,29	11.51	5.73	13.16	C%
\$9,001 - 15,000	18,983	23,691	10,333	5, 147	2,564	1,594	704	63,016	. N
•	. 30.12	37.59	16.39	8.16	4.06	2.52	1.11	100.00	R%
τ '	21.50	11.32	6.10	3.43	2.66	2.37	0:91	7.35	C%
	224	7.0		88	50	22	12	. 2,042	N
\$15,001 - 20,000	884 - 1		<i>◦</i> 224				12	•	R%
	43.29	37.31	10.96	4.30	2.44	1.07	. 0.58	100.00	
•	1.00	0.36	0. 13	0.05	0.05	0.03	。 0.01	0.23	c%
\$20.001 - 30.000	111	. 88	23	. 12	, <b>5</b>	2	3	. 244	N
120,001 - 30,000	45.49	36.06	9.42	4.91	2.04	40.81	1.22	~ 100.00	· R%
	0. 12	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	- C%
¢	0. 12	, 0.04	0.01	, 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.02	0,0
30,001 +	4	12	4	2	4	0	0	26	N
	15.38	46.15	15.38	7.69	15.38	0.00	0.00	100.00	· R%
,	0.00	0.00	0/00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	C%
	•		•	,					
TOTAL	88,279	2)9,263	169,389	150,045	96,204	66,978	76,821	856,979	Ŋ
,	10.30	24.41	19.76	17.50	11.22	7.81	8.96	100.00	R%
	100.00	100.00	1Ŏ(). OO	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	C%

33

O ...

#### TABLE 4

Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients
By Student Eligibility Index and Grant Level
Award Period 1979-80

4A - Total

4B - Dependenz

4C - Independent

Student Eligibility Index, along with educational costs, determine the size of a Basic Grant. In general, the lower an individual's eligibility index the greater is his/her potential for a large grant. Tables 4A, 4B, and 4C illustrate this correlation between Student Eligibility Index and grant level. For example, 90 percent of the recipients receiving maximum award of \$1800 had a zero eligibility index, while less than one percent of the maximum award recipients had an eligibility index of 800 or more.

Although independents constituted only 34 percent of the entire recipient population, more independents than dependents received a zero eligiblity index.

Recipients with zero eligibility index:

Total - 971,552 (38% of all recipients).
Dependent 366,659 (22% of dependents)
Independent (71% of independents)

Students with zero eligibility index who received a grant of \$1,000 or more:

Total - 536,048 (55% of all recipients)
Dependent - 220,717 (60% of dependents)
Independent - 315,331 (52% of independents)

The step-shaped line drawn diagonally through Tables 4A, 4B, and 4C delineates valid versus invalid awards. All cells to the right of the line should contain zeroes, as these are invalid combinations of SEI and Grant Level. For example, the maximum grant shown on the Payment Schedule for a student with an SEI in the range of 1401 to 1600 is \$376. Grants which exceed \$376 for this SEI range represent institutional errors, data entry errors and overawards because of attendance at multiple institutions. These types of errors will be corrected when Student Validation Rosters for all institutions have been successfully processed. It should be noted that "Grant" includes the sum of Expected Disbursements on all SERs submitted for each recipient.

During 1979-80 there were 34,249 such invalid awards constituting 1.3 percent of recipients. This should be compared with 1978-79 with 26,663 invalid awards comprising 1.5 percent of recipients.



## TABLE 4A. DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND GRANT LEVEL AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

### ALL RECIPTENTS

	ند است بدو چه مردو چه دسته د است	a Sucare Man	21 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	G R A N T	LEVEL	·		
STUČENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX:	\$1 <del>9</del>	\$400- 799	\$800 <b>-</b> 999	\$1,000- 1,199	\$1,200- 1,399	\$1,400- 1,799	\$1,800	, Total
0	52,901	182 808	199,795	168,278	136, 138	99,777	131,855	^971,552 N
	5.44	18.81	20.56	17.32	14.01	10.26	13.57	100.00 R%
	22.32	30.16	38.47	36.89	44:43	37.45	89.87	38.28 C%
1 / 200	8,437	38,221	56,569	58,146	39,673	91,257	5,174	297,477 N
	2.83	12.84	19.01	19.54	13.33	30.67	1.73	100.00 R%
	3.55	. 6.30	10.89	12.74	12.94	,34.25	2.52	11.72 C%
201 - 400	6,435	<b>´</b> 29,297 `	37,446	· 44,814	29,133	68,473	3,729	219,327 N
	2.93	13.35	17.07	20.43	13.28	31.21	<i>"</i> 1.70	100.00 R%
	2.71	4783	7.21	9.82	9.50	25.70	2.54	8.64 C%
401 - 600	5,754	26, 367	36,414	43,223	97,967	1,852	3,084	214,661 N
	2.68	12.28	16.96	20.13	45.63	0.86	1.43	100.00 R%
	2.42	4,35	7,01	9.47	31.97	0.69	2.10	8.45 C%
601 - 800	6, 130	25,507	31,963	138,919	559	2,530	1,734	207 <sub>1</sub> ·342 N
	2.95	12.30	15:41	66.99	0.26	1.22	0.83	100.00 R%
	2.58	4.20	. 6,:15	30.45	0.18	0.94	1.18	8.16 C%
801 - 1.000.	6.313	26,753	154,558	494	 1,584	1,552	754	192,008 N
	3.28	13.93	80:49	0.25	0.82	0.80	, ≀0.39	100.00 R%
	2.66	4.41	29.76	0.10	0.51	0.58	0.51	7.56 C%
1,001 - 1,200	15,531	150,712	760	1,347	668	556	84	169,658 N
	9.15	88.83	0.44	0.79	0.39	0.32	0.04	100.00 R%
	6.55	24.87	0.14	0.29	0.21	0.20	0.05	6.68 C% .
1,201 - 1,400	21,002	122,566	833	424	72	70	16	144,983 N
	14.48	84:53	0:57	0.29	0.04	0.04	0.01	100.00 R% ·
	8.86	.20.22	- 0.16	0.09	0.02	0.02	0.01	5.71 C%
1,401 - 1,600	114,494	3,701:	966	500	565	354	287	120,867 N
	. 94.72	3.06	0.79	0.41	0.46	0.29	0.23	100.00 R%
	48.31	0.61	0.18	0.10	0.18	0. 13	0.,19	4:76 C%
TOTAL	236,997	605,932	'519;'304	456,445	306,359	266,421	146,717	2,537,875 N
	9.33	<b>~~~23.87</b>	20.46	17.97	12.07	10.49	5.78	100.00 R%
	100:00	100,00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00 C%

## TABLE 4B: DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND GRANT LEVEL AVARD PERIOD 1979-80

### DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	• •	4/		G R A.N.T	LEVEL			,
	\$1-	\$400-	\$800-	\$1,000-	\$1,200-	\$1,400-		
STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX:	399	799	999	1,199	1,399	1,799	\$1,800	TOTAL-
0	11,454	54,530	79,958	57,301	, 58,655	47,523	57-≿238	366,659 N
•	3.12	14.87	21.80	15.62	15.99	12.96	15.61	100.00 R%
, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7.70	13.74	22.85	18.71	27.91	23.82	81.89	21.81 C%
1 - 200	5,817	29,208	47,801	49,616	34.869	84,061	4,578	255,950 N
	2.27	11,41	18.67	19.38	13.62	32.84	1.78	100.00 R%
<b>~</b>	3.91	7.36	13.66	16.20	16.59	42,14	6.54	15.22 C%
201 - 400:	3,723	19,922	29.627	36,436	25.068	62,051	3,178	180.005 N
	2.06	11.06	16.45	20.24	13.92	34.47	1.76	100.00 R%
	2.50	5.02	8.46	11.90	11.92	31.11	4.54	10.70 C%
401 - 600	3,190	17,353	28,488	E 35,841	88,798	1,568		177.863 N
	1.79	9.75	16.01	20.15	49.92	0.88	1.47	100.00 R%
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2.14	4.37	8.14	11.70	42.25	0.78	3.75	10.58 C%
601 - 800	3.380	16.602	24,921	124.732	438		1.440	173,706 N
•	1.94	9.55	14:34	71.80	0.25	1.26	0.82	100.00 R%
	2.27	4.18	7.12	40.74	0.20	1.09	2.06	10:33 C%
801 - 1,000	3,294	17.437	137,310	378	<b></b> 4 1.386	1.314	620	161.739 N
•	2.03	10.78	84.89	0.23	0.85	0.81	0.38	100.00 R%
•	2.21	4.39	39.24	. 0.12	0.65	0.65	0.88	9.62 C%
1,001 - 1,200	8,938	130.838	624		552	442	61	142,612 N
	6.26	91.74	0.43	0.81	0.38	0.30	0.04	100.00 R%
•	6.01	32.98	0.17	_ 0.37	0.26	0.22	0.08	8.48 C%
1,201 - 1,400	12,252	108,473	675	329	67	49	- 11	- 121,856 N
	10.05	89.01	0.55	0.26	0.05	0.04	0.00	100.00 R%
•	8.23	27.34	0.19	0.10	. 0. 03	0.02	0.01	7.24 C%
1,401 - 1,600	96,670	2,306	<b>→</b> 511	310	. 322	242	145	100.506 N
•	96'. 18	2.29	0.50	0.30	0.32	0.24	0.14	100.00 R%
*	<b>65.00</b> .	0.58	0.14	0.10	0.15	0.12	0.20	5.97 C%
TOTAL	148,718	396,669	349,915	306, 100	210, 155	199,443	69.896	1,680,896 N
	8.84	23.59	20.81	- 18.21	12.50	11.86	4.15	100.00 R%
•	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00 C%

# TABLE 4C DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND GRANT LEVEL AWARD PERIOD 1979-70

#### INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

•	<b>V</b> ·		• •	G R Á.N T	LEVEL			
•	\$1-	\$400-	\$800-	\$1,000-	* \$1,200-	\$1,400-		
STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX:	399	799	999	1, 199	1,399	1,799	\$1,800	TOTAL
0	41,447	128,278	119,837	110,977	77,483	52,254	74.617	.604,893
	6.85	21.20	19.81	18.34	12.80	8.63	12.33	100.00 R
	46.95	61.29	70.74	73.96	80.54	78.01	97.13	70,58 C
1 - 200	2,620	9,013	8,768	8,530	4,804	7, 196	596	41,527
•	6.30	21.70	21.11	20.54	11.56	17.32	1.43	100.00 R
	2.96	4.30	5. 17	5.68	4.99	10.74	0.77	4.84 C
'201 - 400	2,712	9.375	7,819	8,378	4,065	6,422	551	39,322
	6.89 I	23.84	19.88	21.30	10.33	16.33	1.40	100.00 R
	3.07	4.48	4.61	5.58	4.22	9.58	0.71	4.58 C
401 - 600	2,564	9,014	7,926	7,382	9, 169.	284		36,798
•	- 6.96	24.49	21.53	20.06	24.91	0.77	1.24	100.00 R
•	2.90	4.30	4.67	4.91	9.53	0.42	0.59	4.29 C
601 - 800	2,750	8;905	7,042	14, 187	121	337	294	33,636
•	8.17	26.47 🗸		. 42.17	0.35	1.00	0.87	100.00 R
• •	3.11	4.25	4:15	9.45	0.12	0. <u>50</u>	0.38	3.92 C
801 - 1,000	3,019	9,316	17,248	116	198	238	134	30,269
	9.97	30.77	56.98	0.38	0.65		0.44	100.00 R
	. 3.41	4.45	10.18	0.07	0.20	0.35	0. 17	· 3.53 C
.001 - 1,200	6,593	19,874	136	190	116	114	23	27,046
	24.37	73.48	0.50	0.70	0.42	0.42	0.08	100.00 R
,	7.46	9.49	0.08	0.12	0.12	0. 17	0.02	3.15 C
,201 - 1,400	8,750	14,093	158	95	Š	21	, 5	23, 127
•	37.43	60,93	0.68	0.41	0.02	0.09	0.02	100.00 R
•	9.91	6.73	0.09	0.06	0.00	'; 0.0 <b>3</b>	0.00	2.89 C
,401 - 1,600	17,824	1,395	455	. 190	243	112	142	20.361
	87.53	6.85	2.23	0.93	1.19	0.55	0.69	100.00 R
	20.19	0.66	0.26	0. 12	0.25	0.16	0.18	2.37 C
DTAL	88,279	209,263	169,389	. 150,045	96,204	66,978	76,821	856,979
<b>,</b>	10.30	24.41	19.76	17.50	11.22	7.81	ê. 96	100.00 R
·	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00 C

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

#### TABLE 5

Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients

By Student Eligibility index and Type of Institution

Award Period 1979-80

5A - Total

5B - Dependent

5C - Independent

Tables 5A, 5B, and 5C show the distribution of Basic Grant recipients by Student Eligibility Index and type of institution. The categories of institutions presented in this table are identical to those reported on the institutional Progress Report and are defined in the glossary at the end of Chapter 5.

During award period 1979-80, slightly over 50 percent of all recipients were enrolled in a university or other four-year institution, while almost 40 percent attended a two-year school. The remaining 10 percent attended schools with programs less than two years in length. Proportionately more dependents than independents attended universities and other four-year schools; more independents were enrolled in two-year institutions.

e- Recipients enrolled in universities:

Total - 1,024,828 (40% of all recipients)
Dependent - 750,843 (45% of dependents)
Independent - 273,985 (32% of independents)

Recipients enrolled in other four-year institutions:

Total - 549,469 (22% of all recipients)
Dependent - 408,314 (24% of dependents)
Independent - 141,155 (16% of independents)

Recipients enrolled in two-year institutions:

Total - 791,432 (31% of all recipients)
Dependent - 442,493 (26% of dependents)
Independent - 348,939 (41% of independents)

Given that a high proportion of lower income recipients attended two-year or less than two-year institutions (see Table 6), it is not surprising that a high proportion of recipients who received a zero eligibility index (48%) attended these types of institutions. Only 33 percent of the recipients with zero eligibility indexes attended universities and 18 percent were enrolled in other four-year schools. By contrast, 49 percent of recipients with eligibility indexes between 1,401 to 1,600 were enrolled in universities and 27 percent in other four-year institutions.



## DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECTPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION

786

0.65

3.94

19,905 0.78

100.00

120,867 N 100.00 RX 4.76 CX

2,537,875 N 100.00 R% 100.00 C%

ALLERECIPIENTS	,	AWARD PERIOD :1979-80						
See Constitution of the Co		<u> </u>			·	• •	***	
		т.	YPE OF	INSTI	TUTION	AMPLOTES & STATE SAME.		
\$25. \$1\	•			ONE YEAR	SIX MONTHS	•	* * !	
	UNIVER-	OTHER	TWO-	LESS THAN	°BUT LESS THAN	• .		
STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX:	SITIES	FOUR-YEAR	YEAR	TWO YEARS	ONE YEAR	OTHER	TOTAL	
<b>)</b>	318,308	171,023	382,259		68,797	9,828	971,552 N	
	32.76	17.60	· 39.34	2.19	7.08		100.00 RX	
	31.05	31.12	48.29	52.05	61.84	49.37	38.28 CX	
1 - 200	123,078	<b>66,145</b> -	92,050	4,331	9,914	1,959	297.477 N	
	41.37	22.23	30.94	1.45	3.33	0.65	100.00 RX	
	12.00	12.03	11.63	10.56	8.91	, 9.84	11.72 CX	
201 - 400	93,450	<b>≇ 49,62</b> 6	64.586	3,105	6,972	1,588	219.327 N	
	42:60	22.62	29.44	1.41	3.17	, 0.72 ·		
San Spanis . The san Spanish state of the san	9.11	9.03	8.16	7.57	6.26	7.97	100.00 RX	
401 - 600	94,926	50849	58.557		- 440		و مورد	
	44.22	23.68	58,557 27.27	2.790	-,	1;391	214,661 N	
7 V	9.26	9.25	27.27 7.39	1.29	2.86	0.64	100.00 R%	
		••	7.35	.6.80	5.52	6.98	8.45 CX	
601 - 800	94,918	° 50,088	53,281	2,479	5,264	1,312	207, 342 N	
i 🖟	45.77	24.15	25.69	1.19	2.53	0.63	100.00 R%	
	9.26.	9.11	6.73	6.04	4.73	6.59	8. 16 CX	
801 - 1,000	89,770	47,902	46,460	2, 167	4.509	1,200		
	46.75	24.94	24.19	1.12	2.34	0.62	192,008 N	
•	8.75	8.71	5.87	5.28	4.05	6.02 <sub>5</sub>	100.00 RX	
er en	•		= =		7.40	٠, ١٠٠٠	7.56 CX	
1,001 - 1,200\	80,713	43,355	38,996	1,849	3,730	-1,015	169,658 N	
to, and	47.57	25.55	22.98	1.08	2.19	0.59	100.00 RX	
	7.87	7.89	4.,92	4.51	3.35	5.09	6.68 C%	
1,201 - 1,400	70, 526	37,853	31, 136	1,521	3,121	906	444 600 113	
*	- 48.64	26.10	21.47	1.04	3, 121 2. 15	826	_ 144,983 N	
	6.86	6.88	3.93	3.71	2.15 2.80	0.56	100.00 RX	
<b>.</b>	<del></del>		·	. J. / 1	2.80	4.14	5.71 CX	

59,139 48.92

1,024,828 40.38

100.00

5.77

32,628

549,469 21.65 100.00

26.99

5.93

24,107

791,432 31.18 100.00

19.94

3.04

1,414

1.16

3.44

1.61

40,993

100.00

2.793° 2.31

2:51

111,248 4.38 100.00

## TABLE 58 DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

MPACAL S	TYOR OF THE TOTAL O						
	^	Т	YPE OF	INSTI	TUTION		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
		• .	•	ONE YEAR BUT	SIX MONTHS .	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
<u> </u>	(MIVER-	OTHER	ŤWO:	LESS THAN		•	•
STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX:	SITIES	FOUR-YEAR	YEAR	TWO YEARS	ONE YEAR	OTHER	TOTAL
; , <b>o</b>	132,385	72,370	132,270	6.995à	19,647	2.992	366,659 Ñ
By the second second	36. 10	19.73	36:07	1.90	5.35	0.81	100.00 R%
* • •	17.63	17.72	29.89	32.94	40.99	29.64	21.81 CX
; 1 • 200	108,955	59.236	75,661	3,418	7,180	1,500	255,950 N
	42.56	23.14	29.56	1,33	2.80	0.58	100.00 RX
	14.51	14.50	17.09	16.09	14.98	14.86	15,22,0%
201 - 400	79,729	43, 106	49, 129	2.285	4,649	1,107	180,005 N
	44.29	23.94	27.29	1.26	2.58	0.61	- 100.00 RX
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10.61	10.55	11.10		9.70	10.96	10.70 CX
401 - 600	81,940	44,752	44,047	2,010	4,118	996	177.863 N
	46.06	25.16	24.76	1.13	2 5.	0.55	100.00 RX
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	10.91	10.96	9.95	9.46	8.59	. 9.86	10.58 C%
601 - 800	. 83, 144	44,538	39, ई 15	1,804	3,467	938	173:706 N
•	47.86	25.63	22.92	1.03	1.99	0.53	100.00 R%
	11.07	10.90	8.99,	. 8.49	7.23	9.29	10.33 C%
੍ਹਿ <b>ਸੰ</b> 01 - 1,000 ਭ	79,202	. 42,880	34,342	1,522	2,947	846	161,739 N
	48.96	26.51	21.23	0.94	1.82	0.52	100.00 R%
	10.54	10.50	7.76	e 7.16	6.14	8.38	9.62 C%
1,001 - 1,200	71,187	38,814	28,311	1,259	2-374	. 667	142.612 N
•	49.91	27.21	19.85	0.88	1.66	0.46	100.00 RX
	9.48	9.50	6.39	5.93	4.95	.6,60	8.48 C%
1,201 - 1,400	62.317	33,863	22,178	. 41,003	1,931-	564	121.856 N
•	51.13	27.78	18.20 ,	0.82	1.58	0.46	100.00 R%
- -	8.29	8.29	5.01	4.72	4.02	5.58	7.24 C%
1,401 - 1,600	51,984	28,755	16,740	935	1,609	483	100.306 N
	51.72	28.61	16.65	0.93	. 1.60	0.48	100.00 RX
	6.92	7.04	3.78	4.40	3.35	4.78	5.97 C%
TOTAL	750,843	408.314	442,493	21,231	47,922	10,093	1.680.896 N
	44.66	24.29-	26.32	1.26	2.85	0.60	
<u> </u>	100.00	100.00	<b>``0.00</b>	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00 CX

# TABLE SC. DISTRIBUTION: OF BASIC GRANT RECIPLENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND TYPE OF MISTITUTION AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

## INDEPENDENT RECEPTENTS

	n - nyihir	T-YEP CONFORMS TO TRUST TOOM						
	mg* -	*		ONE YEAR	SIX MONTHS			
STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX:	UNIVER- SITIES	OTHER FOUR-YEAR	YEAR	LESS THAN	LESS THAN ONE YEAR	OTHER	TOTAL	
0	185,923	98:653	249,989	14,342	49, 150	6,836	604, 893 N	
	30,73	16:30	41:32	2,37	8, 12	1,13	100:00 RS	
	67.85	69:88	71:64	72,57	77, 61	69.66	70:58 CX	
1 - 200	14,123	6,909	16,389	913	2,734	459	41,527 N	
	34.00	16.63	39,46	2.19	6.58	1 10	100:00 RX	
	5,15	4.89	4,68	4.61	4.31	4.67	4.84 CX	
<b>201 - 400.</b>	13,721	6,520	18,457	820	2,323	481	39, 322 N	
	34,89	16.58	39,30	2.08	5.90	1.22	100.00 RX	
	5,00	4.61	4.42	4.14	3:66	4.90	4.58 CX	
401 - 600	12,996	6.097	14,510	780	2,030.	395	36,798 N	
	35,28	16.56	39,43	2,11	5,51	1.07-	100.00 RX	
	4.73	4.31	4,15	3,94	3,20	4.02	4.29 CX	
601 • 800	11,774	5,550	13:466	675	1,797	374	33.636 N	
	35:00	46,50	40.03	2.00	% 5:34	1.11	100.00 RX	
	4:28	3,93	3:85	3.41	2:83	3.81	3.92 CX	
801 - 1,000	10,563	5,022	12,118	645	1,562	354	30.269 N	
	34.91	16,59	40.03	2.13	5,16	1.16	100.00 RX	
	3.85	3,53	3.47	3.26	2,46	3:60	3.53 CX	
1,001 - 1,200	9.525	4.54 t	10,585	590	1.356	348	27.044 N	
	35.22	16.12	39,50	2.18	5.01	1.28	100.00 RX	
	3.47	3.21	3,06	2.98	2.14	3.54	3.15 CX	
1,201 - 1,400	8,209	3;990	8,958	5 18	1, 190	262	23,127, N	
	35.49	-17:25	38,73	2 . 23	5, 14	1.13	100,00 RK	
	2.99	2.62	2,56	2 . 62	1, 87	2.67	2.69 CK	
11,401 - 1, <b>6</b> 00	7, 155	3,873	7:347:	479	1, 184	303	20.261 N	
	35, 14	19.02	36:10	2.35,	5.81	1.48	100:00 RX	
	2, 61	2.74	2:11	2.42	1.86	3.08	2.37 CX	
TOTAL	273,985	141.155	348, 939	19, 762	63,325	9,812	856,979 N	
	31.97	16:47	40:71	2,30	7,38	1.14	100.00 R%	
	100.00	100.00	100:00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00 C%	



### TABLE 6

Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients
By Family Income and Type of Institution
Award Period 1979-80,

6A - Total 6B - Dependent 6C - Independent

Tables 6A, 6B, and 6C show the distribution of Basic Grant recipients by family income and type of institution.

During award period 1979-80, 37 percent of all recipients reporting a family income \$3,000 or less and half of those with an income greater than \$15,000 were enrolled in universities. As family income increases, both the percentage of recipients in universities and the percentage in other four-year institutions increases.

Institution enrollment type for recipients with income \$3,000 or less:

University	•	187,201	(37% of all recipients with
Other four- year insti- tution	-	90,567	income \$3,000 or less) (18% of all recipients with income \$3,000 or less)
· Two-year institution	-	184,153	(36% of all-recipients with income \$3,000 or less)

Institution enrollment type for recipients with income greater than \$15,000:

University	- 、343,752	(50% of all recipients with
Other four- year insti- tution	- 187,321	income greater than \$15,000) (27% of all recipients with income greater than \$15,000)
Two-year institution	- 138,529	(20% of all recipients with income greater than \$15,000)

While the highest proportion of recipients who reported an income of \$1,000 or less or above \$9,000 attended a university, more recipients with an income between \$3,001 to \$9,000 were enrolled in two-year schools.



• Institution enrollment type for recipients with incomes from \$3,001 to \$6,000:

University	- 168,331	(33% of all recipients with income from \$3,001 to \$6,000)
Other four- year insti- tution	- 87,967	(17% of all recipients with income from \$3,001 to \$6,000)
Two-year institution	- 200,681	(39% of all recipients with income from \$3,001 to \$6,000)

A comparison of the independent and dependent populations shows that more independents reported an income \$6,000 or iess. (See Table 2) Proportionately more independent than dependent recipients with a low income attended two-year institutions, while proportionately more dependents in this income group were enrolled in universities and other four-year schools.

- Recipients with income \$6,000 or less and:
  - a) Enrolled in a university:

Total .	•	,355,532	(35% of all recipients with
Dependent	-	128,699	income \$6,000 or less) (38% of dependents with income \$6,000 or less)
Independent	-	226,833	(33% of independents with income \$6,000 or less)

b) Enrolled in another four-year institution:

Totai	- 178,534	(18% of all recipients with
Dependent	- 67,635	income \$6,000 or less) (20% of dependents with
Independent .	- 110,899	income \$6,000 or less) (16% of independents with income \$6,000 or less)

c) Enrolled in a two-year institution:

Totai	•	′384,834	(38% of all recipients with income \$6,000 or less)
Dependent	•	117,164	(35% of dependents with
Indèpendent	-	267,670	income \$6,000 or less) (39% of independents with income \$6,000 or less)



## TABLE 6A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

ALL RECIPIENTS

	. * .	, T					
FAMILY INCOME:	UNIVER- SITIES	OTHER FOUR-YEAR	TWO- YEAR	ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	OTHER	- , e
<u>.</u>				THE PERMS	ONE IEAN	UINER	TOTAL
LESS THAN \$1,001	66,894	33,253	63,710	3,215	8,441	. 1,427	176.940 N
•	37.80	18.79	36.00	1.81	4.77	0.80	100:00 RX
•	6.52	6.05	8.04	7.84	7.58	7.16	6.97 C%
\$1,001 - 3,000	120,307	57:314	120.443	7,094	22.494	3,435	204 000 11
	36.33	17.31	36.31	2.14	6.79	1.03	331,087, N
į į	11.73	10.43	15.21	17.30	20.21	17.25	100.00 8%
			, 1010,		20.21	. 17.29	13.04 CX
\$3.001 - <b>6.000</b>	168;331	87.967	200,681	11.088	36,031	5,213	509,311 N
a -	33.05	17.27	39.40	217	7.07	1.02	100.00 8%
•	16.42	16.00	25.35	27.04	32.38	26.18	20.06 C%
					, 55,00	331,13	-0.00 0%
\$6,001 - 9,000	120.493	68,367	123,390	6.438	16.897	3,044	338,629 N
	35.58	; 20.18	36.43	1.90	4.98	0.89	100.00 R%
	11.75	12.44	i5.59	15.70	15.18	15.29	13.34 C%
\$9.001 - 15.000	205,051	445 045			_	•	
3*	41.77	115,247	144,679	6,984	15,421	3,473	490,855 N
	20.00	23.47	29.47	1.42	. 3.14	0.70	100.00 8%
	20.00	, 20.97	18.28	° 17.03	13.86	17.44	19:34 C%
\$15,001 - 20,000	146.276	81,280	72.871	3,281	6,603	1,683	244 004 4
	46.88	26.05	23.35	1.05	2.11	. 0.53	311,994 N
	14.27	14.79	9.20	6.00	5.93	8.45	100.00 R%
•	· · - •	•	0.00		3.50	0.75	12.29,63
\$20,001 - 30,000	168,567	- 91,659	60,236	2,714	4.988	1,456	329.620 N
	51.13	- 27.80	18.27	0.82	1.51	v 0.44	100.00 8%
	16.44	16.48	7.61	* 6.62	4.48	7.31	12:98:C%
•		,	•		*****		12.00 44
;\$30.001 +	28,909	14.382	5,422	179	373	. 174	< 49.439 Ñ
	58.47	29.09	10.96	0.36	0.75	0.35	100.00 RX
	2.82	2.61	0.68	0.43	0.33	0.87	
TOTAL	1.024.828	549.469	791,432	40,993	444 046	. 40 007	
•	40.38	21.65	31.15	1.61	111,248	19,905	2.537,875 N
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	4.38	0.78	100.00 R%
·				iw	100.00	100.00	100.00 C%

## TABLE 48 DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS . BY FAMILY INCOME AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

### DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

		τ	Y P E O F	INSTI	TUTION		. 7
	•			ONE YEAR	SIX MONTHS		,
SAMILY MARONE	UNIVER-	ÖTHER	TWO-	LESS THAN	· LESS THAN	•	-
FAMILY INCOME:	SITIES	FOUR-YEAR	YEAR "	TWO YEARS	ONE YEAR	<b>OŢHER</b>	TOTAL
LESS THAN \$1,001	15.155	8,004	13,414	606	1,458		
	38, 93	20.56	34.46	1.55	3.74	289	38,926 N
•	2.01	1.96	3.03	2, 85	. 3.04	0.74 2.86	100.00°RX* 2.31°CX
				-		2.00	2.31 00
\$1,001 - 3,000	33, 199	16,327	29.682	. 1,703	4,207	741	85,859 N
<u>.</u>	. 38.66	19.01	34.57	1.98,	4.89	0.86	100.00 RX
	4.42	3.99	6.70	8.02	8.77	7.34	5. 10 CX
\$3,001 - 6,000	80,345	43,304	74.068	3,746	10.581	. 4 744	240 770 41
<b>1</b>	37.58	, 20.25	34.65	1.75	4.94	1,714	213,758 N
,	10.76	10.60	, 16.73	17.64	22.07	16.98	100.00 RX
,			\· ·	17.04	22.07	10.30	12.71 CK
\$6,001 - 9,000	90,549	50,043	71,777	3,538	8.362	1,504	225,773 N
• '	- 40.10	: 22.16	731.79	1.56	3.70	0.66	100.00 RX
	12.05	12.25	16.22	16.65	17.44	14.90	13.43 CX
\$9,001 - 15,000	188,385	103,841	116.071	#\ <b>#</b> 0.			
	44.03	24.27	27.12	5, 506	11.467	2,569	427,839 N
A STANCES	₹25.08	25.43	26.23	1.28	. 2.68	0.60	100.00 5%
	* 40.00	25.75	20.23	25.93	23.92	25.45	25.45/CX
\$15,001 - 20,000	145,794	80.824	71,941	3,247	6.484	1,652	-309.952 N
	47.03	26.07	23.21	1.04	2.09	0.53	100.00 8%
•	19.41	19.79,	16.25	15.29	13.55	16.36	18,43 CX
\$20,001 - 30,000	168,512		, ,	:-	/		
30,000	51.16	91,594	60, 132	2,706	4,982	17450	329,376 N
	22.44	27.80	18.25	0.82	1.51	0.44	100.00 #%
•	22.44	22.43	13.54	12.74	10.39	14.36	19.59 CX
(\$30,001_+	28,904	14,377	5.403	179	371	174	49.412 N
·	50.49	29.09	10.94	0.36	0.75	0.35	100.00 RX
•	3.84	3.52	1.22	9.84	0.77	1.72	2.93 CK
TOTAL 🌭 :	750, <b>š</b> 43	400 844	445 455		•		· · · · ·
	44.65	408,314	442,493	21,231	47,922	10,093	1,630,695 N
	. ,100.00	24.29 · 100.00	26.32	1.26	2.85	0.60	100.00 RX
	. 0100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00 CX



## TÄBLE GC DÍSTRÍBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION AWARD PERIOD 1978-80

	· OF NEW PER POR TON						
			4.	BUT	SIX MONTHS BUT		
FAMILY INCOME:	UNIVER-	FOUR-YEAR	TWO- YEAR:	LESS THÂN	LESS THAN ONE YEAR	OTHER	TOTAL
LESS THAN \$1,001	51,739	25,249	50,296	2.609	6,983	1,138	138 014 N
	37.48	18,29	36,44	1:89	5.05	0.82	100 00 R%
	18.88	17,88	14,41	13:20	11.02	11,59	16. 10 CX
81,001 - 3,000	87, 108	40.987	90.761	5,391	18,287	2,694	245,228 N
	35,52	16.71	37.01	2,19	7,45	1.09	100.00 RX
	31,79	29.03	26.01	27,27	28,87	27.45	28.61 CX
\$3,001 - 6,000	87, 986 29, 76 32, 11	44,663 15.11 31.64	126,613	7,342 2,48 37,15	25,450 8.61 40,18	3,499 1.18 35.66	295, 553 N 100.00 RX 34.48 CX
\$ 000 i - 9,000	29,944	18,324	51,613	2,900	.8,535	1,540	112,856 N
	26,53	16,23	45.73	2,56	7.56	1.36	100.00 R%
	10,92	12,98	14.79	14.67	13.47	15.69	13.16 C%
39,001 - 15,000	16,666	11,406	28,608	1,478	3,954	904	63,016 N
	26,44	18,10	45,39	2.34	6.27	1.43	100.00 RX
	6,08	8.08	8,19	7.47	6.24	9.21	7.35 CX
\$15,001: - 20,000	482	456	930	34	109	31	2,042 N
	23.60	22.33	45.54	1.66	5.33	1.51	100.00 R%
	0.17	0.32	0.26	0.17	0.17	0.31	0.23 C%
\$20,004 - 30,000	55	65	104	8	6	6	244 N
	22.54	26.63	42.62	3.27	2.45	2.45	100.00 R%
	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.06	0.02 C%
\$30,001 +	19.23 0.00	5 19.23 0.00	14 53.84 0.00	0.00 0.00	7.69 0.00	, 0.00 , 0.00	26 N 100.00 R% 0.00 C%
TOTAL	273,985	141,155	348,939	19,762	63,326	9,812	856,979 N
	31,97	16.47	40.71	2.30	7.38	1.14	100.00 R%
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00 C%

### TABLE 7

### Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients By Student Eligibility Index and Educatonal Cost Award Period 1979-80

7A - Total 7B - Dependent 7C - Independent

Tables 7A, 7B, and 7C show the distribution of Basic Grant recipients by Student Eligibility Index and educational cost. Educational cost has been divided into \$300 increments following the first two cost ranges of less than \$401 and \$401 to \$1,500 were employed for the following two reasons: (1) according to the 1979-80 Payment Schedule, Basic Grant recipients had to have educational costs greater than \$400 to receive a grant; (2) most students had costs greater than \$1,500 since \$1,500 was the minimum allowance for living expenses and the cost of books and supplies.

Educational cost tends to increase as Student Eligibility Indexes increase. The median educational cost for Basic Grant recipients with a zero eligibility index was under \$2,400; for recipients with eligibility indexes between 1401 and 1600 it was about \$2,850. Only 17 percent of the zero eligibility index recipients attended institutions with educational cost over \$3,600 as compared to 34 percent of the 1401-1600 eligibility index recipients.

• Recipients with zero eligibility indices by educational cost:

\$2,100 or	-	356,182	(37% of recipients with zero
less * Greater than \$3,000.	-	279,285	eligibility indices) (29% of recipients with zero eligibility indices)

Recipients with eligibility indices greater than 800 by educational costs

\$2,100 or less	•	133,706	(21% of recipients with eligibility indices greater
Greater than \$3,000	•	268,579	than 800) (43% of recipients with eligibility indices greater than 800)



Independents received zero eligibility indices at a higher rate than dependents. (See Table 4) In addition, proportionately more independents than dependents attended schools with costs of \$2,100 or less. Tables 7B and 7C show that independent recipients are clustered to a greater degree than dependents in the lower educational cost and eligibility index ranges.

Recipients with educational costs of \$2,100 or less having a zero eligibility index:

Dependent - 125,394 (7% of all dependents)
Independent - 230,788 (27% of all independents)

A comparison of data from award periods 1979-80 and 1978-79 shows no change in the proportion of Basic Grant recipients who received a zero eligibility index and attended a school with educational costs greater than \$3,000.

 Recipients with educational costs greater than \$3,000 who received a zero eligibility index;

> 1978-79 - 198,303 (11% of all recipients) 1979-80 - 279,285 (11% of all independents)

## TABLE 7A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND EDUCATIONAL COST AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

ALL RECIPIENTS

	. 1	<b>\$</b>			· É	DUCAT	1 0 . A	L C.O.S	т		<u>-</u>	•	
		UNDER	\$401-	\$1,501-	\$1,801-	\$2,10;-	\$2,401-	\$2,701-	\$3,001-	\$3,301-	OVER		
STUDENT	ELIGIBILITY INDEX:	\$401	1,500	1,800	2,100	2,400	2,700	. 3,000	3,300	3,600	\$3,600	TOTAL	'
· o	) <del>.</del>	78	37052	115124	203928	146551	128700	60734	52774	62339	164172	971552	N
_		0.00	3.81	11.84	2C.98	15.09	13.24	6.25	5.43	6.41	16.89	100.00	R%
	٠.	88.63	62.97	51.14	42.76	35.25	39.24	40.73	37.59	39.40	28.04	38.28	C%
. i -	. 200	. 8	6619	29074	57261	49040	37142	16030	16241	17809	68253	297477	N
		0.00	2.22	9.77	19.24	16.48	12.48	5.38	5.45	5.98	22.94	100.00	R%
		9.09	11.25	12.91	12.00	11.78	11.32	10.75	11.57	11.25	11.65	11.72	C%
201	400	1	4219	19322	41695	37469	279.85	11874	11798	13019	51945	219327	N
•		0.00	1.92	8.80	19.01	17.08	12.75	5.41	5.37	5.93	23.68	100.00	
	•	1.13	7.17	8.58	8.74	9.00	8.53	7.96	8.40	8.22	8.87	.8.64	C%
401 -	600	0	3227	16592	39348	37714	27362	12039	11537	12747	54095	214661	· N
•		0.00	1.50	7.72	18.33	17.56	12.74	5.60	5.37	5.93	25.20	100.00	R%
ı	,	0.00	5.48	, 7.37	8.25	9.06	8.34	<b>7.8.07</b>	8.21	8.05	9.24	8.45	C%
• 601 -	800	0	2750	14125	36770	<b>37115</b>	26307	11073	11310	12340	55052	207342	N
		0.00	1.32	6.81	17.73	17.90	12.68	5.58	5.45	5.95	26.55	.100.00	R% -
		0.00	.4.67	6.27	7.71	8.92	8.02	7.76	8.05	7.79	9.40	8.16	G%
√801 <b>-</b>	1,000	0	2150	11335	32110	33795	24594	10815	11088	11556.	54565	192008	N
		0.00	1.11	5.90	16.72	17.60	12.80	5.63	5.77	6.01	28.41 (	100.00	
		. 0.00	3.65	5.03	6.73	8.12	7.50	7.25	7.89	7, 30	9.32	7.56	C%
1,001 -	1,200	.0	1675	9061	26766	29514	21686	9996	9668	10591	507C1	169658	
		0.00	0.98	5.34	15.77	17.39	12.78	5.89	5.69	6.24	29.88	100.00	
	•,	0.00 °	2.84	4.02	5.61	7.09	6.61	6,70	6.88	6.69	8.66	6.68	C%
1,201 -	1,400	. 0	932	6798	<b>21845</b>	24790	18153	8632	8503	9424	45906	144983	N
•	•	0.00	0.64	1 4.68	15.06	17.09	12.52	<b>5.95</b>	5.86	6.50	31.66	100.00	
	•	°0.00	1.58	3.01	4.58	5.95	5.53	5.79	6.05	5.95	7.84	5.71	C%
1,401 -	1,600	1	209	3678	17146	19892	15979	7385	7439	8391	40747	120867	
		0.00	0.17	3.04	14 . 18	16.45	13.22	6.11	6.15	6.94	33.71	100.00	
		1.13	0.35	1.63	3.59	4.78	4.87	4.95 <b>*</b>	5.30	5.30	6.96	4.76	C%
COTAL	••••	88	58833	225109	476869	415980	327908	149078	140358	158216		- 2537875	
.=		0.00	2.31	. 8-86	18.79	16.39	12.92	5.87	5.53	6.23	23.06	100.00	
		100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	C%

i 5

## TABLE 78 DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND EDUCATIONAL COST AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

				Ç. E	DUCAT	IONA	L COS	T ,	·			
STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX:	UNDER \$401		\$1,501- -1,800	\$1,801- 2,100	\$2,101- 2,400	\$2,401- 2,700	\$2,701- 3,000	\$3,001- 3,300	\$3,301- 3,600	0VER \$3,600	TOTAL	
0		8579	. 48535	68241	46845	53489,	30477	21696	23281	65477	366659	N
•	0.01	2.33	13.23	18.61	12.77	14.58	8.31	5.91	€.34 -	17.85	,100.00	
غ <sub>ا</sub>	84.78 :	, 36.84	. 37.79	24.56	17.49	24.02	28.20	22.10	21.81	14.61	21.81	
1 - 200	6	· 5245	24 159	47317	41256	32186	14102	14273	15579	61827	255950	M
	0.00	2.04	9.43	18.48	16.11	12.57	5.50	5.57	6.08	24.15	100.00	
	13.04	22.52	18.81	17.03	- 15.40	14.45	13,04	14.54	14.60	13.79	15.22	
201 - 400	1*	2891	14459	32171	29956	23369	10080	10009	11026	46043	180005	M
1	0.00	1.60	8.03	17.87	16.64	12.98	5.59	5.56	5.12	25.57	100.00	
	2.17	12.41	. 11.26	11.58	11.18	10.49	9.32	10.19	10.33	10.27	10.70	
401′ - 600	. 0	2057	11932	30269	30680	22947	10426	9910	10989	48653	177863	a.
	. 0.00	ົ 1. 15	6.70	° 17.01	17.24	12.90	5.86		6.17	27.35	100.00	
	0.00	8.83	9.29	10.89	11.45	10.30	9.64	10.09	10.29	10.85	10.58	
601 - 803	0:	1684	9749	28175	30684	22426	10212	9875	10770	50131	173706	
•	~0. <b>00</b> °	0.96	5.61	16.21	17.66	12.91	5:87	5.68	6.20	28.85	100.00	
•	0.00	. 7.23	7.59	10.14	11.45	10.07	9.44	10.06	10.09	11.18	10.33	
801 - 1,000	·	1281	7535	24330	27993	20989	2661	9792	10139	50019	161739	
•	. 0.00	0.79	4,65	15.04	17.30	12.97	5.97	6.05	6.26	30. 22	100.00	
•	0.00	5.50	5.86	8.75	10.45	9.42	.8.93	9.97	9.50	11.16	9.62	
1.001 - 1,200	0	994	* 576.1	19802	24242	1846,1	8917	8573	9373	46489	. 142612	គ : N
•	·0.00		4.03	13.88	16.99	12.94	6.25	6.01	6.57	32.59	100.00	
•	.0.00	4.26	4.48	7.12	9.05	8.29	8.25	8.73	8.78	10.37	8.48	
1,201 - 1,400	0	521	4057	15699	20264	15500	7700	7548	- 6279	42288	121856	M
. ·	0.00	0.42	3.32	12.88	16.62	12.7.1	6.31	6. 19	6.79	34.70	100.00	
	2 0.00	°2.23	3.15	5.65	7.56	. 6.96	7.12	7.69	7.75	9.43	7.24	
1,401 - 1,600	° o	30	. 2219	11782	15841	13300	6492	6452	7263	37127	100506	M
	0.00	0.02	2.20	11.72	15.76	13.23	6.45	6.41	7.22	36.94	100.00 (	
	0.00	0.12	1.72	4.24	5.91	5.97	6.00	6.57	6.80	8:28	5.97	
TOTAL	46	. 23282	128406	277786	267761	222667	108067	98128	106699	448054	1680896	
•	0.00	1.38	7.63	16.52	15.92	13.24	6.42	5.83	6.34	26.65	100.00 8	
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	

ERIC

## TABLE 7C OISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND EDUCATIONAL COST AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

### INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

,	,				E.	DUCAT	IONA	L COS	T	•		Ţ
STUDE	T ELIGIBILITY INDEX:	UNDER \$401	\$401- 1,500	\$1,501- 1,800	\$1,801- 2,100	\$2,101- 2,400	\$2,401- 2,700	\$2,701~ 3,000	\$3,001- 3,300	\$3,301- 3,600	OVER \$3,600	TOTAL
1			-				•		·		•	
1	<b>o</b>	39	28473	66589	- 135687	99806	75211	30257	31078	39058	98695	604893 N
,	•	0.00	4.70	₹11.00	22.43	16.49	12.43	5.00	5.13	6.45	16.31	100.00 R%
		92.85	80.09	68.85	68.15	67.33	71.46	73.77	73.59	75.81	71.83	70.58 C%
1	- 200	2	1374	4915	9944	7.784	4956	1928	1968	2239	6426	41527 N
ľ	•	0.00	3.30	11.83	23.94	18.74	11.93	4.64	4.73	° 5.37	15.47	100.00 R%
1	-	4.76	3.46	5.08	4:99	5.25	4.70	4.70	4.66	4.32	4.67	4.84 C%
201	- 400	0	1328	4863	9524	7513	4616	1794	1789	1993	5902	39322 N
		0.00	3.37	12.36	24.22	19.10	11.73	4.56	4.54	5.06	15.00	100.00 R%
		0.00	3.73	5.02	4.78	5.06	4.38	4.37	4.23	3.86	4.29	4.58 C%
401	- '600	0	1170	4660	9079	7034	44 15	1613	1627	1758	5442	36798 N
l		0.00	3.17	12.66	24.67	19.11	11,99	4.38	4,42	4.77	14.78	100.00 R%
İ		0.00	3.29	4.81	4.56	4.74	. 4. 19	3.93	3.85	3.41	3.96	4.29 C%
601	- 800	0	1066	4376	8595	6431	388 1	1361	1435	1570	, 4921	33636 N
001	<b>600</b>	0.00	3, 16	13.00	25.55	19.11	11.53	4.04	4.26	4.66	14.63	100.00 R%
l	•	6.00	2.99	4.52	4.31	4.33	3.68	3.31	3.39	. 3.04	3.58	3.92 C%
			/	/			•		•			
801	÷ 1,000	0	869	. 3800	. 7780	5802	3605	1154	1296	1417	4546	30269 N
		0.00	2.87	12.55	25.70	19. 16	11.90	3.81	4.28	.4.68	15.Q1	100.00 R%
		0.00	2.44	3.92	3.90	<sub>.</sub> 3.91	3.42	2.81	3.0€,	2.75	3.30	3.53 C%
1.001	- 1.200	Ö	681	3300	6964	5272	3225	1079	1095	1218	4212	27046 N
l	\	0.00	2.51	12.20	25.74	19.49	11.92	3.98	4.C4	4.50	15.57	100'.00 R%
	·	0.00	1.91	3.41	3.49	3.55	3.06	ş.63	2.59	2.36	3.06	3.15 C%
1,201	- 1,400	9	411	2741	6146	4526	2653	<sup>5</sup> 932	955	1145	36 18	23127 N
		0.00	1.77	11.85	26.57	19.57	11.47	4.02	4.12	4.95	15.64	100.00 R%
	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.00	1.15	2.83	3.08	3.05	2.52	2.27	2.26	2.22	2.63	2.69 C%
1,401	- 1,600	- 1	179	1459	5364	4051	2679	893	987	1128	3620	20361 N
		0.00	0.87	7.16	26.34	19.89	13.15	4.38	4.84	5.54	17.77	100.00 R%
	\	2.38	0.50	1.50	2.69	2.73	2.54	2.17	2.33	2.18	2.63	2.37 C%
TOTAL.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 42	35551	96703	199083	148219	105241	41011	42230	51517	137382	856979 N
	,	0.00	. 4.14	11.28	23.23	17.29	12.28	4.78	4.92	6.01	16.03	100.00 R%
	, \	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00 C%
												00

Ň

## TABLE'8

Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income and Educational Cost

8A - Total

8B - Dependent

8C - Independent

Tables 8A, 8B, and 8C show the distribution of Basic Grant recipients by family income and educational cost.

The data from the following three tables demonstrate that a higher proportion of recipients reporting an income of \$6,000 or less attended institutions with educational costs \$2,100 or less than institutions with costs greater than \$3,000. In contrast, more recipients reporting an income over \$15,000 attended institutions with costs over \$3,000.

Recipients with income \$6,000 or less by educational cost:

\$2,100 or - 367,333 (36% of recipients with income \$6,000 or less)

Greater than - 292,264 (29% of recipients with zero income \$6,000 or less)

Recipients with income greater than \$15,000 by educational cost:

\$2,100 or - 134,654 (19% of recipients with income greater than \$15,000)

Greater than - 307,290 (44% of recipients with income greater than \$15,000)

In the group of recipients reporting an income of \$6,000 or less, the percentage with educational costs in excess of \$3,600 increased from 14% in 1978-79 to 17 percent in 1979-80. Grants for this group increased also. (See Table 16)

Independent recipients tended more often than dependent recipients to be in the low income and low educational cost ranges, whereas dependents were more often in the high income and high educational cost ranges.

Reciplents with educational costs \$2,100 or less and incomes \$6,000 or less:

Dependent - 112,082 (7% of all dependents)
Independent - 255,251 (30% of all independents)

Recipients with educational costs greater than \$3,000 and incomes greater than \$15,000:

Dependent - 306,702 (18% of all dependents)
Independent - 588 (.07% of all independents)



## TABLE 8A. DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME, AND EDUCATIONAL COST AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

ALL, RECIPIENTS

·				, E	ĐỨCAT	IONA	L COS	: т	,		•	2
F.4444 V 7440045	UNDER	\$401-		\$1.801	\$2,101-	\$2,401-	\$2,701-	\$3,001-	\$3,301-	OVER	•	
FAMILY INCOME:	\$401	1,500	1,800	2,100	2,400	2,700	3,000	3,300	3,600	\$3,600	TOTAL	
LESS THAN \$1,001	34	11509	19595	34413	- 29479	23683	10454	8693	10572	28508	176940	N
	0,01	6.50	11.07	19.44	16.66	13.38	5.90	4.91	5.97	16.11	-100.00 F	
•	38.63	19.56	8.70	.7.21	7.08	7.22	7.01	6.19	6.68	4.86	6.97	
\$1,001 - 3,000	20	40000	`07510	70077	\	40000						
31,001 - 3,000		10088	37572	70377	<b>56398</b>	42038	20533	17374	20554	56133	331087	
	0.00		11.34	21.25	17.03	12.69	6.20	5.24	6.20	16.95	100.00 F	₹%
· · · ·	22.72	17.14	16.69	14.75	, 13.55	. 12.82	13.77	12.37	12.99	9.58	13.04 0	<b>3%</b> .
\$3.001 - 6,000	20	17273	-57130	109302	80270	6546 <b>6</b>	29420	. 27020	31526	91884	509311	N.
	. 0.00	3.39	11.21	21.46	15,76	12.85	5.77	5.30	6.18	18.04	100.00 F	
,	22.72	~ 29.35	25.37	22.92	19.29	19,96	19.73	19.25	19.92	15.69	20.06	<b>%</b>
\$6,001 - 9,000	11	7864	37934	72331	52374	42982	18982	18576	20260	67315	338629	
•••••	0.00	2 22	11.20	21.35	15.46	12.69	5.60	5.48	5.98	19.87		
•	12.50	13.36	16.85	15.16	12.59	13.10	12.73	13.23	12.80	11.49	100.00 F	
\$9,001 - 15,000	3.	. 7698	4 1648	·91423	80755	60000	07005		(00440			
45,001 15,000	0.00	1.56	8.48	18.62		63938	27085	26969	′30142	121194	490855	
	3.40	13.08	18.50		16.45	13.02	5.51	5.49	6.14	24.69	100.00 R	
•	3.40	13.08	18.30	19. 17	19.41	19.49	<b>18.16</b>	19.21	19.05	20.70	19.34 0	:%
\$15,001 - 20,000	0	2746	18400	50103	53618	40299	18582	18288	19736	90222	311994	N
	0.00	0.88	`5.89	16.05	17.18	12.91	5.95	5.86	6.32	28.91	100.00 R	
<del>?</del> ن ن ب	. 0.00	4.66	P.17	10.50	12.88	12.28	12.46	13.02	12.47	15.46	12.29 0	
\$20,001 - 30,000	0	1566	1 184 1	· 44492 ·	55877	43420	20834	20223	21823	109544	329620	M
	0.00	0.47	3.59	13.49	16.95	13.17	6.32	6.13	6.62	33.23	100.00 R	
•	0.00	2.66	5.26	9.33	13.43	13.24	13.97	14.40	13.79	18.71	12.98	
\$30,001 +	0	39	989	4428	7209	6082	3188	20.45	0000	,	****	
	0.00	0.18	2.00	8.95	14.58	12.30	6.44	32 15	3603	20636	49439	
<b>√</b>	0.00	0.15	0.43	0.92	1.73	1.85	2.13	6.50 2.29	.7.2 <b>8</b> 2.27	41.74 3.52	100.00 R	
POYAL		£0000	005.465	15000-	445000		\	•				
TOTAL	. 88	58833	225109	476869	415980	327908	149078	140358	158216	585436	2537875	
·	0.00	2.31	8,86	18.79	16.39	12.92	1	5.53	,6.23	23.06	100.00 R	
	100.00	100:00	,100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100,00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00 C	:Х

65..

## TABLE 8B DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC-GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND EDUCATIONAL COST AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

#### DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

-0=			,	E	OUCAI	1-0 N A	L COS	T	`	• .	٠	
FAMILY INCOME:	UNDER \$401	\$401- 1,500	\$1,501- 1,800	\$1,801- 2,100	\$2,101- 2,400	\$2,401- 2,700	\$2,701- 3,000	\$3,001- 3,300	\$3,301- 3,600	OVER \$3,600	TOTAL	
LESS THAN \$1,001	. 9	964	4081	7883	5692	. 5589	3262	2095	2269	7082	38926	N
	0.02	2.47	10.48	20.25	14.62	_ 14.35	8.38	<b>~5.38</b>	5.82	18.19	100.00 R	
	19.56	4.14	3.17	2.83	2.12	2.51	3.01	. 2.13	2.12.	1.58	2.31 C	*
\$1,001 - 3,000	11	1423	11425	16921	11237	11916	8416	5072	5178	14260	85859	N
	0.01	1:65	13.30	19.70	13.08	13.87	9.80	5.90	6.03	16.60	100.00 R	1%
·	23.91.	6.11	8.89	6.09	4.19	5.35	7.78	5.16	4.85	3.18	5.10 C	
\$3,001 - 6,000	12	5437	25097	38819	29551	30039	1592 i	12538	13336	43008	213758	N
• 1	0.00	2.54	. 11.74	18. 16	13.82	14.05	7.44	5.86	6.23_	20.11	100.00 R	
·	26.Ò <b>\$</b>	23.35	19.54	13.97	11.03	13.49	14.73	12.77	12.49	9, 59	12.71 C	
\$6,001 - 9,000	11	4654	23298	41838	34427	29625	13635	12874	13939	51472	225773	
	0.00	~ 2.06	10.31	18.53	15.24	13.12	6.03	5.70	6.17	22.79	100.GO #	6
	23.91	19.98	18.14	15.06	12.85	13.30	12.61	13.11	13.06	11.48	13.43 6	
\$9,001 - 15,000	3	6429	33551	73900	70536	56042	24322	23941	26928	112189	427839	N
u	0.00	1.50	7.84	17.27	16.48	13.09	5.68	5.59	6.29	26.22	100.00 R	
•	6.52	27.61	26.12	26.60	26.34	25.16	22.50	24,39	25.23	25.03	25.45 C	×
\$15,001 - 20,000	0	2721	18 147	49563	53292	. 39989	18497	-18 183	19643	89917	309952	N
	0.00	0.87	5.85	15.99	17.19	12.90	- 5.96	5.86	6.33	29.00	100.00 R	
	0.00	11.68	14.13	17.84	19.90	17.95	17.11	/ 18.52	18.40	20.06	18.43 C	
\$20,001 - 30,000	. 0	1566	11820	44437	55822	43393	20827	20210	21809	109492	329376	N
	0.00	0.47	3.53	13.49	16.94	13.17	6.32	6.13	6.62	33.24	100.00 R	
	0,00	f72	9.20	15.99	20.84	19.48	19.27	20.59	20.43	24.43	19.59 C	*
\$30,001 +	· ′o	. 88	987	4425	7204	6074	3187	3215	3599	20634	49413	N
	0.00	0.17	1.99	8.95	14.57	12.29	6.44	6.50	. 7.28	41.75	100.00 R	
· · · · · ·	0.00	0.37	0.76	1.59	` 2.69	2.72	2.94	3.27	3.37	4.60	2.93 C	
TOTAL	46`	23282	128406	277786 <sup>.</sup>	267761	222667	108067	98128	106699	448054	1680896	N
	0.00	1.38	7.63	.16.52	15.92	13.24	6.42	5.83	6.34	26.65	100.00 R	
	100.00	100.00	100.00		100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00 C	

#### YABLE 8C DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND EDUCATIONAL COST AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

### INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

	~.	`.	•	, È	DUCAT	ANOI	L COS	T .			
	UNDER	\$401-	\$1,501-	\$1,801-	\$2,101-	\$2,401-	\$2,701-	\$3,001-	\$3,301-	OVER	
FAMILY INCOME:	\$401	1,500	1,500	2,100	2,400	2,700.	3,000	3,300	3,600	\$3,600	TOTAL
LESS THAN \$1,001	. 25 `	10545		2653Ò	23787	18094	7192	6598	8303	21426	138014
	0.01	7.64	11.24	19.22	17.23	13.11	5.21	4.78	6.01	15.52	100.00 F
•	59.52	29.66	15.04	13.32	16.04	17.19	17.53	15.62	16.11	15.59	16.10
	;					•			•		
\$1,001 - 3,000	9 1	8665	26147	53456	45161	30122	12117	12302	15376	41873	245228
,•`	9.00		10.66	21.79	18.41	12.28	· 4.94	5.01	6.27	17.07	100.00 F
		24.37	27.03	26.85	30.46	28.62	29.54	29.13	29.84	30.47	28.61 0
\$3,001 - 6,000	8	11836	32033	70483	50719	35427	13499	14482	18190	48876	295553
45,661	0.00	4.00	10.83	23.84	17.16	11.98	4.56	4.89	6.15	16.53	100.00 F
	19.04	33.29	33.12	35.40	34.21	33.66	32.94	34.29	35.30	35.57	34.48
** ***					470.47	40000	F0.49	5500		48845	440000
\$6,001 - 9,000	0	3210	14636	30493	17947	13357	5347	5702	6321	15843	112856
	0.00	2.84	12.56	27.01	15.90	11.83	4.73	5.05	5.60	14.03	100.00 R
•	0.00	9.02	15.13	15.31	12.10	12.69	13.03	13.50	12.26	11.53	13.16
\$9,001 - 15,000	0	1269	8097	17523	10219	7896	. 2763	3028	3216	9005	63016
	0.00	2.01	12.84	27.80	16.21	12.53	4.38	4.80	5.10	14.29	160.00 1
	0.00	3.56	8.37	8.80	6.89	7.50	6.73	7.17	*6.24	6.55	7.35 0
\$15,001 - 20,000	0	25	253	540	326	310	` 85	105	93	305	2042
20,000	0.00	1.22	12.38	26.44	15.96	15.18	4.16	5.14	4.55	14.93	100.00 R
	0.00	0.07	0.26	0.27	0.21	0.29	0.20	0.24	0.18	0.22	0.23
•	0.00	9.07	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.25	0.20	0.27	<b>0. 18</b> ,	0.22	0.25
\$20,001 - 30,000	. 0	· 0	21	55	55	27	7	13	14	52	244
•	0.00	0.00	8.60	22.54	22.54	11.06	2.86	5.32	5.73	21.31	100.00 R
	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02 0
\$30,001 +	0	1	2	. 3	5	, 8		0	4	2	. 26
9	0.00	3.84	7.69	11.53	19.23	30.76	3.84	0.00	15.38	7.69	100.00 R
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
****	40	0555		100050	440045	405044	44044	° 40000	E 4 E 4 C	407000	050000
TOTAL	42	35551	96703	199083	148219	105241	41011	42230	51517	137382	859979
٠	0.00	4.14	11.28	23.23	17.29	12.28	, 4.78	4.92	6.01	16.03	100.00 R
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00 0



### TABLE 9

Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients by Family Income and Net Asset Level Award Period 1979-80

9A - Total 9B - Dependent 9C - Independent

Tables 9A, 9B, and 9C show the distribution of Basic Grant recipients by family income and net asset level. It should be noted that very few independent recipients, shown in Table 9C, have assets in excess of \$7,500 or incomes in excess of \$15,000.

The net asset level equals the sum of the market value of the recipient's (independent) or parents' (dependent) home, real estate, investments, business, farm and checking and savings accounts minus the sum of the unpaid debts on these items. In most instances, a low net asset level had no impact on the size of a recipient's grant.

Nearly three-fourths of all recipients reported net assets worth \$7,500 or less, with a substantially higher proportion of independents than dependents represented in this group.

Recipients with net assets of \$7,500 or less:

Total - 1,524,869 (60% of all recipients)
Dependent - 700,194 (42% of dependents)
Independent - 824,675 (96% of independents)

About 59 percent of those recipients who reported not assets worth \$7,500 or less had a family income of \$6,000 or less, while 11 percent of those in this net asset range reported an income greater than \$15,000. In contrast, recipients with incomes \$6,000 or less constituted only 12 percent of all recipients with net assets between \$7,501 and \$35,000 while the recipients with incomes greater than \$15,000 comprised 53 percent of those in this net asset range.



## TABLE 9A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND NET ASSET LEVEL AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

ALL RECIPIENTS

		,		NET	ASSET	LEVE	L		•	•	
•		\$1-	\$7,501-	\$15,001-	\$25,001-	\$35,001-	\$50,001-	\$75,001-			
FAMILY INCOME:	\$0	7.500	15,000	25,000	35,000	50,000	75,000	100,000	\$100,000+	TOTAL	
LESS THAN \$1,001	103,395	55,862	5,342	3,625	2,210	1,918	1,756	964	.1,868	176,940 H	N
•	58.43	31.57	3.01	2.04	1.24	1.08	. 0.99	0.54	1.05	100.00 f	2
•	13.46	7.37	1.86	1.08	.0.97	1.59	4.74	15.23	41.21	6.97	3
\$1,001 - 3,000	180,792	122,701	12,477	6,588	3,209	2,367	1,543	706	704	331,087	N Î
	34.60	₹17.06	3.76	1.98	0.96	0.71	0.46	0.21	0.21	100.00 F	R
	23.54	16.20	4.36	1.97	1.42	1.96	4.16	11.16	15.53	13:04 (	3
\$3,001 - 6,000	248,068	182,565	31,652	21,022	11,043	7.938	4,443	1,487	1,093	509,311	N
	48.70	35.84	6.21	4.12	2.16	1.55	0.87	0.29	0.21	100.00	R
	32.30	24.11	11.06	6.30	. 4.89	6.59	12.Ó0	23.50	24.11	20.06	3
\$6,001 - 9,000	111,423	118,285	38,225	31,542	18,949	13,097	5,465	1, 142	501	338,629 N	N ·
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	32.90	34.93	11.28	9.31	5.59		1.61	0.33		100.00	
	14.51	15.62	13.36	9.46	8.40	10.87	14.76	18.05	11.05	13.34	3
\$9,001 - 15,000	87,305	150,139	76,402	79,496	52,361	33, 149	10,499	1,216	288	490,855 N	N '
	17.78	30.58	15.56	16.19	10.66	6.75	2.13	0.24	0.05	100.00 F	
	11.27	19.83	26.71	23.85	23.21	27.52	28.36	19,22		19.34	
\$15,001 - 20,000	23,446	69,381	57.128	76,406	53,709	25,221	6, 171	480	52	311,994	N
	7.51	22.23	18.31	24.48	17.21	8.08	1.97	0.15		100.00	
	3.05	9.16	19.97	22.92	23.81	20.94	16.67	7.58	1.14	12.29	3
\$20,001 - 30,000	12,392	52,853	57,644	99,168	70,993	30,280	5,971	303	16	329.620 N	N
	3.75	16.03	17.48	30.08	21.53	9.18	1.81	0.09	0.00	100.00	R
•	1.61	6.98	20.15	29.75	31.47	25.14	16.13	4.78	0.35	12.98	3
\$30,001 +	988	5,274	7.066	15,409	13,053	6,450	1.161	28	10	49,439 1	N
	1.99	10.66	14.79	31.16	26.40	13.04	2.34	0.05	0.02	100.00	R
,	0.12	0.69	2.47	4.62	5.78	5.35	3.13	0.44	0.22	1.94	
TOTAL	767,809	757.060	285,936	333,256	225,527	120,420	37,009	6,326	4,532	2,537,875 8	N
	30.25	29.83	11.26	13.13	8.88	4.74	1.45	0.24	0.17	100.00	
,	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	C

## TABLE 98 DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND NET ASSET LEVEL AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

## DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

•			,	NĒT	ASSET	LEVE	L		•	
FAMILY INCOME:	, \$0	\$1- 7,500	\$7,501- 15,000	\$15,001- 25,000	\$25,001- 35,000	\$35,001- 50,000	\$50,001- 75,000	\$75,001- 100,000	\$100,000+	TOTAL
LESS THAN \$1,001	15,730	8, 199	3, 220	2,926	1,940	1,750	1,670	935	1,856	38,926
	40.41	21.06	10.07	7.51	4.98	.4.49	4.29	2.40	4.76	100.00
•	5.01	2.12	1.46	0.90	0.87	1.47	4.56	15.00		2.31
. \$1,001 - 3,000	41,120	20,506	10,442	5,781	2,926	2,219	1,492	684	689	85,859
	47.89	23.88	12.16	6.73	3.40	2.56	1.73	0.79	0.80	100.00
•	. 13.11	5.30	3.89	1.78	1.31	1.86	4.08	10.97	15.35	5.10
\$3,001 - 6,000	90,927	52,301	27,053	18,850	10,259	7,549	4,281	1.457	1,081	213,758
v	42.53	24.46	12.65	8.81	4.79	3.53	2.00	0.68	0.50	100.00
	29.00	13.52	10.10	5.81	4.61	6.34	11.71	23.37	24.09	12.71
\$6,001 - 9,000	62,825	(2,711	33,307	29, 132	18,073	12,717	5.377	1,132	499	225,773 (
•	27:82	27.77	14.75	12.90	8.00	5.63	2.38	0.50	Q. 22	100.00
	20.04	16.21	12.43	8.98	8.12	10.68	14.71	18.16	11.12	13.43
\$9,001 - 15,000	66,556	116,443	71,613	76,911	51,508	32,873	10,435	1,213	287	427,839
	15.55	27.21	16.73	17.97	12.03	7.68	2.43	0.28	0.06	100.00
	21.23	30.11	26.74	23.71	23.16	27.61	28.55	19.46	6.39	25.45
\$15,001 - 20,000	23,001	68,501	56,791	76, 161	53,608	25, 193	6, 165	480	52	309,952 (
	7.42	22.10	18.32	24.57	17.29	8.12	1.98	0.15	0.01	100.00
	7.33	17.71	21.20	23.48	24.11	21.16	16.86	7.70	1.15	18.43
\$20.001 - 30,000	12,354	52,779	57,602	99, 127	70,960	30,270	5.968	303	13	329,376
	3.75	16.02	17.48	30.09	21.54	9. 19	1.81	0.09	0.00	100.00
	3.94	13.64	21.51	30.56	31.91	25.43	16.32	4.86	0.28	19.59
\$30,001 +	971	5,270	7,063	15,409	13,053	6,450	1,160	28	9	49,413
	1.96	10.66	14.29	31.18	26.41	13.05	2.34	0.05	0.01	100.00
	0.30	1.36	2.63	4.75	5.87	5.41	3.17	0.44	0.20	2.93
TOTAL	313,484	386,710	267,791	324,297	222,327	119,021	36,548	6,232	4,486	1,680,296
•	19.64	23.00	15.93	19.29	13.22	7.08	2.17	0.37	0.26	100.00 F
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

## TABLE 9C DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND NET ASSET LEVEL AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

### INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

				NET	ASSET	LEVE	L			
*		\$1-	\$7,501-	\$15,001-	\$25,001-	\$35,001-	\$50,001-	\$75,001-		
FAMILY INCOME:	\$0	7,500	15,000	25,000	35,000	50,000	75,000	100,000	\$100,000+	. TOTAL
LESS THAN \$1,001	87.665	47,663	1,422	699	270	168	86			
•	63.51	34.53	1.03	0.50	0. 19	0. 12	0.06	29		138,014
	19.29	12.86	7.83	7.80	8.43	12.00		.0.02		
	,,,,	,_,,	7.55	7.00	0.43	12.00	. 18.65	30.85	26.08	16. 10
\$1,001 - 3,000	139,672	102, 195	2,035	807	283	148	51	22	15	245,228
•	56.95	41.67	0.82	0.32	0.11	0.06	0.02	0.00	0.00	100.00
	30.74	27.59	11.21	9.00	8.84	10.57	11.06	23.40	32.60	
40.00					, 2,3,	,0.0.	11.00	23.40	32.60	28.61
\$3,001 ~ 6,000	157, 141	130,264	4,599	2,172	784	389	162	30	12	295.553
	53.16	44.07	1.55	0.73	0.26	0.13	0.05	0.01	0.00	100.00
	34.58	35.17	25.34.	24.24	24.50	27.80	35.14	31.91	26.08	34.48
AA AA							321,14	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	20.00	37.78
\$6,001 - 9,000	48,598	55,574	4,918	2.410	876	380	88	10	2	110 056
•	43.06	49.24	4.35	2.13	0.77	0.33	0.07	0.00	0.00	112,856
	10.69	15.00	27. 10	26.90	27.37	27.16	19.08	10.63	4.34	13.16
			~	•		2,,,,		10.63	4.34	13.10
\$9,001 - 15,000	20,749	33,696	4,789	2,585	853	276	64	3	1	63,016
	32.92	53.47	7.59	4.10	1.35	0.43	0.10	. 0.00	0.00	
	4.56	9.09	26.39	28.85	26.65	19.72	13.88	3.19	2.17	100.00
				20100	20.00	14.72	13.66	3.19	2.17	7.35
\$15,001 - 20,000	445	880	337	245	. 101	28	6	0	•	
	21.79	43.09	16.50	11.99	4.94	1.37	0.29	0.00	0	2,042
	0.09	0.23	1.85	2.73	3.15	2.00	1.30		0.00	100.00
					0.15	2.00	1.30	0.00	0.00	0.23
\$20.001 - 30,000	38	74	42	41	33	10	3	0	•	
	15.57	30.32	17.21	16.80	13.52	4.09	1.22	0.00	3	244
	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.45	1.03	0.71	0.65	0.00	1.22, 6.52	100.00
						0.71	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.02
\$30.001 +	17	4	3	0	0	0	1	0	4	١٠.
•	65.38	15.38	11.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.84	. 0.00	2.1	.16 1
	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	• 0.00	0.00	0.21		3.84	100.00
	_		3.31		0.00	0.00	0.21	0.00	2.17	<b>び.</b> のひ(
TOTAL	454,325	370,350	18, 145	8,959	3,200	1,399	461	94	40	AEC 070 :
•	53.01	43.21	2.11	1.04	0.37	0. 16	0.05		46	856,979 N
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.01 100.00	0.00	100.00 F
							100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

### TABLE 10

## Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients By Age and Family Income

10A - Total 10B - Dependent 10C - Independent

Tables 10A, 10B, and 10C show the distribution of Basic Grant recipients by age and family income. The age category "Unknown" was created for the following tables to include those recipients who did not indicate an age on their application. It should be pointed out that, unlike other tables in this report, Tables 10A, 10B, and 10C are each two pages in length.

Almost three-fourths of all recipients were 22 years old or younger, with proportionately more dependents than independents represented in this age range.

### Recipients 22 years or younger:

Totai	•	1,834,593	(72% of all recipients)
Dependent	-	1,558,881	(93% of dependents)
Independent .	-	275,712	(32% of independents)

Recipients reporting a family income greater than \$15,000 accounted for approximately 21 percent of all recipients. Proportionately more recipients 22 years or younger had an income of over \$15,000 than those who were 30 years or older.

## Distribution of recipients with family income greater than \$15,000 by age:

22 years or	-	659,334	(36% of recipients 22 years
younger			or younger)
30 years or	-	5,581	( 2% of recipients 30 years
older		•	or older)

Likewise, those recipients reporting incomes \$3,000 or less (who constituted 20 percent of the total recipient population) accounted for a higher proportion of the 30 years or older age group than the 22 years or younger group.

### Distribution of recipients with income \$3,000 or less by age:

22 years or	. •	270,927	(15% of recipients 22 years
younger 30 years or older	•	73,942	or younger) (30% of recipients 30 years or older)



## TABLE 10A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY AGE AND FAMILY INCOME AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

ALL RECIPIENTS

	•	FANILY INCOME									
		LESS THAN	\$1,001-	\$3,001-	\$6,001-	\$9,001-	\$15,001-	\$20,001-	•	•	٠-
E:		\$1,001	3,000	6,000	9,000	15,000	20,000	30,000	\$30,001+	TOTAL	
DER	17	389	. 627	1.237	909	1,366	853	820	121	6.322	2 1
		6.15	9.91	19.56	14.37	21.60	13.49	12.97	1.91	100.00	
		13،0	0.18	0.24	0.26	0.27	0.27	0.24	0.24	0.24	
	17	1,562	2,287	5.057	4.489	7.219	4.423	3,900	607	29.544	1 1
		5.28	7.74	17.11	15.19	24.43	14.97	13.20	2.05	100.00	
		0.88	0.69	0.99	1.32	1.47	1.41	1.18	1.22	1. 16	
	18	14.247	22,348	50.631	53.958	106.965	84.522	93, 120	12.505	438, 296	į.
		3.25	5.09	11.55	12.31	24.40	19.28	21.24	2.85	100.00	
		8.05	6.74	9.94	15.93	21.79	27.09	28.25	25.29	17.27	
	19	19,696	34,006	60, 179	57.452	109.685	81,243	85,584	11,852	459,697	,
		4.28	7.39	13.09	12.49	23.86	17.67	18.61	2.57	100.00	
		11.13	10.27	11.81	16.96	22.34	26.03	25.96	23.97	18.11	
	20	20,968	40.993	59.529	45.946	83.649	59,882	64,681	10,727	386.375	Ł
		5.42	10.60	15.40	11.89	21.64	15.49	16.74	2.77	190.00	
		11.85	12.38	. 11.68	13.56	17.04	19.19	19.62	21.69	15.22	
	21	20.775	42.305	56.575	37.429	64, 158	44.685	49,216	8,572	323,716	Ł
	•	6.41	13.06	17.47	11.56	19.81	13.80	15.20	2.64	100.00	
		11.74	12.77	11.10	11.05	13.07	14.32	14.93	17.34	12.75	
·	22	16,633	34.091	. 43,505	23,006	31.388	19, 100	19,638	3,282	190.643	. `
		8.72	17.88	22.82	12.06	16.46	10.01	10.30	1.72	100,00	
		9.40	10.29	8.54	6.79	6.39	6.12	5.95	6.63	7.51	
:	23	12,778	25.792	32,998	15, 145	15,144	6.855	6, 293	983	115,988	
		11.01	22.23	28.44	13.05	13,05	5.91	5.42	0.84	100.00	
		7.22	7.79	6.47	4.47	3.08	2.19	1.90	1,98	4.57	
;	24	10.427	20.611	26.942	11,906	9.364	3.089	2.333	· · 324	84.996	
		12.26	24.24	31.69	14.00	11.01	3.63	2.74	0.38	100.00	
		5.89	6.22	5.28	3,51	1.90	0.99	0.70	0.65	3.34	
;	25	8.861	17.535	23.622	10.273	7.344	1,623	1, 135	134	, 70.527	
لہ		12.56	24.86	33.49	14.56	10.41	2.30	1.60	0.18	100.00	
~		5.00	5.29	4.63	3.03	1.49	0.52	0.34	0.27	2.77	
:	26	7.318	14, 172	19.886	9.051	5.813	₹ 916	510	.51	57,717	
	۵	12 67	24.55	34.45	15.68	10.07	1.58	0.88	0.08	100.00	
	(A)	4.13	4.28	3.90	2.67	1.18	0.29	0.88	0.08	2.27	

## TABLE 10A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY AGE AND FAMILY INCOME AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

ALL RECIPIENTS

			,							
-				FAM1L	YINC	0 M E				
•	LESS THAN	\$1,001-	\$3,001-	\$6,001-	\$9,001-	\$15,001-	\$20,001-			
AGE:	\$1,001	3,000	6,000	9,000	15,000	20,000	30,000	\$30,001+	TOTAL	
27	6,360	11,679	17, 191	8,102	5, 114	522	297	28	49,293	N
	12.90	23.69	34.87	16.43	10.37	1.05	0.60	28 0. <b>0</b> 5	100.00	
	3.59	3.52	. 3.37	2.39	1.04	0.16	9.09	0.05	1.94	
28	5, 178	9.684	14,713	7,046	4,673	352	208	^ 12	41,866	11
	12.36	23.13	35.14	16.82	11.16	0.84	0.49	0.02	100.00	
	2.92	2.92	2.88	2.08	. 0.95	0.11	0.06	0.02	1.64	
	4,541	8,169	12.735	6,420	4,315	314	140	19	36,653	M
	12.38	22.28	34.74	17.51	11.77	0.55	0.38	0.05	100.00	
*	2.56	2.46	2.50	1.89	0.87	0.10	0.04	0.03	1.44	
30	3,934	6,792	11,469	5,254	3.895	199	66	.· 5	32,304	
	12.14	21.02	35.50	18.43	12.05	0.61	0.20	0.01	100.00	
	2.21	2.05	2.25	1.75	0.79		0.02	0.01	1.27	
31-40	16,773	27.813	53,487	30,303	21,471	1,237	203	21	151,308	M
	11.08	18.38	35.34	20.02	14.19	0.81	0.13	0.01	100.00	
•	9.47	8.40	10.50	8.94	4.37	0.39	0.06	0.04	5.96	
OVER 40	6,464	12, 176	19.549	11,238	9.286	2,176	1,475	195	62,559	M
•	10.33	19.46	31.24	17.96	14.84	3.47	2.35	0.31	100.001	
	3.65	3.67	3.83	3.31	1.89	0.69	0.44	0.39	2.46	
UNKNOWN.'	46	· 7	6	` 2	6	) з	•	0	71	
	64.78	9.85	8.45	2.81	8.45	4.22	1.40	0.00	100.00 1	
	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
TOTAL	176,940	331,087	509,311	338,629 ,	490,855	311,994	329,620	49,439	2,537,875	M
	6.97	13.04	20.06	13.34	19.34	12.29	12.98	1.94	100.00 1	
	100.00	100.00		100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00 (	

54

**)** 1

δij.

## TABLE 10B DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY AGE AND FAMILY INCOME AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

### DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

				•,		FAMIL	YINC	OME			,	
~^	AGE:		LESS THAN	\$1,001- 3,000	\$3,001- 6,000	\$6,001- 9,000	\$9,001- 15,000	\$15,001- 20,000	\$20,001- 30,000	\$30,001+	TOTAL	
	UNDER	17	132	330	1,018	848	1,346	853	* <b>82</b> 0	121	5,468	'n
	٠.	· ·	2.41	6.03	.18 . 6 Î.	15.50	24.61	15.59	14.99	2.21	100.00	
			0.33	0.38	。0.47	0.37	0.31	0.27	0.34	0.24	0.32	
ļ		17	690 .	1,624	4,779	4,453	7,206	4,423	` 3,900	607	27, <del>6</del> 82	N.
		-	2.49	5.86	17.26	16.08	26.03	15.97	14.08	2.19	100.00	
		, as 6	1.77 `	1.89	2.23	°1.97	1.68	1.42	1.18	1.22	1.64	
		18	8, 146	15,543	47,622	53,615	106,896	84,522	93,119	12,505	421,968	/, N
	,		1,93	3.68	11.28	12.70	25.33	20 03	22.06	2.96	100.00	
			20.92	18.10	22.27	23.74	24.98	27.26	28.27	25.30	25.10	
	, ,	19	9,507	19,333	51,431	56、277	109,387	81,243	85,584	11.852	424,614	N
1			2.23	_455	12.11	13 . 29	25.76	19.13	2Ô. 15	2.79	100.00	
į		\.	24.42	22.51	24.06	24,92 *	25.56 .	26, 21	25.98	23.98	25.26	
ď	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20	7,337	16,287	40,069	42,971	82,710	59,882	64,680	10,726	324,662	N
1		•	2.25	5.01	12.34	13.23	25.47	18.44	19.92	3.30	100.00	
-		•	18.84	-18.96	18.74,	19.03	. 19.33	19.31	19.63	° 21.70	1/9.31	
	• '	21	5,455	12,227	29, 169	31,796	52,248	44.684	49,213	8,570	243.362	N
		`	2.24	5.02	11.98	13.06	25.57	18.36	20.22	3.52	100.00	
Ì	•	,	, 14.01	14.24	13.64	14.08	14.,5.	14.41	14.94	17.34	14.47	
		22	, 2,938	7,039	15,071	15,391	28.680	19.089	19,635	3,282	111,125	N
-		'	2.64	6.33	13.56	13.35	25.80	17.17	17.66	2.95	100.00	PY
		`	7.54	8. 19	7.05	6.81	6.70	6.15	5.96	6.64	6.61	
-		23	1,415	3,778	7,459	7,005	11,891	6.843	6,290	982	45,663	N
	•	-	3.09	8.27.	16.33	15.34	26.04	14.98	13.77	2.15	100.00	RY
		. '	3.63	4.40	3.48	\$.,10 <sub>\</sub>	2.77	2.20	1.90	1.98	2.71	
		24	839	2,357	4,47,7	3,915	5.734	3,062	2,327	322	23,034	Ň
ı		•	3.64	10.23	19.43	17.00	24.89	13.29	10.10	1.39	100.00	RY.
ı	į _		2.15	2.74	2.09	1.73	1.34	0.98	0.70	0.65	1.37	cχ
		25	560 <sup>1</sup>	1,677	3,010	2,485	3,424	1,601	1, 134	134	14,025	N
ĵ			3.99 1.43	11.95 <sup>!</sup>	2 i . 46.	17.71	24.41	11.41	8.08	0.95	100.00	
ļ			1.43	1.95	ູ 1.40	1.10	0.80	0.51	0.34	0.27	0.83	
1		26	. 413	1,134	2,019	1,631	1,961	876	506	48	8,588	Ń
}	,		4.80	13.20	23.56	18.99	22.83	10.20	5.89	0.55	100.00	
્રી			1.06	1.32	0.94	0.72	Q.45	0.28	0. 15	0.09	0.51	

ERIC

## TABLE 10B DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY AGE AND FAMILY INCOME AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

### DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS .

,				FAMIL	YINC	) M E			
, AGE:	LESS THAN \$1,001	\$1,001- 3,000	\$3,001- 6,000	\$6,001- 9,000	\$9,001- 15,000	\$15,001- 20,000	\$20,001- 30,000	\$30,001+	TOTAL
, 27	, 265	842	1,403	1,064	. 1,293	482	296	28	5,673 N
	4.67	14.84	- 24.73	. 18.75	22.79	8.49	5.21	0.49	100.00 R%
· .	0,68	0.98	0.65	0.47	0.30	0.15	0.08	0.05	0.33 C%
28	184	<b>622</b>	1,041	730	909	311	205	10	4,012 N
	4.58	15.50	25.94	18.19	22.65	7.75	5.10	0.24	100.00 R%
	0.47	0.72	0.48	0.32	C.21	0.10	0.06	0.02	0.23 C%
<b>29</b>	134	473	797	562	686	262	134	19	3,067 N
	4.36	15.42	25.98	18.32	22.36	8.54	4.36	0.61	100.00 R%
•	0.34	0.55	0.37	0.24	0.16	0.08	0.04	0.03	O: 18 C%
30	123	375	600	427	394	137	63	5	2,124 N
	5:79	17.65	28.24	20.10	18.54	6.45	2.96	0.23	100.00 R%
	0.31	0.43	0.28	0.18	0.02	0.04.	0.01	0.01	0.12 C%
31-40	437	1,406	2,050	1,201	1,033	308	133	· 8	6,576 N
,	6.64	21.38	31.17	18.26	15.70	4.68	2.02	0.12	100.00 R%
· · · · · ·	1. 12	1.63	0.95	0.53	0.24	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.39 C%
0VER 40	312	811	1,742	1,400	2,038	1,371	1,336	194	9,204 N
	3.38	8.81	18.92	15:21	22.14	14.89	14.51	2.10	100.00 R%
	0.80	0.94	0.81	0.62	0.47	0.44	0.40	0.39	0.54 C%
UNKNOWN	39	1	1	1	3	3:	1	0	49 N
	79.59	2.04	2.04	2.04	6, 92	6.12	2.04	0.00	100.00 R%
	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 C%
TOTAL	38,926	85,859	213,758	225,773	427,839	309,952	329,376	49,413	1,680,896 N
	2.31	5.10	12,71	13.43	25.45	18.43	19.59	2.93	100.00 R%
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00 C%



## TABLE 10C DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY AGE AND FAMILY INCOME AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

#### INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

	•				F A M. T L	YINC	0 M E .			
AGE:	· •	LESS THAN \$1,001	\$1,001- 3,000	\$3,001- 6,000	\$6,001- 9,000	\$ ,001- 15,000	\$15,001- 20,000	\$20,001- 30,000 -	\$30,001+	TOTAL
UNDER	17	257	297	219	61	20	0	0	o	854 `\$
		30.09	34.77	25.64	7.14	2.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00 R
		0.18	0. 12	0.07	0.05	0.03	^.00	0.QO	0.00	0.09
	17	872	663	278	36	13	o	o	0	1.862
		46.83	35.60	14.93	1.93	0.69	0.00	0.00	0.60	100.00 F
		0.63	.0.27	0.09	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.21
	18	6, 101	6,805	3,009	343	69	o	1	0	16,328
		37.36	41.67	18.42	2.10	0.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00 F
		4.42	2.77	1.01	0.30	0. 10	0.00	0.40	0.00	1.90
	19	10, 189	14,673	8.748	1,175	298	o	0	0	35.083
		29.04	41.82	24.93	3.34	0.84	0,00	0.00	0.60	100.00
		7.38	5.98	2.95	1.04	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.09
:	20	<sup>°</sup> 13,631	24,706	19,460	2.975	939	O	1	1	61,713
		22.08	40:03	31.53	4.82	- 1.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
		9.87	10.07	6.58	2.63	1.49	0.00	0.40	. 3.84	7.20
:	21	15,320	30,078	27,406	5,633	1,910	1	3	3	80,354
	J	19.06	37.43	34.10	7.01	2.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
	_	11.10	12.26	9.27	4.99	3.03	0.04	1.22	11.53	9.37
:	22	13,695	27,052	28.434	7,615	2.708	11	3	o	79,518
		17.22	34.01	35.75	9.57	3.40	0.01	0.00	0.00	100.00
		.9.92	11.03	9.62	6.74	4.29	0.53	1.22	0.00	9.27
;	23	11,363	22,014	25,539	8,140	3,253	12	3	1	70,325
		16.15	31.30	36.31	11.57	4.62	0.01	0.00	0.00	100.00
		8.23	8.97	8.64	7.21	5.16	0.58	1.22	3.84	8.20
:	24	9,588	18.254	22,465	7,990	3,630	. 27	6	2	61,962
		15.47	29.45	36.25	12.89	5.85	0.04	0.00	0.00	100.00
•		€.94	7.44	7.60	7.07	5.76	⋄.32	2.45	7.69	7.23
:	25	8,301	15.858	20,612	7.788	0.920	. 22	1	. 0	56,502
		14.69	28,06	36.48	13.78	6.93	0.03	0.00	0.00	100.00
		6.01	6.46	6.97	6.90	6.22	1.07	0.40	0.00	6 <sub>2</sub> 59
:	26	6,905	13,038	17,867	7,420 /	3,852	40	4	3	49, 129
	•	14.05	26.53	36.36	15. 10	7.84	0.08	0.00	0.00	100.00
		5.00	5.31	6.04	6.57	6.11	1.95	1.63	11.53	5.73

## TABLE 10C . DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY AGE AND FAMILY INCOME AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

### INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

	,	•		FAMIL	Y I N C	N E			
AGE:	LESS THAN \$1,001	\$1,001- 3,000	\$3,001- 6,000	\$6,001- 9,000	\$9,00∜- 15,000	\$15,001- 20,000	\$20,001- 30,000	\$30,001+	* TGTAL
27	6,095	10.837	15,788	7,038	3,821	40	1	0	43,620 N
1	13.97	24.84	36.19	16.13	8.75	0.09	0.00	0.00	100.00 R%
	4.41	4.41	5.34	6.23	6.06	1.95	0.40	0.00	5.08 C%
28	4,994	9,062	13,672	6,316	3,764	41	. 3	. 2	37,854 N
	13.19	23.93	36.11	16.68	9.94	. 0.10	0.00	0.00	100.00 R%
	3.61	3.69	4.62	5.59	5.97	。2.00	1.22	7.69	4.41 C%
29	4,407	7,696	11,938	5,858	3,629	52	6	0	33,586 N
	13.12	22.91	ູ 35.54	17.44	10.80	0. 15	0.01	0.00	100.00 R%
	3.19	3.13	4.03	5.19	5.75	2.54	2.45	0.00	3.91 C%
30	3,801	6,417	10,869	5,527	3,501	62	. 3	0	30,180 N
· .	12.59	21.26	36.01	18.31	11.60	0.20	0.00	0.00	100.00 R%
	2.75	2.61	3.67	4.89	5.55	3.03	1.22	0.00	3.52 C%
31-40	16,336	26,407	51,437	29, 102	20,438	929	70	13	144,732 N
	11.28	18.24	35.53	20.10	14.12	0.64	0.04	0.00	100.00 R%
	11.83	10.76	17.40	25 <sup>.</sup> 78	<sub>0</sub> 32.43	45.49	28.68	50.00	16.88 C%
OVER 40	6, 152	11.365	17,807	9,833	7,248	805	139	1	53.355 N
	11.53	21.30	33.37	18.43	13.58	1.50	0.26	0.00	100.00 R%
	4.45	4.63	6.02	8.71	11.50	39.42	56.96	3.84	6.22 C%
UNKNOWN	9.	. 8	5	1	3	0	0	0	22 N
, >	31.81	27.27	22.72	4.54	13.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00 R%
,	0.00	0.00	Q.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 C%
TOTAL	135,014	245,228	295,553	112,856	63,016	2,042	244	26	856,979 N
	16.10	28.61	34.48	13.16	7.35	0.23	Ö. 02	0.00	100.00 R%
	10C 'C'	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	. 100.00	100.00	100.00 C%

### TABLE 11

## Summary Statistics for Basic Grant Applicants Reporting Veteran's Benefits Award Period 1979-80

Table 11 presents data for Basic Grant applicants reporting veteran's educational benefits (VEB). As in the previous year, 5 percent of Basic Grant recipients reported receiving veteran's educational benefits.

During award period 1979-80, 230,323 applicants, constituting approximately 6 percent of all Basic Grant applicants, reported VEB on an "official" application. Sixty-six percent of the applicants reporting VEB were independent.

Almost 10 percent, of the applications reporting VEB were returned for insufficient data and never resubmitted for processing, and thus did not generate a valid Student Eligibility Report. Sixty-four percent of all applicants with VEB were qualified to receive a Basic Grant, with a higher p portion of dependent (73%) than independent (60%) applicants qualified for an award. By comparison, a higher percentage (72%) of the total applicant population was eligible to receive a grant.

Approximately 84 percent of the qualified applicants who reported VEB received a Basic Grant, with a slightly higher proportion of qualified dependents than independents eventually awarded a grant.

Qualified applicants reporting VEB who received a grant:

Total	-	124,869	(84% of all qualified)
Dependent	-	49,1.4	applicants with VEB) (86% of qualified dependents
Independent	-	75,687	with VEB) (83% of qualified independents with VEB)

Dependents reporting VEB were selected for validation at a higher rate than independents.

Applicants reporting VEB who were selected for validation:

Totai	-	15,699	(7.5% of total valid
Dependent	-	8,365	applications with VEB) (11.8% of dependents
Independent	<b>-</b> *	7,304	with VEB) (5.3% of independents with VEB)

The average grant for applicants reporting VEB was \$945. In contrast, the average grant for the total poulation was \$987.



90\_

## TABLE 11 SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR BASIC GRANT APPLICANTS REPORTING VETERAN'S BENEFITS AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

### ALL APPLICANTS

,	DEPENDENT	INDEPENDENT	TOTAL -
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS			
SUBMITTING OFFICIAL			
APPLICATIONS	78,399	151,924	230,323
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS		,	
SUBMITTING VALID			
APPLICATIONS	70,457	137,787	208,244
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF		. "	~ .
QUALIFIED			
QUALIFIED Applicants	57,053	91,466	148,519
J	72.77	60.20	64.48
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF	•		
NON-QUALIFIED			•
APPLICANTS	13,404	46,321	59,725
	17.09	30.48	25.93
	*****	,	20.00
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF			
APPLICATIONS RETURNED FOR			
INSUFFICIENT PATA AND	1	7	
NEVER RE-SUBMITTED	7.942	14, 137	22.079
FOR PROCESSING	10:13		9.58
	10:13	, 9.30	9.56
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS		•	
SUBMITTING UNOFFICIAL			
APPLICATIONS	5,381	9,059	14,440
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS	-		
SELECTED FOR VALIDATION	8.365	7,304	15,669
- 4	1,300	.,	.5,505
NUMBER, OF BASIC GRANT			Í
RECIPIENTS	49, 182	75,687	124,869
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	48,999,354	68,998,753	117,998,107
AVERAGE GRANT	´9 <b>9</b> 6	911	9:15



## CHAPTER 3

BASIC EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANT INSTÎTUTIONAL CHARACTERISTICS-AWARD PERIOD 1979-80



### TABLE 13

### Summary Statistics by Type of Institution Award Period 1979-80

Table 13 displays summary data by type of institution for award period 1979-80.

A comparison of data from award periods 1978-79 and 1979-80 shows that the number of institutions participating in the Basic Grant Program rose from 5,382 in 1978-79 to 5,894 in 1979-80 (6%). Most of this increase was in the other  $\frac{5}{2}$ category which araw from 60 to 239 (298%).

There were 2,139 two-year schools, representing the largest number from one type of institution participating in the Basic Grant Program during award period 1979-80. Although constituting only 10 percent of all institutions, universities attracted nearly 40 percent of all recipients. An average of 1,688 recipients were enrolled in each university compared with 474 at other four-year schools, 370 at two-year schools, and 87 at less than two-year schools.

Students attending other four-year schools received the highest average Basic Grant (\$1,101); those enrolled in two-year institutions were awarded the lowest, average grant (\$868). Students at universities received an average grant of \$1,017. Expected disbursements to students enrolled in universities in 1979-80 totalled \$1,042,638,496, representing the largest expected expenditure of Basic Grant funds among institution types.

As Table 1 demonstrates, the total number of recipients increased 34.1 percent between 1978-79 and 1979-80. The largest percentage increases were in other-four year institutions and universities.

Recipient trends by type of institution:

	<u> 1978-79</u>	1979-80	% Change
Uhiversities .	728,558	1,024,828	40.6
Other Four-Year	379,348	549,469	<b>44.8</b>
Two-Year	603,268	791,432	31.2
One-Year But Less Than Two Years Six Months But Less Than One Year	32,266 86,701	40,993 111,248	27.0 28.3

<sup>5/</sup> "Other" -refers to alternate disbursement system schools and nontraditional schools not falling into any of the following categories: universities; other four year institutions; two year institutions; one year, but less than two years; and six months, but less than one year.

## TABLE 13 D SUMMARY STATISTICS BY TYPE OF INSTITUTION AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

TYPE OF INSTITUTION:	INSTITUTIONS	EXPENDITURES	RECIPIENTS	GRANT	
UNIVERSITY	607	\$1,042,638,496	1,024,828	\$1,017	
OTHER FOUR-YEAR	1,158	\$605,058,656	549,469	\$1,101	
TWO-YEAR	2,139	\$687,033,035	791,432	\$868;	
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN THO YEARS	332	\$38,923,598	40,993	<b>\$950</b>	
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN	1,419	\$111, <b>958</b> ,367	111,248	\$1,008	

NUMBER OF

\$19,299,112

\$2,504,911,291

NUMBER OF

19,905

2.537,875

AVERAGE

\$987

### TABLE 14

Basic Grant Expenditures, Recipients, and Average Grant By Type and Control of Institution Award Period 1979-80

14A - Total 14B - RDS 14C - ADS

Table 14A presents a summary of Basic Grant expenditures, recipients, and average grant by type and control of institution. Tables 14B and 14C show the same summary as Table 14A, but for recipients enrolled in schools under the Regular Disbursement System (RDS) and Alternate Disbursement System (ADS), respectively. As a point of reference, RDS institutions are responsible for computing Basic Grants and disbursing grant funds to their own students, whereas the Office of Student Financial Assistance computes the grant and disburses the funds to students attending ADS schools. ADS schools tend to be small, non-traditional schools, which do not have sufficient staff and resources to administer financial aid programs. As in 1978-79, about one percent of all recipients were enrolled in ADS institutions during award period 1979-80.

Two-thirds of all recipients attended public institutions. A higher percentage of dependents than independents were enrolled in private, non-profit schools; proportionately more independents attended private, profit-making, and public institutions.

Recipients enrolled in public institutions:

Total - 1,684,400 (66% of all recipients)
Dependent - 1,088,081 - (65% of dependents)
Independent - 596,319 (70% of independents)

Recipients enrolled in private, non-profit institutions:

Total - 604,930 (24% of all recipients)
Dependent - 467,979 (28% of dependents)
Independent - 426,951 (16% of independents)

Recipients enrolled in private, profit-making institutions:

Total - 248,545 (10% of all recipients)
Dependent - 124,836 (7% of dependents)
Independent - 123,709 (14% of independents)

While the overall majority of recipients attended public institutions, most ADS recipients were enrolled in private, non-profit schools.

Recipients enrolled in public institutions:

RDS - 1,674,875 . (67% cf RDS recipients)
ADS - 9,525 (35% of ADS recipients)

• \_ Recipients enrolled in private, non-profit institutions:

RDS - 591,057 (23% of RDS recipients) ADS - 13,873 (50% of ADS recipients)

Recipients enrolled in private, profit-making institutions:

RDS + 244,443 (10% of RDS recipients) + 4,102 (15% of ADS recipients)

There were 805,441 recipients enrolled in public universities, comprising the largest group of recipients attending any type of public institution. By comparison, a majority of recipients enrolled in private, non-profit schools went to other four-year institutions; and most recipients in private, profit-making schools were enrolled in institutions having programs less than two years in length.

Overail, the average Basic Grant was \$987, with the highest average grant (\$1,164) for students at private, non-profit institutions and the lowest average grant (\$913) for students enrolled in public institutions. RDS recipients were awarded an average grant of \$988, while the average grant for ADS recipients was \$908. The average grant at RDS institutions was the same for dependents and independents; at ADS schools, independents received a slightly larger average grant.

Average grant of recipients at RDS institutions:

Dependent - \$988 Independent - \$987

• Average grant of recipients at ADS institutions:

Dependent - , \$894 Independent - \$925



## TABLE 14A BASIC GRANT EXPENDITURES, RECIPIENTS, AND AVERAGE GRANT BY TYPE AND CONTROL OF INSTITUTION AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

### ALL INSTITUTIONS

	TOTAL EXPENDITURES		TOTAL RECIPIENTS			AVERAGE GRANT			
ŤYPE OF INSTITUTION:	TOTAL	DEPENDENTS	INDEPENDENTS	TOTÂL	DEPENDENTS .	" INDE-	TOTAL	DEPEN- DENTS	INDE- PENDENTS
TOTAL PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS	1538,435,974	1005,714,215	532,721,759	1,684,400	1,088,081	596,319	. 913		. 893
UNIVERSITIES	784,077,549	551.906,133	232, 171, 416	805,441	577 <sup>°</sup> ,775	227,666	973	955	-1,020
OTHER FOUR-YEAR	208,248,510	144,354,653	63,893,857	207,865	144, 638	63,227	1,002	998	1.011
TWO-YEAR	525,328,351	299,280,703	226,047,648	645,540	353,286	292,254	814	847	<b>.~ 773</b>
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN	6,030,910	3, 136, 115	2,894,795	9,138	4,570	4,568	″ eeo	686	634
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	2,522,770	921,366	1,601,404	3,050	1,148	1,902	827	803	842
OTHER	12,227,884	6,115,245	6,112,639.	13,366	6;604	6,702	915	918	912
TOTAL PRIVATE, NON-PROFIT	704 , 340 , 157	523,724,522	180,615,635	604,930	467,979	136,951	1,164	1,119	1,319
UNIVERSITIES	256,591,087	195,221,012	, 61,370;075 —	217,585-	172-;478	45, 107	1 , 179 .	1,132	1,361
OTHER FOUR-YEAR	377,726,510	282,135,182	95,591,328	324,501	253,031	71,470	1,164	. 1,115	1,338
TWO-YEAR	64,643,158	43,883,648	20,759,510	57,736	40,052	17,684	1,120	1,096	1,174
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	1,896,075	1,026,155	869,920	1,728	955	773	1,097	. 1,075	1,125
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	2,351,715	1,099,344.	1,252,371	4,946	1,015	931	1,208	1,083	1,345
OTHER	1,.131,612	359, 181	7.72,431	1,434,,	448	986.	789	802	783
TOTAL PRIVATE, PROFIT-MAKING	262,135,160	130,492,719	131,642,441	248,545	124,836	123,709	1,055	1,045	1,064
UNIVERSITIES	1,969,860	678,144	1,291,716	1,802	590	1,212	17,093	1,149	1,066
OTHER FOUR-YEAR	19,083,636	11,269,684	7,813,952	17,, 103	10,645	6,458	1,116	1,059	1,210
TWO-YEAR	97,061,526	53, 187, 177	43,874,349	88, 156	49,155	39,001~	7, 101	1,082	1,125
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	30,996,613	15,938,581	15,058,032	30,127	15,706	14,421	1,029	1,015	' : 1,044
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	107,083,902	45,929,908	61,153,994	106,252	45,759	60; 493	1,008	્ ્ 1,004	1,011
OTHER	5,939,623	3,489,225	2,450,398	5, 105	2,981	2,124	1,163	( 1,170	1, 154
TOTAL	2504,911,291	1659,931,456	844,979,835	2,537,875	1,680,896	856;979	987	988	986

# TABLE 148 | BASIC GRANT EXPENDITURES, RECIPIENTS, AND AVERAGE GRANT BY TYPE AND CONTROL OF INSTITUTION AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

ROS INSTITUTIONS

	τα	TAL EXPENDIT	URES	ŢĊ	TAL RECIPIENT	s i	AV	ERAGE GI	PANT
TYPE OF INSTITUTION:	TOTAL	OEPENDENTS	INDEPENDENTS	TOTAL	DEPENDENTS	INDE- PENDENTS	TOTAL	OEPEN- OENTS	INDE- PENDENTS
TOTAL PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS	1532,077.742	1002,647,796	529,429,946	1,674,875	1,083,605	59.1,270	915	925	895
UNIVERSITIES	°734,051,718	551,891,784	232, 159, 934	805,388	577,750	227,638	974	<b>95</b> 5	1,020
OTHER FOUR-YEAR	208, 163, 063	144,319,837	63,843,226	207,760	144,594	63,166	1,002	998	1,011
TWO-YEAR	521,408,548	297,300,361	224, 108, 187	639,860	350,487	. 289,373	815	848	774
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	4,945,743	2,648,668	2,297,075	7,303	3,756	3,547	。 677	705	648
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	1,646,804	538,453	1,108,351	1,649	₹ • 563	1,086	999	956	1,021
OTHER	11,861,866	5,948,693	5,913,173	12,915	. 6,455	- 6,460	918	922	915
TOTAL PRIVATE, NON-PROFIT	689, 657, 890	515,116,222	174,441,668	591,057	459,307	131,750	1,167	1,122	1,324.
UNIVERSITIES	250,916,865	192,484,426	58,432,439	212, 131	169,615	42,516	1. 183	1, 135	1,374
OTHER FOUR-YEAR	375,430,462	280,670,082	94.760.380	322,470	251,608	70,862	1,164	1,116	1,337
TWO-YEAR	60,134,593	40,596,196	19,538,397	53,311	36,697	16,614	1,128	1,106	1,176
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	1,427,208	799,714	627,494•	1,296	754	542	1,101	1,061	1,158
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	517,150	206,623	310,527	415	185	230	1,246	1, 117	1,350
OTHER	1, 131,612	·359,181	772,431	1,434	448	, 986	789	802	783
TOTAL PRIVATE, PROFIT-MAKING	258,298,849°	128,745,094	129,553,755	244,443	122,978	121,465	1,057	1,047	1.067
UNIVERSITIES	1,944,345	867,029	1,277,316	1,782	· 578	1,204	1,091	1,154	1,061
OTHER FOUR-YEAR	18, 978, 108	11,252,050	7,726,038	16, 963	10,625	6,338	1,119	1,059	1,219
TWO-YEAR	95,779,062	52,463,473	43,315,589	86,954	48,455	38,499	1,101	1,083	1,125
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	30,757,452	15,859,515	14,897,937	29,868	15,621	14,247	1,030	1,015	1,046
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	104,900,259	45,013,802	59 <b>,886,457</b>	103,771	44,718	59,053	1,011	1,007	1,014
OTHER	5,839,623	3,489,225	2,450,398	5, 105	2,981	2, 124	1,163	1, 170	1, 154.
TOTAL	2479,934,481 1	646,509,112	833,425,369	2,510,375	1,665,890	844,485	988	988	987

9

 $Q_{i}Q_{i}$ 

## TABLE 14C BASIC GRANT EXPENDITURES, RECIPIENTS, AND AVERAGE GRANT BY TYPE AND CONTROL OF INSTITUTION AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

ADS .INSTITUTIONS

•	тот	TAL EXPENDITU	RES		TAL RECIPIENT	S	AV	AVERAGE GRANT		
TYPE OF ÍNSTITUTION:	TOTAL	DEPENDENTS	INDEPENDENTS	TOTAL,	DEPENDENTS	INDE- PENDENTS	TOTAL	DEPEN- DENTS	INDE-	
TOTAL PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS	6,358,232	3,066.419	3,291,813	9,525	-4,476	5,049	668	685	652	
UNIVERSITIES,	, 25,831	. 14,349	11,482	53	` 25	28	487	374	410	
OTHER FOUR YEAR	85,447	34,816	50,631	105	44 ,	61	814	791	. 830	
TWO-YEAR	3,919,803	1,980,342	1,939.461	5,630	2,799	. 2,881	690	708	573	
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	1,085,167	487,447	597,720	1,835	. 814	1,021	591	599	585	
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	875,966	382,913	493,053	1,401	· 585	816	625	655	604	
OTHER	• 366,018	166,552	199,466,	451	° 209	242	812	797	824	
GTAL PRIVATE, NON-PROFIT	14,782,267	8,608,300	6, 173, 967	13,873	8,672	5,201	1,066	993	1,16	
UNIVERSITIES	5,674,222	2,736,586	2,937,636	5,454	2,863	2,591	, 1,040°	956	1, 13	
OTHER FOUR-YEAR	2,296,048	1,465,100	830,948	2,031	1,423	· 608	1, 131	1,030	1,36	
TWO-YEAR	4,508,565	3,287,452	1,221,113	4,425	3,355	1,070	-1-019-	,980-	1,14	
ONE YEAR BU! LESS THAN TWO YEARS	468,867	226,/41	242,426	432	201	, 231	1,085	1, 127	1,049	
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	1,834,565	892,721	941,844	1,531	. `830	, 701 <sup>,</sup>	1,198	1,076	1,34	
OTHER	0	0	ex 0	Ö	0.	0	0	0	(	
OTAL PRIVATE, PROFIT-MAKING	3,836,311	1,747,625	2,088,683	° 4,102	1,858	2,244	935	941	93	
UNIVERSITIES	25,515	11,115	14,400	20	12	8	1,276	· <b>9</b> 26	1,80	
OTHER: FOUR-YEAR	105,528 ^	17,634	87,894	140	20	120	754	882	73:	
TWO-YEAR	1,282,464	723,704	558,760	1,202	700	* 302	-1,067	1,034	1,11	
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	239, 161	79,066	160,095	. 259	85	174	923	930	92	
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	2,183,643	916,106	1,267,537	2,481	Ť,041	1,440	. 880	880	. 88	
OTHER		0	0	0	0	• 0	- ,0	0		
TOTAL	24,976,810	13,422,344	11,554,466	27,500	15,006	12,494	908	894	92	

Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients, and Average Grant By Grant Level and Type of Institution Award Period 1979-80

15A - Total 15B - RDS 15C - ADS

Tables 15A, 15B, and 15C present the distribution of Basic Grant recipients and average grant by grant level and type of institution.

Students, attending other four-year schools received the highest average Basic Grant (\$1,094) while those enrolled in two-year institutions were awarded the lowest average grant (\$862).

During award period 1979-80, the average grant for dependent recipients was almost the same as that for independents (\$981 for dependents, \$977 for independents). The average grant, however, for independents attending universities and other four-year schools was higher than for dependents.

Average grant by institution type:

• Universities:

Total - \$1,009
Dependent - \$990
Independent - \$1,063

e. Other four-year institutions:

Total - \$1,094
Dependent - \$1,066
Independent - \$1,175

• Two-year institutions:

Total - \$862 Dependent - \$889 Independent - \$827



Students enrolled in other four-year schools received the maximum grant of \$1,800 most frequently; students attending schools requiring less than two years course work received the maximum grant least frequently.

Institution enrollment type for students receiving a \$1,800 grent:

University	<b>-</b>	49,692	(34% of students receiving maximum grant)
Other Four-		57,848	(39% of students with grant
Year Insti- tution		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	less than \$800)
Two-Year Institution	<b>-</b>	24,838	(17% of students with grant less than \$800)
Less Than Two-Year Institution	-	12,157	( 8% of students with grant less than \$800)

The greatest proportion of students receiving a grant less than \$800 were enrolled in two-year-institutions.

Institution enrollment type for students receiving a grant less than \$800:

University		. 282 <b>,</b> 929 °	(34% of students with grant
ON F		150 001	less than \$800)
Other Four-	-	152,201	(18°' of students with grant
Year Insti-			less than \$800)
tution.			
Two-Year	-	342,047	(41% of students with grant
Institution			less than \$800)
Less Than	-	58,548	(7% of students with grant
Two-Year			less than \$800)
Institution			•

## TABLE 15A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT-RECIPIENTS AND AVERAGE GRANT BY GRANT LEVEL AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

ALL RECIPIENTS

	*	T 1	PÈ OF	INSTI	TUTION	•	•	
				ONE YEAR	SIX MONTHS 4		••	
	UNIVER-	OTHER	TWÓ-	BUT LESS THAN	BUT LESS THAN			
GRANT LEVELI	SITIES	FOUR-YEAR	YEAR	TWO YEARS	ONE YEAR	OTHER	TOTAL	*
\$1 - 399	78,891	43,733	93, 161	5,787	13, 177	. 2,248	236,997	N
	33.28	18.45	₹9./30	2.44	5.55	0.94	• 100.00	
	7.69	7.95	11.77	14.11	11.84	11.29	9.33	C%
	. 2 5 2	290	280	. 261	. 260	· 5/4 <b>267</b>	284	AVG-GRANT
\$400 - 799	204,038	108,468	248,886	. 11,305	28,279	4.956	605.932	N ·
	33.67	17.90	41.07	1.86	4.66	0.81	100.00	R%
	19.90	19.74	31:44	27.57	25.41	24.89	23.87	C%
	599	604	. 620	. 597	610 '	58,1	609	AVG-GRANT
\$800 - 999	203.534	69,908 <sup>.</sup>	217.177	7.087	16.373	5,225	519,304	N
•	39.19	13.46	41.82	1.36	3.15	1.00	100.00	R%
, , ,	. 19.86	. 12.72	27.44	17.28	- 14.71	26.24	20.46	C%
	903	900	7.911	., 896	887	, 920	906	AVG-GRANT
\$1.000 - 1.199	244.860	93,633	98.658	4.364	12.713	1,817	456.145	
	53.70	20.52	21.62	0.95	2.78	0.39	100.00	
	23.90	17.04	12.46	10.64	11.42	9.12	17.97	CX
	1,102	1,100	1,084	1,099	1, 102	1,109	1,098	AVG-GRANT
\$1:200 - 1.399	129.641	89.436	64.859	4.151	16.091	2.181	306,359	· • N
\ <u></u>	42.31	29.19	21.17	1.35	5.25	0.71	100.00	R%
	12.65	16.27,	8.19	10.12	14.46	10.95	12.67	C%
-	1,287	1,278	1,263	1,296	1,292	1,269		AVG-GRANT
\$1,400 - 1,799	114.072	- 86,443	43,853	° 5,460	, 15,297	1,296	<sup>4</sup> 266, 4'11	ล
	42.81	32.44	16.46	2.04	5.74	0.48	100.00	R%
•	11.13	15.73	5.54	13.31		6.51	10.49	C%
	1,570	·1,606	。.1,59 <b>6</b>	1,603	1,587	1,598	1,588	AVG-GRANT
~\$1,800	49,692	57,848	24,838	2,839.	9,318	2,182	146,717	N
,,	c 33:86	39.42	16.92	1:93	• 6.35	1.48	100.00	
6	. 4.84	1,0.52	8, 13	6.92 .		10.96	5.78	C%
•	4 1,800	1,800 ↑	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	AVG-GRANT
TOTAL	1,024,828	549,469	791,432	40,993	111,248	19,905	2.537.875	N
	40.38	21.65	- 31.18	່ , ງິ , 61	4 - 38	0.78		R%
•	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	C%
	1,009	1,094	862	943	998	958	980	<b>AVG-GRANT</b>

## TABLE: 158 - DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS AND AVERAGE GRANT BY GRANT LEVEL AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

### DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

		- j Ť.,	YPE ÒF	INSTI	TUTIÓN	•	• •	
	• • •	,		ONE YEAR BUT	SIX MONTHS BUT	• ,	•	•
	UNIVER	OTHER	TWO-	LESS THAN	LESS THAN	\$		
GRANT LEVEL:	SITIES	FOUR-YEAR	YEAR'	TWO YEARS	ONE YEAR	OTHER	TOTAL	
; \$* > ,	Ġ1;703	34,645	42,538	3,043	5.791	998	148,718	N .
24.76	41.48	23.29	28.60	2.04	3.89	0.67	100.00	R%
	8.21	8.48	9.61	14.33	12.08	9.88	8:84	Ċ <b>%</b>
• •	295	293	285	265	259	274		AVG-GRANT
\$400 - 799	159, 195	86,057	130, 898	<sup>ℓ</sup> 5.856	12,233	2,430	396,669	N
	40.13	21.69	32.99	1.47	3.08	0.61	100.00	R%
	21.20		29.58	. 27.58	25.52	24:07	23.59	C%
· <i>·</i>	602	21.07 606	624	599	610	592		AVG-GRANT
\$800 - 999	153,429	52,904	130,086	3,693	° 7,012	2,791	349,915	N
9* 4.	43.84	\$15.11	37.17	1.05	2.00	0.79	100.00	R%
•	3,20.43	12.95	29.39	17.39	14.63	27.65	20.81	C%
	902	901	910	898	887	918		AVG-GRANT
\$1,000 - 1,199	168,860	69,573	58,599	2,250	5,833	985	306, 100	. N
Andrew Control of the	5516.	22.72	19.14	0.73	1.90	0.32	100.00	
	22.48	17.03	13.24	10.59	12.17	9.75		
	1,099	1,100	1,088	1, 103	1, 104	1,112	1,098	AVG-GRANT
\$1,2001,3 <del>99</del>	93,719	67,199	39,454	2,114	6,575	1.094	210, 155	. N
V: V=00,	44.59	31.97	18:77	1.00	3.12	0.52		*RX
•	12.48	16.45	8.91	9.95	13.72	10.83	12.50	
	1,289	1,281	1,265	1,296	1,293	1,268		AVG-GRANT
Š1.400 - 1.799	90.085	70, 173	28.307	3, 124	6,932	822	199.443	. N
	45.16	35.18	14.19	1.56	3.47	0.41	100.00	Ŕ%
	11.99	17.18	6.39	14.71	14.46	8.14	11.86	C%
	1,569	1,608	1,589	1,609	1,590	1,604		AVG-GRANT
\$1,800	23.852	27,763	0 12;611	1, 151	3,546	973	69,896	N
4 .	34.12	39.72	18.04	1.64	5.07	1.39	100.00	. R%
<b>9</b>	3.17	6.79	2.84	5.42	· 7.39	9.64	4.15	Ċ%
, S	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800		AVG-GRANT &
TOTAĹ	750.843	408,314	442,493	21,231	47,922	10,093	1,680,896	N
	44.66	24.29	26.32	1.26	2.85	0.60	100.00	R%
<b>、 "人</b> 有"	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	C%
	990	1,066	~ 889	940		° . 973		AVG-GRANT

# TABLE 15C. DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS AND AVERAGE GRANT BY GRANT LEVEL AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

*			_	ONE YEAR	SIX MONTHS		,~	*****
•				BUT	8UT .			•
•	UNIVER-	OTHER	TWO-	LESS THAN	LESS THAN		TOT41	
GRANT LEVEL:	SITIES.	FOUR-YEAR	YEAR	TWO YEARS	ONE YEAR	OTHER	TOTAL	
\$1 - 399	17, 188	9,088	50,623	2,744	7,386	1,250	88,279	N
**	19.47	10.29	57.34	3.10	8.36	1.41	100.00	R%
*	6.27	6.43	14.50	13.88	11.66	12.73	10.30	C%
	280	280	276	<sub>3</sub> 256	261 •	261	275	AVG-GRANT
\$400 - 799	44,843	22,411	117,988	5,449	16,046	2,526	209,263	. N
*	21.42	10.70	56.38	2.60	7.66	1.20	100,00	R%
ž	16.36	15.87	°33.81	27.57	25.33	25.74	24:41	c%
•	590	599	617	594	611	570	607	AVG-GRANT
\$800 - 999	50, 105	17,004	87.091	3,394	9,361	2,434	169,389	N
	29.57	10.03	51.41	2.00	5.52	1.43	100.00	R%
	18.,28	12.04	24.95	17.17	14.78	24.80	19.76	C%
	907	897	914	893	886 .	<b>%</b> 2	. 908	AVG-GRANT
61,000 - 1,199	76, 100	24,050	40.059	2,114	6,880	832	150, Ó45	·N
	50.71	16.03	26.69	1.40	4.58	0.55	100.00	·R%
•	27:77	17.04	11 48	10,69	10.86	8.47	17.50	, C%
۵	1,108	1,098	1,077	1,095	1,101	1, 105	1,098	AVG-GRANT
\$1,200 - 1,399	35,922	22,237	25,405	2,037	9,516	1, Ò87	96,204	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	37.33	23.11	26.40	2.11	9.89	1.12	100.00	R%
	13.11	15.75	7.28	10.30	15.02	11.07	11.22	C%
	1.280	1,267	1,260:	1,296	1,291	1,27,1	1,273	AVG-GRANT
\$1,400 - 1,799	23.987	16,270	15,546	2,336	8,365	. 474	66,978	N
<b>71,400</b> • <b>1,700</b>	35.81	24.29	23.21	3.48	12.48	0.70	100.00	R%
•	8.75	11.52	4.45	11.82	13.20	4.83	- 7.81	C%
,	1,572	1,597	1,608	1,595	1,585	1,586	1,589	AVG-GRANT
\$1,800	25,840	30,085	12,227	1.688	5,772 <sup>-</sup>	1,209	76,821	· N
*	33.63	39.16	15.91	2.19	7.51	1:57	- 100.00	` R%
<b>-</b>	9.43	21.31	3:50	8.54	9.11	12.32	8.96	C%
	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	AVG-GRANT
TOTAL	273,985	141, 155	348,939	19,762	63,326	9,812	856,979	N
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	31.97		40.71	2.30	7.38	1.14	100.00	R%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	. 100.00	100.00	100.00	C%
•	1,063	1,175	827	946	1,003	941	977	AVG-GRANT

76

Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients
By Educational Cost and Grant Level
Award Period 1979-80

16A - Total 16B - Dependent 16C - Independent

Tables 16A, 16B, and 16C present the distribution of Basic Grant recipients by educational cost and grant level.

During award period 1979-80, the largest percentage of all Basic Grant recipients attended postsecondary institutions where educational costs ranged from \$1,501 to \$2,700.

Recipients with educational costs from \$1,801 to \$2,400:

Total		732,156	(40% of all recipients)
Dependen	× .	437;652	(38% of dependents)
Independe	ents -	294,504	(44% of independents)

A higher proportion of independent than dependent recipients were enrolled in schools having educational costs of \$2,100 or less. And similarly, a lower proportion of independents had costs greater than \$3,500.

• Recipients with educational costs \$2,100 or less:

Total - 760,899 (30% of all recipients)
Dependent - 429,520 (26% of dependents)
Independent - 331,379 (39% of independents)

Recipients with educational costs greater than \$3,600:

Total - 585,436 (23% of all recipients)

Dependent - 448,054 (27% of dependents)

Independents - 137,382 (16% of independents)

Tables 16A, 16B, and 16C illustrate that the higher the recipient's educational costs, the greater his/her potential for receiving a large Basic Grant. Of the recipients with educational costs over \$3,000, 38 percent received a grant of \$1,400 or greater, while 29 percent were awarded a grant less than \$800. By comparison, of the recipients with educational costs of \$3,000 or less, only 4 percent were awarded a grant of \$1,400 or greater, while 35 percent were given a grant of less than \$800.

Educational costs for Basic Grant recipients increased from award period-1978-79 to 1979-80. The percentage of recipients who attended schools where costs were over \$3,600 increased; the percentage at institutions where costs were \$2,100 or less declined.

Recipients with educational costs greater than \$3,600:

1978-79	-	317,810	(17% of all recipients)
1 <i>9</i> 79-80	-	585,436	(23% of all recipients)

Recipients with educational costs \$2,100 or less:

1978-79	-	666,371	(36% of all recipients)
1979-80	-	760,899	(30% of all recipients)

Furthermore, in 1979-80 a greater proportion of students having costs that exceeded \$3,600 received a grant of \$1,200 or more.

• Students with educational costs greater than \$3,600 who received a grant of \$1,200 or greater:

1978-79	-	146,363	(46% of all recipients with
		•	educational costs greater than \$3,600)
1979-80	• '	280,653	(48% of all recipients with
e.			educational costs greater than \$3,600)

One reason for the increase in the number of students having both an educational cost above \$3,600 and a grant over \$1,200 is that the proportion of low income recipients attending institutions with costs greater than \$3,600 rose between 1978-79 and 1979-80. (See Table 8)

#### TABLE 16A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY EDUCATIONAL COST AND GRANT LEVEL AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

## ALL RECIPIENTS

•		•	· <b>52</b>	G.R À N T	LEVEL			
	\$1-	\$400-	_ ~ \$80Q-	\$1,000-	`\\$1,200-	\$1,400-		
DUCATIONAL COST:	399	799	999	1, 199	1,399	1,799	\$1,800	TOTAL .
ESS, THAN \$401	. 88	0	0	۰. ٥	0	0	0	88
•	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	∞ 100.00 R
·	0.03	0.00	. <b>0.</b> 00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 0
\$401 - 1,500	17,151	40,976	94	. 283	83	242	. 4	58,833
	29.15	69.64	0.15	0.48	0.14	0.41	0.00	100.00 R
, , ,	7.23	6.76	0.61	0.06	0.02	0.09	0.00	2.31 C
1,501 -, 1,800	26,797	75,447	118,567	1,295	1,241	1,653	109	225, 109
	11.90	33.51	52.67	0.57	0.55	0.73	0.04	100.00 R
•	11.30	12.45	22.83	0.28	. 0.40	0.62	0.07	8.86 0
1,801 - 2,100	46,304	127,961	207,438	85,576	2,008	4, 156	3,426	476.869
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	9.71	26.83	42 40	17.94	0.42	0.87	0.71	100.00 8
	19.53	21.11	39.94	18.76	0.65	1.55	2.33	18.79
. 101 - 2,400	34.656	89,210	39.162	236,486	8,547	4.265	. 3,654	415,980
	8.33	21.44	9.41	56.85	2.05	1.02	0.87	100.00 F
	14.62	14.72	7.54	51.84	2.78	1.60	2.49	16.39
2,401 2,700	22,258	64,214	32,901	28, 136	170,378	2,401	7,620	327,908
	6.78	19.58	10.03	8.58	51.95	0.73	~ 2.32	100.00 F
	9.39	10.59	6.33	6.16	55.61	0.90	5.19	12.92
2.701 - 3.000:	11,619	30,273	13,452	15,891	32,027	40,612	5,204	149,078
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	7.79	20.30	9.02	10.65	21.48	27.24	3.49	100.00 F
•	4.90	4.99	2.59	3.48	10.45	15.24	3.54	5.87
3,001 - 3,300	11,722	29, 145	14.239	. 15, 660	13,000	53,381	3,211	140,358
	8.35	20.76	10.14	11.15	9.26	38.03	2.28	100.00 R
	4.94	4.80	2.74	3.43	4.24	20.03	2.18	5.53 C
1,301 - 3,600	12.375	28,675	19.598	15.948	14.957	51,729 °	14.936	158, 216
ala .	7.82	18.12	12.38	10.07	9.45	32.69	9.44	100.00 R
* .	5.22	4.73	3.77	3.49	4.88	19.41	10.18	6.23 C
•		•			•			•
,601 +	54, 027	120,031	73,855	56,870	64,118	107,982	108,553	585,436
•	9.22	20.50	12.61	9.71	10.95	18.44	18.54	100.00 R
	22.79	19BQ	14.22	12.46	20.92	. 40.53	73.98	,23.06 0
OTAL	236,997	605,932	519,304	458, 145	306,359	266,421	146,717	2,537,875
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	91.33	23.87	20.46	17.97	12.07	10.49	5.78	100.00 R
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100,00 C

114

#### TABLE 168 DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY EDUCATIONAL COST AND GRANT LEVEL AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

## DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

**		,	,	GRANT	LEVEL			
DUCATIONAL COST:	\$1- 399	\$400- 799	\$800- 999	\$1,000- 1,199	\$1,200- 1,399	\$1,400- 1,799	\$1,800	TOTAL
ESS THAN \$401	46	0	. 0		0	` <b>o</b> ∵	0	46
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00 R
, , ^ ~	0.03	0.00	. 0.00	,0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
\$401 - 1,500	4.628	18.373	. 33	. 118	r 27	101	2	23,282
	19.87	78.91	0.14	0,50	0.11	0.43	0.00	100.00 F
	3,11	4.63	~ 0.00	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.00	1.38
1,5011,800	11,340	38,318	· 76,616	636	749	700	47	128,406
	8.83	29.84	59.66	0.49	0.58	0.54	0.03	100.00 F
•	7.62	9.65	21.89	0.20	0.35	0.35	0.06	7.63
1,801 - 2,100	23, 189	70.330	127,795	50,973	~ 1,190	2,545	1,764	277.786
· .	8.34	25.31		18.34	0.42	0.91	0.63	100 00 F
•	15.59	17.73	36.52	16, 65	0.56	1.27	7 2.52	16.52
2.101 - 2,400	22,045	59,328	29,435	147,175	4,993	2,793	1,992	267,761
	8.23	22.15	10.99	54.96	1.86	1.04	0.74	100.00
·	. 14.82	14.95	8.41	48.08	2.37	1.40	2.84	15.92
2,401 - 2,700	15,932	45,365	24,323	22,785	108,468	1,491	4,303	222,667
	7.15	20.37	10.92	10.23	48.71	0.66	1.93	190.00 F
	10.71	11.43	6.95	7,44	51.61	0.74	6.15	13.24
2,701 - 3,000	8,380	21,758	10,455	11,743	23,800	28,663	3.258	108,'067
	7.75	20.14	9.67	10.86	. 22.02	26.52	3.01	100.00
	5.63	5.48	2.98	3.83	11.32	14.37	4.66	6.42
3.001 - 3.300	8,306	20,656	10,871.	11,200	9,860	35,247	1,988	98, 128
The same of the sa	8.46	21.05	11.07	11.41	10.04,	35.91	2.02	100.00
•	5.58	5.20	3.10	3.65	4.69	17.67	2.84	5.83
3,301 - 3,600	9,228	21,410	2,989	12,022	11,081	33,241	6,728	106,699
	8.64	20.06	12.17	11.26	10.38	31.15	6.30	100.00
•	6.20	5.39	3.71	3.92	5.27 °	16.66	9.62	,6.34
3,6Ó1 +	45,624	101,121	57,398	49,448	49,987	94,662	49,814	448,054
	10.18	22.56	12.81	11.63	. 11.15	21.12	11.11	100.00
•	30.67	25.49	16.40	16. 15	23.78	47.46	71.26	26,65 (
OTAL	148,718	396,669	349,915	306,100	210, 155	199,443	69,896	1,680,896
	8 - 84	23.59	20.81	18.21	12.50	11.86	4.15	100.00
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

## TABLE 16C DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY EDUCATIONAL COST AND GRANT LEVEL AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

## INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

	Q.			GRANT	LEVEL	,		ŧ
EDUCATIONAL COST:	\$1~ 399	\$400- 799	\$800- 999.	\$1,000- 1,199	\$1,200-` 1,399	\$1,400- 1,799	\$1,800	TOTAL
LESS THAN \$401	42 -	ò,	0	` 0	0	0	° o	. 42 N
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0:00	0.00	0.00	100.00 RX
•	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	` 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 CX
•	,							•
\$401 - 1,500	12,523	· 22,603 ·	61	165	<b>56</b> .	141 3	2	35,551 N
•	35.22	63.57	· · O. 17	~ 0.46	0. 15	0.39	0.00	. 100.00 R%
	14.18	10.80	0.03	· 0.10	0.05	0.21	0.00	4.14 CX
\$1,501 - 1,800	`\15.457	-37, 129	41.951	659	492	953	. 62	96,703 N
\$1,501 - 1,800	15.98	37,129	41,951	0.68		0.98	0.06	100.00 RX
	17.50	17.74	. 24.76	0.43	0.50 0.51	1.42	0.08	11 28 C
	17.50	14.74	. 24.76	0.43	0.51	1.42	0.08	11,50 G
\$1,801 - 2,100	23, 115	57,631	79,643	34,603	8 18	1:611	1,862	199.083 N
-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -	11.61	28.94	40.00	17.38	0.41	0.80	0.83	100.00 R
•	- 26.18	27.53	47.01	23.06	0.85	2.40	2.16	23.23 C
,		2						
32,101 - 2,400	12,611	29,882	9,727	89.311	3,554	1,472	1,662	148,219
	8.50	20.16	6.56	60.25	2.39	0.99	1.12	100.00 R
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	14.28	14.27	5.74	59.52	3.69	2.19	2.16	17.29 C)
						240		407 044 4
\$2,401 <sup>°</sup> , - 2,700	6,326	18,849	8,579	5,351	61,910	910	3,317	105,241
•	6.01	17.91	8.15	5.08	58.82	0.86	3.15	100.00 R1
•	7.16	9.00	5.06	3.56	64.35	1.35	4.31	12.28 C)
32.701 - 3.000	3,239	8,505	2.997	4,148	8,227	11,949	1.946	41.011
	7.89	20.73	7.30	10.11	20.06	29, 13	4.74	100.00 Rt
	3.66	4.06	1.76	2.76	8.55	17.84	2.53	4.78 C7
N.	-						•	
33,001 - 3,300	3,416	8,489	3,368	4.460	3,140	18, 134	1,223	42,230 N
	8.08	20. 10 <sup>-</sup>	7.97	10.56	7.43	42.94	2.89	100.00 R
	3.86	4.05	1.98	2.97	3.26	27.07	1.59	4.92 CX
3,301 - 3,600	3, 147	7,265	-6,607	3.926	3.876	18,488	8.208	51,517 N
-	6.10	14.10	12.82	7.62	7.52	35.88	15.93	100.00 RX
	3.56	3.47	3.90	31.	4.02	27.60	10.68	6.01 C
	٠,٠,	- · · · · ·	5.55	•		2.,,,,		
\$3,601 +	8,403	18,910	16,457	,22	14, 131	13,320	58,739	137,382 N
•	6.11	- 13.76	11.97	5.40	10.28	9.69	42.75	100.00 R%
•	9.51	9.03	9.71	4.94	14.68	19.88	76.46	16.03 CX
	,		400 000	450 045	00 004	66 678	76 604	JER 070 N
FOTAL	88,279	209,263	169,389	150,045	96,204	66,978	76,821	356,979 N
4	10.30	24.41	19.76	17.50 -	11.22	7.81	8.96	100.00 R%
J	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	, 100.00	100.00	100.00 C%

. dd d Q

## Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients By State and Control of Institution Award Period 1979-80

Table 17 presents a distribution of Basic Grant recipients by state and control of institution.

Of the 50 states, New York and California together had 507,076 recipients attending institutions in those states or 20 percent of the total number of Basic Grant recipients. (It should be noted that the category "All Others", which includes Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and the U.S. Trust Territories, had 83,153 recipients.) In contrast, the following five states had fewer than 6,000 recipients each: Alaska, Delaware, Hawaii, Nevada, and Wyoming.

An examination of the general enrollment pattern (see Table 14) shows that approximately 66 percent of the total recipient population attended public, institutions, 24 percent attended private, non-profit institutions, and 10 percent attended private, profit-making institutions. However, there was considerable variety among states in the type of institution most attended by Basic Grant recipients. For example, in seven states, over 85 percent of the recipients attended public institutions.

States with the highest proportion of recipients enrolled in public. institutions:

Wyoming	. /	2,724	(92% of recipients in Wyoming)
Utah		9,140	(92% of recipients in Utah)
Idaho	-	6,550	(91% of recipients in Idaho)
Montana	•	7,714	(87% of recipients in Montana)
Mississippi	<sub>k.</sub> ••	27,328	(87%: of recipients in Mississippi)
Alaska	-	1,135	(86% of recipients in Alaska)
Maryland	-	31,700	(85% of recipients in Maryland)
Nevada .	-	2,763 ~	(85% of recipients in Nevada)
North Dakota		8,362	(85% of recipients in North Dakota)

In Massachusetts, Rhode Island, the District of Columbia and the Territories, fewer than 50 percent of the recipients were enrolled in public institutions.



States with the lowest proportion of recipients enrolled in public institutions:

District of Columbia	-	12,438	(31% of recipients in
U.S. Territories	-	29,836	the District of Columbia (36% of recipients in the
Massachusetts	.• <b>•</b> °	36,723	Territories) (45% of recipients in
Rhode Island	-	7,953	Massachusetts) . (50% of recipients in Rhode Jeland)

In five states, plus in both the District of Columbia and the U.S. territories, over 38 percent of the recipients were enrolled in private, non-profit institutions.

 States with a relatively high proportion of recipients enrolled in private, non-profit institutions:

U.S. Territories	- 48,135	(58% of recipients in the Territories)
District of Columbia	- 21,276	5 (53% of recipients in
Massachusetts	- 39,169	
Vermont	- 3,130	
Iowa .	- 12,663	Vermont) (40% of recipients in lows)
New Hampshire	- 3,898	(39% of recipients in
Rhode Island	- 6,168	New Hampshire) (39% of recipients in Rhode Island)

On the other hand, recipients attending private, non-profit institutions constituted 4 percent or less of all recipients in five states.


Recipients enrolled in private, non-profit institutions:

Wyoming	•	0	• • •
Arizona	<b>.</b> 5	628	(2% of recipients in Arizona)
Utah:	•	223	(2% of recipients in Utah)
Nevada	-	140	(4% of recipients in Nevada)
Alaska	<b>-</b> ,	59	(4% of recipients in Alaska)

Fewer than one percent of all recipients in Alaska, Idaho and Vermont were enrolled in private, profit-making institutions, while those attending private, profit-making institutions accounted for 21 percent of all recipients in Arizona, 20 percent in Indiana, and 16 percent in the District of Columbia.

	•	سائلة كيم وه - به قد ه ۱ مه	BLIC	PRIVATE	YON-PROFIT	PRIVATE	OF IT-MAKING		ral,
ALABAMA		TOTAL. RECIPIENTS	EXPEN- DITURES	TOTAL RECIPIENTS	TOTAL EXPEN- DITURES	TOTAL RECIPIENTS	TOTAL EXPEN- DITURES	TOTAL RECIPIENTS	TOTAL EXPEN- DITURES
ALABAMA	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	41,976	36,455,340	9,655	13,149,775	3,823	4,843,586	55,454	54,448,701
ARIZONA			978,399 20,260,625	628	77. <b>9</b> 55 757.928	6.263	146,228	1,319	1,202,282
ARKANSAS	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	20,887	18:413:251-		4,439,305	2,715	6, 172, 140 2, 171, 617	30, 129 27, 604	27,190,693 25,024,173
CALIFORNIA	••••••	.1 146.141	113.877.994		30,769,304	26,237	28,261,014-		172.908.312
COLORADO		20,069		3,270		3,861	3,626,497	27,200	26, 165, 388
CONNECTICUT	***********	13,936	12,498,481 4,454,659		7,141,595	2,828	2,707,707	23,425	22,347,783
DELAWARE DISTRICT OF COLUMB	IA.	12,348	8.646.551	769 21,276	837,981 24,024,329	356 6.379	335,407 6,536,461	5.675	5,628,047
FLORIDA		53 364		15, 147	18.686.080	9.082	9,651,796	40,003 87,593	39,207,341 84,278,664
GECRGIA		27 642	26,903,553	(11, 778)		4,496	4.954:701	43,917	45,690,944
HAWAII	• • • • • • • • • • • •	3,674		531,	710, 128	353	348,767	4,558	3,931,041
I THITMOTE	•	701/480	5,763,188	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	786,608	0	00	7,228	6,549,796
INDIANA		29,985	63,333,622 27,575,167	29, 923 12, 680	35,208,499 12,859,986	9,320	10, 151, 466		108,693,587
IOWA.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	18.341	16,656,387		13,805,290	10,966	11,559,780 848,479	53,631 31,993	51,994,933 31,310,156
TI TRANCACAN AND	_	1 20.260	103227 740	6.295	6,880,255	2,243	2.108.775	28.827	27,316,749
KENTUCKY.	,	. 26,455	22,946,047	7,530	8,870,196	5,752	5,971,865	39,737	37,788,108
LOUISIANA	• • • • • • • • • •	·37,261	35,316,789		5,988,085	5, 121	5,972,611	42.091	47,277,485
MAINE MARYLAND	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		9,614,208	3,355	-3,644,699	670_		13,663	14,050,648
MASSACHUSETTS		36,723	29,857,860 34,835,084	3,751 39,169	4,024,034	2.017	1,896,018	37,468	35,577,962
MICHIGAN		. 77.303	71.595.415	17.108	43,711,245 19,155,421	4,928 , 6,761	5,026,419 6,748,288	80,820 101,172	83,572,748
MINNESOTA		43.876	38.976.595		12,648,610	2.481	2,367,353	58,477	97,499,124 53,992,558
MISSISSIPPI			26,232,994	3,333	4,405,334	897	967,235	31,558	31,605,563
MISSOURI	• • • • • • • • • • • •	4 TILLIE	28,916,800		15,041,871	4,263	4, 191, 564	51,506	48, 150, 235
NEBRASKA			7,081,512	-,-	398 332	272	263,664	8,886	8,343,508
NEVADA			12,724,284	4,301	4,687;173 134,928	1,264	1,150,575	19.815	18,562,032
NEW HAMPSHIRE	• • • • • • • • • • • •	5.583	5.521.974	3,898	4;115,893	361 502	325,423 47 <b>9</b> ,753	3,264 9,983	2,877,916
NEW JERSEY		45 308	43,198,456	12.984	15.256.422	9.702	9.794.045	67.994	10, 117,620 68,248,923
NEW MEXICO	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	6,707	6,182,507	773	901.540	556	456.524	8.036	7.600,571
NEW YORK	• • • • • • • • • • •		196, 172, 185		107,902,252	37,484	41,806,584		345,881,021
NORTH DAKOTA	• • • • • • • • • • •	47.971 8.362	41.811.260 7.575.249	16,252	20.371,303	3,205	3,385.018	67,428	65,567,581
I OHIO		62 705	61,158,050	1;013. 22`088	24.633.544	424 10, 106	389,456 10,609,864	9,799	9, 169, 753
[□OKLAHOMA,		. 28.167	24.797.933	5, 185	5,994,923	1,882	1.849.529	94, <b>8</b> 99 35,234	96,401,458 32,642,385
SOREGON		23:450	21,326,630	3,752	4.272.025	1,599	1,606,826	29,010	27, 205, 481
PENNSYLVANIA	• • • • • • • • • •	73,195	72,576,093		48,879,245	18,353	19,484,260		140.939.598
SOUTH CAROLINA		7,953	7,712,973	6, 168	6,545,094	5.864	1,874,309	15,985	16, 132, 376
SOUTH DAKOTA		24,651 8,522	21,962,592 8,318,365	9,511	12,348,896	· 3,985	3,896,268	38,147	38,207,756
TENNESSEE		31.953	29,450,255	2,980 15,702	3,454,207 19,383,717	536 6,509	504,089 7,982,456	12,088	12,276,661
TEXAS		96 236	76.205.222	20,414	22,982,837	10.152	9.707.164	54, 164 126, 802	56,816,428 108,895,223
VERMONT.	• • • • • • • • •	9.140	8,671,129	223	282,001	587	535.241	9.950	9.488.371
VERMONT		4,317	4 , 446 , 657	3,130	3,584,113	67	72,829	7.,514	8, 103, 599
VIRGINIA		31,600	29,670,013	8,363	9,781,266	4,389	4,684,717	44,352	44, 135, 996
WASHINGTON.		26,646 12,015	22.911,202	5,519	6,300,085	2,730	2.975, 150	34,895	32, 186, 437
WISCONSIN		40.872	37.546.756	3,388 8,055	3,689,193 8,618,229	1,728 1,902	1,643,504 1,969,948	17., 131 50.829	16,632,724
ESHVIMITAR		0.704	2.411.775		00		169:848	2.952	48,134,533
ALL OTHERS.		29.836	26,007,605		62:160,090		6.191.001	83, 153	94.358.696

# Number of Basic Educational Opportunity Grant Recipients By State of Institution Attended Award Period 1979-80



Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients By Recipients! State of Legal Residence and Control of Institution Award Period 1979-88

Table 18 shows a distribution of Basic Grant recipients by the recipients state of legal residence and control of institution.

During award period 1979-80, over 100,000 recipients resided in each of the following six states: California, Illinois, Michigan, New York; Pennsylvania, and Texas. The collective number of recipients living in those states equalled 987,217 and constituted 39 percent of the total number of Basic Grant recipients. In contrast, each of the following six states had fewer than 7,000 recipients: Alaska, Delaware, Hawaii, Nevada, Vermont and Wyoming.

A comparison with the data from Table 17 shows that over 10 percent more recipients were enrolled in institutions in each of the following ten states than recipients who resided in them: Arizona, District of Columbia, Indiana, Kentucky, New Hampshire, North Carolina, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Vermont and West Virginia. On the other hand, the comparison indicates that over 10 percent more recipients resided in each of the following seven states than were enrolled in an institution in them: Alaska, Connecticut, Hawaii, Idaho, Nevada, New Jersey, and the Territories. In most states the number of recipients attending within a state is close to the number of recipients residing in a state.



NORTH CAROLINA	`.	• •			to the second of	the same that the same to the same that the					
ALASAMA	•		PUBLIC		PRIVATE	PRIVATE: NON-PROFIT		PRIVATE, PROFIT-MAKING		TOTAL	
ALASAMA				TOTAL	3-77	TOTAL	4 4 5 6 p 5 4 4 4 5	TÖTAL		TOTAL	
ALABAMA (939, 811 34,666,609 7,887 10,229,456 3,981 4,915,072 51,679 49,811,336 ALASKA (1,444 1,330,681 349 413,201 211 230,470 2,054 19,811,336 ALASKA (1,444 1,330,681 349 413,201 211 230,470 2,054 19,811,336 ARASKA (1,444 1,330,681 349 413,201 211 230,470 2,054 19,839,306 CALFORNIA (12,023 110,852,843 26,351 32,355,165 3,966 2,964,271 26,398 23,199,306 CALFORNIA (12,023 110,852,843 26,351 32,356,165 3,162 2,918,755 27,052 28,489,860 COLORADO (14,567 15,196,631 10,852,143 26,351 32,356,165 3,163 2,979,841 19,220 11,489,871,670 20,161 11,489 11,441,441 1,446 2,146 2,146,145 1,441,441 1,446 2,146 2,146,145 1,441,441 1,446 2,146 2,146,145 1,441,441 1,446 2,146		*				EXPEN-	TOTAL		TOTAL		
ALASAA.    A.   39, 811   34, 666, 809   7, 887   10, 229, 455   3, 981   4, 915, 072   216   20, 204   1, 974, 382     ALIXINA   20, 284   16, 973, 850, 20, 686   2, 395, 185   3, 986   2, 715   2, 716, 775   27, 1082   24, 189, 680     ALIXINA   20, 284   16, 973, 850, 20, 686   2, 395, 185   3, 986   2, 715   2, 716, 775   27, 1082   24, 189, 680     ALIXINA   20, 284   10, 285, 483   2, 385   32, 38	`	A Property of the Contract of	RECIPIENTS	DITURES	RECIPIENTS	DITURES	RECIPIENTS	DITURES	RECIPIENTS		
ALSYAL	•	I ALABAMA	39.811	34 666 809	7 867	10 229 455	2 001	4 945 072	E4 670	40 044 000	
ARIZOMA.  20,364   16,879,850   2,068   2,355,165   3,966   3,964,271   28,398   23,199,306   CALIFORNIA.  142,020   10,852,843   24,951   32,358,893   25,224   26,944,043   194,201   170,155,784   CONNECTICUT   16,868,711   7,472,883   24,951   32,358,893   25,224   26,944,043   194,201   170,155,784   CONNECTICUT   16,868,711   7,472,883   24,951   32,358,893   25,224   26,944,043   194,201   170,155,784   CONNECTICUT   16,868,711   7,472,883   24,951   32,358,893   25,224   26,944,043   194,201   170,155,784   CONNECTICUT   16,868,711   7,472,883   24,973   24,973   24,773   26,779   27,703,815   DISTRICTOR COLUMBIA.   4,900   4,212,311   7,295   1,315,214   7,771,473   26,773   27,703,815   ELEMANRE   3,660   3,461,511   7,295   1,315,214   7,385,802   1,495   1,777,473   27,777,473   27,770,773   27,800,622   11,532   11,532   14,735,802   1,395	î	ALASKA									
ARKANSAS. 20,159 17,776,469 3,762 4,344.76 3,197 2,718,755 27,052 24,189,680 CALIFORNIA. 16,862 17,471,863 4,333 4,466,451 3,183 2,979,841 26,168 24,884,175 COMMECTICUT 16,165,847 1,461,862 17,471,863 4,333 4,466,451 3,183 2,979,841 26,168 24,884,175 COMMECTICUT 16,1682 17,471,863 4,333 4,466,451 3,183 2,979,841 26,168 24,884,175 COMMECTICUT 16,1682 17,471,863 4,369,631 1,264 1,404,845 1,404,8	3			16,879,850	2,068						
COMPRENDI 14, 142, 2023 11, 247, 1823 12, 358, 398 25, 224 26, 944, 043 194, 201 170, 155, 784 COMPRENDI 1, 15, 1825 17, 1447, 1825 1, 155, 156, 631 10, 1895 11, 201, 841 3, 246 3, 108, 338 26, 708 27, 709, 810 COMPRENDI 1, 15, 1827 17, 1825 17,		ARKANSAS			3,762						
COMMECTICUT	٠. }	CALIFORNIA						44.		170, 155, 784	
DETAILOT OF COLUMBIA 4, 300, 4, 212, 311, 1, 2,947, 3, 778, 1959, 1, 490, 1, 1771, 473, 8, 737, 9, 768, 706, 768, 706, 718, 718, 718, 718, 718, 718, 718, 718	: }	COMMECTICITY									
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 4,300 4,212,311 2;947 3,778,922 1,490 1,777,473 8,737 9,788,706 1,793 16,689,193 17,838 22,076,041 8,497 8,870,291 90,108 87,815,487 4,600,692 1,600,692 11,592 14,395,602 3,841 4,103,387 46,133 4,287,588 1,494,111 3,566 12,992,336 11,992,41399,945 86 374,702 5,251 4,722,983 10,440,111 3,566 12,992,336 11,992,41399,945 86 374,702 5,251 4,722,983 10,440,111 3,566 12,992,336 11,992,41399,945 86 374,702 5,251 4,722,983 10,440,111 3,440,454 1,440	'۔	DELAWARE		and the area section and the	stor as an advanced to her along						
## CORIDA		DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	- • • • •								
GEORGIA: 30,760 27;800,629 11,532 1;356,602 3,841 130,357 46,133 46,237,888 144411 3,666 2;982,336 11,199.1;359,945 38 37,702 5,51 4,722,983 10,440 11,521 11,522 11,523 11,522 11,523 11,522 11,523 11,522 11,523 1	• :	FLORIDA	63,773								
TARKO											
ILLINOIS	• ,							374,702	5,251		
INDIANA   28, 422 28,885,800   10,635   10,832,992   4,937   4,24,772   10,934   11,134,354   16,991   16,786   438   16,786   438   16,786   438   16,872,209   9,288   5,605,280   2,142   19,44,972   25,287   24,222,461   10,005   13,484   13,005   14,672   14,984   17,285   18,846   16,672,209   9,288   5,605,280   2,142   19,44,972   25,287   24,222,461   14,945	•										
TOWAY   18.589   16.788   248   10.222   11.009   975   1.484   1.00   221   30.285   29.098   678											
Coloration   Co	` ;										
ENTUCKY.  23,963 20,483,850 8,596 7,683,774 4,305 4,419,214 34,864 32,586,538 Mannel Colorado						.,,					
MAINE   9, 405   33,747   33,707   508   41,389   5,550   188   5,420   31,90,407   46,056   45,448   103   MAINE   9, 405   39,374   307   3,712   4,214,521   895   970,745   14,012   14,560,173   MASSACHUSETTS   38,719   37,034,631   33,978   37,772,844   5,337   5,448,922   78,034   80,256,397   MICHIGAN   74,601   69,088,078   18,327   20,446,115   7,651   7,583,193   100,579   97,117,686   MINNESUTA   44,022   38,957,790   10,997   11,540,272   3,076   3,025,772   38,102   53,523,834   MISSISSIPPI   25,201   23,950,009   3,312   4,392,810   1,125   1,274,324   29,638   29,617,173   MISSISSIPPI   25,276   25,720   23,950,009   3,312   4,392,810   1,125   1,274,324   29,638   29,617,173   MISSISSIPPI   32,776   26,875,882   1,477   1,651,041   452   -434,201   9,571   8,961,124   MERRASKA   13,992   12,479,695   3,840   4,020,261   1,272   1,171,994   19,104   17,883,950   MEVADA   3,487,376   MEVADA   3,487,376   MEVADA   3,487,376   MEVADA   4,565,207   49,715   48,042,378   24,077   26,819,704   9,974   10,256,949   83,766   85,119,031   MEW YORK   182,566   197,525,076   94,620   113,527,788   36,190   43,038,546   315,376   35,400   0,975   30,400   30,4	<u> </u>										
MARYLAND  27:807, 25:700;404  35:778 6:417.896  25:585 2.418.999  36:250 34,836.401  MICHIGAM  MASSACHUSETTS  38:719 27;034.631  33:788 6:417.896  37:772.884  53:377 5.448.922  78:034 80;256.337  MICHIGAM  MICHIGAM  74.601 89.088:078  MISSISSIPPI  25:201 23:950.039  3.312 4;392.810  11.826 12;960,609  4:486 4.428.747  49.088 45.961.660  MONTANA  76.62 6.875.882  14.77 1.651.041  MERASKA  15:992 12:479.695  3.840 4.202.261  1.272 1.171.994  19:104 17:853.950  NEV JERSEY  MEV HAMPSHIRE  4.678 4.596.207  NEV JERSEY  4.678 4.596.207  NEW MEXICO  5.966 5.372.998  981 1:180.830  638 623.274  7.585 7.177.102  NORTH CAROLINA  44.438 38:324.547  12.674 15;789.489  38.394 3.894 3.899.432.385  NORTH CAROLINA  76.51 6.595.932  77.803  78.402  78.507  78.602  78.507  78.602  78.507  78.602  78.603  78.602  78.702  78.603  78.602  78.603  78.603  78.702  78.002  78.603  78.604  78.606  78.604  78.606  78.604  78.606  78.604  78.606  78.604  78.606  7	· W	LOUISIANA			4,389	5,550,188	,				
MASSACHUSETTS   38,719 37,034,631   33,978 37,772,844   5,337   5,448,922   78,034 80,256,337   MICHIGAM   74,601 99,088,078   81,227 0,446,415   7,651 7,883,193   100,979 97,176,886   MISSISSIPPI   28,201 23,950,039   3,312 4,392,810   1,125 1,274,324   29,638 29,617,173   MISSISSIPPI   28,201 23,950,039   3,312 4,392,810   1,125 1,274,324   29,638 29,617,173   MISSISURI   32,776 28,572,304   11,826 12,960,660   4,465 4,428,747   40,088 45,961,660   MORTANA   7,642 6,875,882   1,477 1,651,041   452 -434,201 9,571 8,961,124   MERRASKA   13,992 12,479,695 3,840 4,202,261   1,272 1,171,1994   19,104 17,653,950   MERRASKA   2,865 2,493,877   511 526,714   508 466,785 3,884 3,487,376   MEVADA   MEWADA   2,865 2,493,877   511 526,714   508 466,785 3,884 3,487,376   MEW JURNSTY   49,715 48,042,378   24,077 26,819,704   9,974 10,256,949   83,766 85,119,031   MEW JURNSTY   49,715 48,042,378   24,077 26,819,704   9,974 10,256,949   83,766 85,119,031   MEW JURNSTY   44,638 38,324,547   12,674 15,789,489 3,594 3,819,942   41,066 57,933,978   MORTH DAKOTA   7,591 6,951,570   1,051 1,247,548   435 405,054   9,077 8,604,172   0,100   MORTH DAKOTA   7,591 6,951,570   1,051 1,247,548   435 405,054   9,077 8,604,172   0,100   MORTH DAKOTA   22,545 20,278,921 3,788 4,271,497   1,615 1,597,246   29,441,004   0,000		MAINE:			• • •	., ,	,			14,560,173	
MICHIGAM: 74.601 69.088.078 18:327 20.446.415 7.651 7.583.193 100.579 97.117.866 MINSESTA. 44.028 38.957.790 10.997 11.540.272 3.076 3.028.772 38.102 53.523.834 18:SSURI: 32.776 28.572.304 11.826 12.960.609 44.86 4.28.747 49.088 45.961.660 MONTAN. 7.642 6.875.882 1.477 1.651.041 452 -434.201 9.571 8.961.124 NERRASKA. 13.992 12.479.695 3.840 4.022.261 1.272 1.171.994 19.104 17.853.950 NEW HAMPSHIRE 4.678 4.596.207 2.904 3.105.428 576 466.785 3.843 3.487.376 NEW HAMPSHIRE 4.678 4.596.207 2.904 3.105.428 576 528.666 8.158 8.230.301 NEW JERSEY. 4.678 4.596.207 2.904 3.105.802 638 623.274 7.585 7.177.102 NEW YORK. 182.566 197.525.076 94.620.113.527.788 38.190 43.038.546 315.376 354.091.410 NORTH DAKOTA. 7.591 6.955.570 1.051 12.727.788 38.190 43.038.546 315.376 354.091.410 ORGON. 22.545 20.278.921 3.788 4.271.497 1.655.640 1.945.198.065 32.146 29.441.004 ORGON. 22.545 20.278.921 3.788 4.271.497 1.655.640 1.945.198.065 32.146 29.441.004 ORGON. 22.545 20.278.921 3.788 4.271.497 1.655.126 20.778.298 9.124.79.378 2.175.207 2.175.		MACCACHICETTE									
MINNESOTA	1										
MISSISSIPPI 28;201 23,950,039 3,312 4,392,810 1,125 1,274,324 29,638 29,617,173 32,776 28,572,304 11,826 12,960,609 4,486 4,428,747 49,086 45,961,660 1,060,000 1,060,	1										
MISSOURI: 32,776 28,572,304 11,828 12,960,609 4,486 4,428,747 49,088 45,961,660 MONTANM 7,642 6,875,882 1,477 1,651,041 452 -434,201 9,571 8,961,124 13,992 12,479,695 3,840 4,202,261 1,272 1,171,994 19,104 177,853,990 NEVADA: 2,865 2,493,877 511 526,714 508 466,785 3,884 3,487,376 NEV HAMPSHIRE 4,678 4,596,207 49,715 48,042,378 24,077 26,819,704 9,974 10,256,949 83,766 85,119,031 NEW WEXICO 5,966 5,372,998 91 1,180,830 638 623,274 7,555 7,177,102 NEW MEXICO 15,966 5,372,998 94,620 113,527,788 38,190 43,038,546 315,376 354,091,410 NORTH CAROLINA 44,838 38,324,547 12,674 15,789,489 3,594 3,819,942 61,106 57,933,978 NORTH DAKOTA 7,591 6,991,570 0 PLIO 16,571 10,571 12,47,548 435 405,054 9,077 8,604,172 DKLAHOMA 26,472 23,203,699 3,729 4,256,640 1,945 1,980,665 32,146 29,441,004 OREGON 26,472 23,203,699 3,729 4,256,640 1,945 1,980,665 32,146 29,441,004 OREGON 27,716 7,476,310 4,190 4,495,671 1,573 1,602,456 13,479 13,574,337 SOUTH CAROLINA 23,962 21,614,575 10,547 13,836,984 3,809 3,760,281 137,031 141,423,090 REGON 23,962 21,614,575 10,547 13,836,984 3,809 3,760,281 13,749 13,574,437 14,64 PENNESSEE 30,190 26,640,404 8,567 13,612 72,920,735 45,190 49,543,147 11,771 10,987 10,356,829 10,481,141 217 223,076 6,553 10,981,141 157 TEXAS 92,083 72,587,303 18,569 20,622,002 9,985 9,605,213 120,837 10,281,1518 127 10,987 10,356,829 10,481,141 217 223,076 6,553 20,983 72,587,303 18,569 20,622,002 9,985 9,605,213 120,837 10,356,829 10,481,141 217 223,076 6,563 7,057,149 14,543,140 14,	•	MISSISSIPPI			7.4						
NEMTANA   7,642 6,875,882   1,477 1,651,041   452 -434,201   9,571 8,961,124   NERASKA   13,992 12,479,695   3,840 4,202,261   1,272 1,171,994   19,104 17,853,950   NEVADA   1,575,076   1,526,714	. i		32,776								
NEW DA	ì					1,651,041					
NEW HAMPSHIRE											
NEW JERSEY. 49.715 48.042.378 75.966 5.372.998 981 1.180.830 638 623.274 7.585 7.177, 102 7.100 1.100	,	ANEYAUA (***)	•		-						
NEW MEXICO: 5,966 5,372,998 981 1,180,830 638 623,274 7,585 7,177,102 NEW YORK. 182,566 197,525,076 94,620 113,527,788 38,190 43,038,546 315,376 354,091,410 NORTH CAROLINA 44,838 38,324,547 12,674 15,789,489 3,594 3,819,942 61,106 57,933,978 7,010 NORTH DAKOTA. 7,591 6,951,570 1,051 1,247,548 435 405,054 9,077 8,604,172 OKLAHOMA 26,472 23,203,699 3,729 4,256,640 1,945 1,980 665 32,146 29,441,004 OREGON. 22,545 20,278,921 3,788 4,271,497 1,615 1,597,246 27,948 26,147,664 PENNSYLVANIA 73,612 72,920,735 45,196 49,348,124 18,223 19,154,231 137,031 141,423,090 RHODE ISLAND. 7,716 7,476,310 4,190 4,495,671 1,573 1,602,456 13,479 13,574,437 SOUTH CAROLINA 23,962 21,614,575 10,547 13,836,984 3,809 3,760,281 38,318 39,211,840 SOUTH CAROLINA 23,962 21,614,575 10,547 13,836,984 3,809 3,760,281 38,318 39,211,840 SOUTH CAROLINA 23,962 21,614,575 10,547 13,836,984 3,809 3,760,281 38,318 39,211,840 SOUTH CAROLINA 27,584 30,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 48,841,157 TEXAS. 92,083 72,587,303 18,569 20,622,002 9,985 9,605,213 120,637 102,814,518 UTAH. 7,744 7,033,536 2,732 2,851,376 511 471,917 10,987 10,356,829 VERNONT 29,983 3,818,57,98 7,845 9,123,924 4,41 4,766,815 42,266 42,076,537 WASHINGTON 25,104 21,311,896 5,589 6,268,333 2,891 3,084,273 33,584 30,564,502 8,439 9,057,748 11,745 16,86,570 49,235 46,394,920 WEST VIRGINIA 2,497 2,180,458 370 405,058 116 117,476 2,983 2,702,972	41				, - • - •				- •		
NEW YORK.  NORTH CAROLINA  A 4/338 38,324,547  NORTH CAROLINA					7		•		•		
NORTH CAROLINA	. :	NEW YORK	, -,								
NORTH DAKOTA	]	NORTH CAROLINA									
OKLAHOMA         26,472         23,203,699         3,729         4,256,640         1,945         1,980,665         32,146         29,441,004           OREGON         22,545         20,278,921         3,788         4,271,497         1,615         1,597,246         27,948         26,147,664           PENNSYLVANIA         73,612         72,920,735         45,196         49,348,124         18,223         19,154,231         137,031         141,423,090           RHODE ISLAND         7,716         7,476,310         4,190         4,495,671         1,573         1,602,456         13,478         13,774,437           SOUTH CAROLINA         23,962         21,614,575         10,547         13,836,984         3,809         3,760,281         38,318         39,211,840           SOUTH DÁKOTA         8,567         8,400,201         2,570         3,072,284         652         562,007         11,789         2,034,492           TENNESSEE         30,190         26,640,404         9,607         11,943,347         6,161         7,257,406         45,958         45,841,157           TEXAS         21,744         7,033,536         2,732         2,851,376         511         471,917         10,987         10,2814,518           UTAH <td< th=""><th>~~ ,</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>435</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>	~~ ,						435				
OREGON.  22,545 20,278,921 3,788 4,271,497 1,615 1,597,246 27,948 26,147,664 73,612 72,920,735 45,196 49,349,124 18,223 19,154,231 137,031 141,423,090 RHODE ISLAND.  7,716 7,476,310 4,190 4,495,671 1,573 1,602,456 13,479 13,574,437 SOUTH CAROLINA.  23,962 21;614,575 10,547 13,836,984 3,809 3,760,281 38,318 39,211,840 SOUTH DAKOTA.  8,567 8,400,201 2,570 3,072,284 652 562,007 11,789 12,034,492 11,000 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,841,157 TEXAS.  10,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 46,958							•				
PENNSYLVANIA 73,612 72,920,735 45,196 49,348,124 18,223 19,154,231 137,031 141,423,090 7,716 7,476,310 4,190 4,495,671 1,573 1,602,456 13,479 13,574,437 SOUTH CAROLINA 23,962 21,614,575 10,547 13,836,984 3,809 3,760,281 38,318 39,211,840 8,567 8,400,201 2,570 3,072,284 652 562,007 11,789 12,034,492 TENNESSEE 30,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 45,41,157 TEXAS 92,083 72,587,303 18,569 20,622,002 9,985 9,605,213 120,637 102,814,518 UTAH 7,033,536 2,732 2,851,376 511 471,917 10,987 10,356,829 VERMONT 3,981 4,085,932 2,365 2,748,141 217 223,076 6,563 7,057,149 VIRGINIA 29,983 28,185,798 7,845 9,123,924 4,441 4,766,815 42,269 42,076,537 WASHINGTON 25,104 21,311,896 5,889 2,302 2,577,402 1,770 1,686,646 13,613 12,871,517 WISCONSIN 39,051 35,640,502 8,439 9,067,848 1,745 1,686,570 49,235 46,394,920 WYOMING 2,497 2,180,458 370 405,038 116 117,476 2,983 2,702,972	`,										
RHODE ISLAND. 7,716 7,476,310 4,190 4,495,671 1,573 1,602,456 13,479 13,574,437 SOUTH CAROLINA. 23,962 21;614,575 10,547 13,836,984 3,809 3,760,281 38,318 39,211,840 500TH DAKOTA. 8,567 8,400,201 2,570 3,072,284 652 562,007 11,789 12,034,492									-		
SOUTH CAROLINA.  23,962 21;614;575 10,547 13,836,984 3,809 3,760,281 38,318 39,211,840 500TH DAKOTA.  8,567 8,400,201 2,570 3,072,284 652 562,007 11,789 12,034,492 7,034,518 7,744 7,033,536 2,732 2,851,376 511 471,917 10,987 10,356,829 10,356,829 10,356,837 10,356,829 10,356,837 10,356	.										
SOUTH DAKOTA	- 1										
TENNESSEE. 30,190 26,640,404 9,607 11,943,347 6,161 7,257,406 45,958 45,841,157 TEXAS. 92,083 72,587,303 7,744 7,033,536 2,732 2,851,376 511 471,917 10,987 10,356,829 VERMONT. 3,981 4,085,932 2,365 2,748,141 217 223,076 6,563 7,057,149 VIRGINIA. 29,983 28,185,798 7,845 9,123,924 4,441 4,766,815 42,269 42,076,537 WASHINGTON. 25,104 21,311,896 5,589 6,268,333 2,891 3,084,273 33,584 30,664,502 WEST VIRGINIA. 9,541 8,607,469 2,302 2,577,402 1,770 1,686,646 13,613 12,871,517 WISCONSIN. 39,051 35,640,502 8,439 9,067,848 1,745 1,686,570 49,235 46,394,920 WYOMING. 2,497 2,180,458 370 405,038 116 117,476 2,983 2,702,972						3,072,284			,		
120,637 102,814,518   120,637 102,814,518	•							7,257,406		45,841,157	
VERMONT  3,981 4,085,932 2,365 2,748,141 217 223,076 6,563 7,057,149 VIRGINIA  29,983 28,185,798 7,845 9,123,924 4,441 4,766,815 42,269 42,076,537 WASHINGTON  25,104 21,311,896 5,589 6,268,333 2,891 3,084,273 33,584 30,664,502 WEST VIRGINIA  9,541 8,607,469 2,302 2,577,402 1,770 1,686,646 13,613 12,871,517 WISCONSIN  39,051 35,640,502 8,439 9,067,848 1,745 1,686,570 49,235 46,394,920 WYOMING  2,497 2,180,458 370 405,038 116 117,476 2,983 2,702,972										102,814,518	
VIRGINIA       29,983 28,185,798       7,845 9.123,924       4,441 4,766,815       42,269 42,076,537         WASHINGYON       25,104 21,311,896       5,589 6,268,333       2,891 3,084,273       33,584 30,664,502         WEST VIRGINIA       9,541 8,607,469       2,302 2,577,402       1,770 1,686,646       13,613 12,871,517         WISCONSIN       39,051 35,640,502       8,439 9,067,848       1,745 1,686,570       49,235 46,394,920         WYOMING       2,497 2,180,458       370 405,038       116 117,476       2,983 2,702,972	-										
WASHINGYON. 25,104 21,311,896 5,589 6,268,333 2,891 3,084,273 33,584 30,664,502	. j										
WEST VIRGINIA	<u>:</u> [		•								
WISCONSIN	0	WEST VIRGINIA			-,						
WYONING	IC	WISCONSIN	39,051	35,640,502	8,439						
76.712 69,551,492 62,545 40,258,417 13,745 15,565,943 153,002 165,375,852	vided by ERIC			10 A 2 C 2 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C		N 100 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
	77	ALLEDTHERS	76,7,12	69,551,492	62,545	80, 258, 417	13,745	15,565,943	153,002	165,375,852	

#### CHAPTER 4

SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR THE MULTIPLE DATA ENTRY SYSTEM AWARD PERIOD 1979-80



#### Multiple Data Entry Summary Statistics Award Period 1979-80

Table 19 displays summary statistics by multiple data entry source for all Basic Grant applicants.

During the 1979-80 award period, students could apply for a Basic Grant using one of the following applications: the Basic Grant (BEOG) application; American College Testing Program's (ACT) Family financial Statement; College Scholarship Service's (CSS) Financial Aid Form; or the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency (PHEAA) Form. It will be noted that larger average grants went to PHEAA and CSS applicants than to BEOG and ACT applicants. The differential was only \$51, but may be surprising since BEOG applicants tend to have lower incomes (see Table 20). The reason for this is that lower income recipients more often attend schools with lower educational costs (see Table 3). It is possible that more low income students are less than full-time as well.

Of the four applications, CSS's Financial Aid Form was used most frequently by Basic Grant applicants. Approximately 49 percent of the 4,186,716 applications processed were from CSS. Applicants using the BEOG application were the least successful in obtaining a valid Student Eligibility Report. Students a ying for a Basic Grant with the CSS form were selected for validation most frequently.

 Applicants whose applications were returned for insufficient data and never resubmitted for processing (never obtained a valid SER):

Total		•	318,287	(7.6% of all applications
•			•	processed)
BEOG	•	-	118,127	(8.8% of BEOG applicants)
ACT		-	44,862	(6.6% of ACT applicants)
CSS.		-	147,932	(7.3% of CSS applicants)
PHEAA			7,366	(5.7% of PHEAA applicants)

Applicants selected for validation:

<del>-</del> •	232,118	(6.0% of all valid applications)
	55,077	(4.5% of valid BEOG applications)
-	37,395	(5.9% of valid ACT applications)
•	133,536	(7.1% of valid CSS applications)
-	6,110	(5.0% of valid PHEAA applications)
		- 55,077 - 37,395 - 133,536



Of all qualified applicants who enrolled in an approved postsecondary institution and who submitted a Student Eligibility Report, eighty-four percent actually received a grant. Proportionately more qualified applicants who used either the CSS or ACT form received a grant than applicants who used the other application forms.

Qualified applicants who received a grant:

Total ·	- :	<b>2,537,875</b> .	(84% of all qualified
BEOG	-	815,504	applicants) (78% of qualified BEOG applicants)
ACT	-	424,774	(87% of qualified ACT applicants)
CSS	-	1,222,469	(87% of qualified CSS applicants)
PHEAA	`-	75,128	(83% of qualified PHEAA applicants)

#### TABLE 19 NULTIPLE DATA ENTRY SUMMARY SYATISTICS AWARD PERIOD 1979:80

I APPLICANTS

	· ·	*	<u> </u>		
Commence of the second of the	M	ULTIPLE	D'ATA' ENTR	Y A.P.P.L.I	CATION
	√BEOG	ACT	· css	PHEAA	TOTAL
MUMBER.OF APPLICANTS	1 .		•		: '
SUBMITTING OPFICIAL APPLICATIONS.	1,340,626	678,010	2,037,830	130,250	4, 186, 7,18
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS	-			•	
SUBMITTING VALID	- 1,222,499	633,148	1,869,898	122,884	3,868,429
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF	-	•	•		, ,
QUALIFIED" APPLICANTS	1,039.137 77.51	490,313 72.31	1,409,486 69.16	90,809 69.71	3,029,745 72,36
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF	•			,	
NON-QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	183,362 13.67	142,435 21.06	480;412 23.57	32,075 . 24.62	838,684 20.03
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF APPLICATIONS RETURNED FOR INSUFFICIENT DATA AND	•	, ,,,		•	- ,
NEVER RE-SUBMITTED	118,127 8.81	44,862 6.61	147,932 7.25	7,366 5.65	318,287 7.60
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS SUBMITTING UNDFFICIAL	85,339 ·	31,778	144,965	18,836	280,918
APPLICATIONS		,	,,,,,ooo		
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS SELECTED FOR VALIDATION	55,077	37,395	133,536	6,110	232,118
NUMBER OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS	815,504	424,774	1,222,469	75,128	2,537,875
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	- 784,585,882	407,399,539	1,235,158,502	77,767,368	2,504,911,291

959


962





AVERAGE GRANT.

1,010

1,035







ERIC

Distribution of Basic Grant Recipients By Family Income and Multiple Data Entry Application Award Period 1979-80

20A - Total 20B - Dependent 20C - Independent

Tables 20A, 20B, and 20C present a distribution of Basic Grant recipients by family income and multiple data entry application.

During award period 1979-80, College Scholarship Service's (CSS) Financial Aid Form was used most frequently by Basic Grant recipients. Over half of all dependent recipients applied with the CSS form, while almost half of all independents utilized the Basic Grant (BEOG) application.

e Recipients using CSS applications

•	Total	<b>-</b> '	1,222,469	(48% of all recipients)
	Dependent	, <b>~</b> `	899,837	(54% of dependents)
	Independent	•	322,632	(38% of independents)

Recipients using BEOG applications:

Total	•	815.504	(32% of all recipients)
. Dependent	•	414,228	(25% of dependents)
Independents	• '	401,276	(47% of independents)

Approximately 44 percent of the recipients reporting an income of \$6,000 or less used the BEOG application; 39 percent of this income group used the CSS form. In contrast, 61 percent of the recipients with incomes greater than \$15,000 applied through CSS, whereas only 15 percent of the recipients in this income group used the BEOG application.

Recipients with income \$6,000 or less by type of application:

BEOG		449,365	(44% of recipients with
CSS 7	•	401,483	income \$6,000 or less) (39% of recipients with
		<	income \$6,000 or less)
ACT	-	146,510.	(14% of recipients with income \$6,000 or less)
PHEAA	-	19,980	(2% of recipients with income \$6,000 or less)

Recipients with income greater than \$15,000 by type of application:

BEOG	· •	106, 853	(15% of recipients with
CSS	•	421,073	income greater than \$15,000) (61% of recipients with
ACT	· •	132,164	income greater than \$15,000) (19% of recipients with
PHEAA		30,963	income greater than \$15,000) (5% of recipients with
			income greater than \$15,000

#### TABLE 20A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS Y FAMILY INCOME AND MULTIPLE DATA ENTRY APPLICATION AWARD PERIOD: 1979-80

ALL RECIPIENTS

\$30,001 4

TOTAL

	ŊŲĖŤ.	I P LE DATA	E N TORY	APPLICAT	r i on 🛒 🚎
FAMILY TINCOME:	BEOG	, AĈŤ	CSS	PHEAA.	TOTAL
LESS THAN \$1,001	79,723	22,450	72,399	2,368	176,940 N
	45.05	12.68	40.91	1.33	100.00 RX
	9,77	5.28	5.92	3.15	'6.97 CX
\$1,001 <b>4</b> 3,000	147,487	49; 260	127,587	6,753	331,087 N
	44:54	14, 27	38,53	2.03	100:00 RX
	18:08	11, 59	10,43	8.98	13:04 CX
\$3,001 ÷ \$,000	222,155	74.800	201,497	10,859 /	509, 311 N
	43:61	14.68	39:56	2.13	100, 00 R%
	27:24	17.60	16.48	14.45	20, 06 C%
\$6,001 - 9,000	126,933	66.616	147,078	8,002	338 629 N
	37.48	16.71	43,43	2.36	100 00 RX
	15.56	13.32	12.03	10.65	13 34 CX
\$9,001 - 15,000	132,353 .	89,484	252,835	16,183	490 855 N
	26.96	18.23	51.50	3.29	100 00 R%
	16.22	.21.06	20.68	21.54	19 34 C%
\$15,001 - 20,000:	56,349	60,386	181,294	13,965	311,994 N
	18.06	19,35	58.10	4.47	100,00 RX
	6.90	14,21	14.83	18.58	12,29 CX
\$20,001 - 30,000	45, 138	63,389	205,952	15, 141 4,59	329,620 N

19.23

14.92

8,389

16.96

424,774

16.73

1.97

13.69

5.53

5,366

10.85

815,504 32.13 100.00

1, ,

1	3	-

62.48

33,827

68.42

2.76

48.16 100.00

1,222,469

16.84

20.15

.1,857

3.75

2.47

75,128 2.96 ~

100.00

100.00 R% 12.98 C%

49,439 N 100.00 R% 1.94 C%

2.537;875 N 100.00 RX 100.00 CX

# TABLE 20B-DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND MULTIPLE DATA ENTRY APPLICATION AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

#### DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

	MULI	TIPLE DATA	ENTRY.	APPLICAT	I ON
FAMILY INCOME:	BEOG	ACT	css.	PHEAA	TOTAL
LESS THAN \$1,001	16,036	6,318	15,900	672	38,926 N
	, 41.19	16.23	40.84	1.72	100.00 R%
	3.87	2.06	1.76	1.11	2.31 CX
\$ 1,001 - 3,000	40.021	14.045	29,992	1.801	85,859 N
	46.61	16.35	34.93	2.09	100:00:8%
	9.66	4.58	3.33	2.98	5.10 CX
\$3.001 - 6.000	84.294	33,933	90,080	5,451	213.758 N
	39.43	15.87	42.14	2.55	100.00 R%
95	20.34	41.07	10.01	9.02	12.71 CX
\$6,001 ÷ 9,000	68,553	40, 178	110,676	6,366	225.773 N
	30.36	å . 17.79	49.02	2.81	100.00 R%
•	16.54	. 13.11	12.29	10.53	13.43 CX
	99.762	80, 107	232.777	15, 193	427.839 Ñ
	23.31	18,72	54.40	3.55	100.00 RX
	24.08	26. 14	25.86	25.15	25.45 C%
\$15,001 - 20,000	55, 195	·· 60, 105	180,719	13.933	309.982 N
	17.80	19.39	58.30	4.49	100.00 RX
	13.32	19.61	20.08	23.06	18 . 43 .CX
\$20,001 - 30,000	45,021	63,350	205.868	15, 137	329:376 N
	13.66		62.50	4.59	100.00 R%
	10.86	20.67	22.87	25.05	19.59 C%
\$30,001 +	5.346	8,386	33,825	1,856	- 49,413 N
	10.81	16.97	68.45	3.75	100.00 RX
	1.29	2.73	3.75	3.07	2.93 C%
TOTAL	414,228	306,422	899.837	60,409	1.680.896 N
	24.64	18.22	53.53	3.59	100.00 RX
	100.00 °	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00 CK



## TABLE 20C DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND MULTIPLE DATA ENTRY APPLICATION AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

### INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS

	-MULT:	IPLE DATA	A ENTRY	APPLICA	T I ON
FAMILY INCOME:	₿EOG Â	ACT · ·	css	PHEAA	TOTAL
LESS THAN \$1.001	63,687	16, 132 .	,56,499	1,696	. 138,014 <b>∀</b> .Ñ.
	46.14	11.68 -	40.93	1.22	100.00 R%
	15.87	13.63	17.51	11.52	16,10 CX
X21,001 - 3,000	107,466	35,215	97,595	4,952	245,228 N
MA TO THE PARTY OF	43.82	14.36	`39.79	າ 2.01	100:00 RX
	26.78	29.75	30:24	. 33.64	28:61 CX
\$3,001 - 6,000	137,861	40,867	111,417	5,408	295,583 N
	46.64	13.82	37.69	1.82	100.00 RX
	34.35	, 34.53	34.53	36.74	34.48 0%
\$6,001 - 9,000	58,380	16,438	36,402	1,636	112,856 N
	51.72	14.56	32.25	1.44	100.00 RX
	14.54	13.88	11.28	11.11	13. 16 CX
\$9,001 - 15,000	32,591	9,377	20,058	990	63,016% Ñ
· •	51.71	14.88	31.83	1.57	100.00 RX
	8.12	7.92	6.21	6.72	7.35 CX
\$15,001 - 20,000	1, 154	. 281	· 575	32	2,042 N
r	56.51	13.76	<b>28. 15</b>	1.56	100.00 R%
. :	, <b>0.28</b>	0.23	0.17	0.21	0.23 C%
\$20,001 - 30,000	○ 117	. 39	84	4	244 N
•	47.95	15.98	34.42	1.63	100.00 RX
• •	0.02	, ,O•03 ·	0.02 c	0.02	0.02 CX
		_	· 🥓	•	
\$30,001 +	20	. 3	2	, 1	26 · N
	76.92	11.53	7.69	3.84	100.00.R%
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00/ <b>C%</b>
TOTAL	401,276	118,352	322,632	·/ 14,719	856,979 N
F A	46.82	13.81	37.64	1.71	100.00 RX
<u> </u>	100.00	100.00	100,_00	100.00	100.00 CX

## CHAPTER 5

SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR APPLICANTS AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

## Distribution of Basic Grant Applicants By Eligibility Status and Income Range Award Period 1979-80

Tables 21A, 21B, and 21C present a distribution of Basic Grant applicants by eligibility status and income range. This is a new table that has not been produced in previous years.

Half of all qualified applicants had family incomes of \$7,500 or less. The percentage of dependents falling in this category is much lower than that for independents.

e Gualified applicants with family incomes of \$7,500 or less:

Total - 1,563,165 (50% of all qualified applicants)

Dependent - 562,292 (19% of dependents)
Independent - 1,000,872 (86% of independents)

The percentage of applicants who were qualified was much higher in the lower income ranges. This was particularly true for independent applicants.

 Qualified applicants as percent of total applicants with family income of \$7,500 or less:

Total	, •	1,563,165	(96% of applicants with
			incomes of \$7,500 or less)
Dependent	-	562,292	(99% of dependent applicants
• • • • •	•		with incomes of \$7,500 or
,		r	less)
Independent	•	1,000,872	(95% of independent
•			applicants with incomes of
			\$7,500 or less)

 Qualified applicants as percent of total applicants with family incomes over \$15,000:

Total	- 787 <b>,</b> 417	(56% of applicants with
Dependent	- 784,187	incomes of \$15,000 or less) , (60% of dependent applicants
te š	ę .	with incomes of \$15,000 or less)
Independent	- 3,230	(3.2% of independent applicants with incomes of
•	•	\$15,000 or less)



## TABLE 21A DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT APPLICANTS BY ELIGIBILITY STATUS AND INCOME RANGE AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

ALL APPLICANTS

	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	, i.i.	COME	RANGES	AND AT REPORT A PARE	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S		n to the second	
	4000	\$4,001- 7,500	\$7:5014 10:000	\$10,001	\$12,001- 15,000	\$15,001-	\$20,001-	- \$30,001 AND UP	TOTAL
STOTÁL QUALIFIED	934,077	629,087	325,008	207,370	252,149	356,618	374,817	55,982	3, 135, 102
PERCENT OF TOTAL QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	29.8%	20.1%	10.4%	6.6X	.: 8.0%	11.4%	11.9%	1.8%	100.0%
TOTAL APPLICANTS.	938,924	686,492	382,070	254, 170	331,608	486,952	-655,044	258,233	3,993,483
PERCENT OF TOTAL APPLICANTS	23.5%	17:2%	9.6%	6.4%		12.2%	16.4%	6.4%	100.0%

### 104

# TABLE 21B DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT APPLICANTS BY ELIGIBILITY STATUS AND INCOME RANGE AWARD PERIOD 1979-30

### DEPENDENT APPLICANTS

		IN	C O.W.E	RANGE	S				
	\$0- 4000	\$4,001- 7,500	\$7,501- 10,000	\$10,001- 12,000	\$12,001- 15,000	\$15,001~ 20,000	\$20,001-^ 30,000	\$30,001 AND UP	TOTAL
TOTAL QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	246,253	316,039 。	224,971	169,651	236,524	, 353,680	374,547	55,960	1,977,625
PERCENT OF TOTAL QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	12.5%	16.0%	11.4%	8.6%	12.0%	17.9%	18.9%	2.8%	100.0%
TOTAL APPLICANTS	248,236	322,594	236,633	183,476	264,667	427,223	620,898	251,584	2,555,311
PERCENT OF TOTAL APPLICANTS	9.7%	12.6%	9.3%	7.2%	10.4%	16.7%	. 24.3%	9.8%	100.0%

# TABLE 21C DISTRIBUTION OF BASIC GRANT APPLICANTS BY ELIGIBILITY STATUS AND INCOME RANGE AWARD PERIOD 1979-80

INDEPENDENT APPLICANTS

	INCOME RANGES								•
	\$0- 4000	\$4,001- 7,500 ,	\$7,501- 10,000	\$10,001- 12,000	\$12,001- 15,000	\$15,001- 20,000	\$20,001- 30,000	\$30,001 AND UP	. TOTAL
TOTAL QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	687,824	313,048	100,037	37,719	15,619	2938	270	22	1,157,477
PERCENT OF TOTAL QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	59.4%	27.0%	8.6%	3.3%	1.3%	0.3%	0.0%	o.ŏ%	0.0%
TOTAL APPLICANTS	690,688	363,898	145,437	70,694	66,941	59,729	34,136	6,649	1,438,172
PERCENT OF TOTAL APPLICANTS	48.0%	25.3%	10.1%	4.9%	4.7%	4.2%	2.3%	0.5%	100.0%

### **GLOSSARY OF TERMS**

### Basic Educational Opportunity Grant Program.

- ACT: American College Testing Program. Organization which collects information needed to determine student eligibility for various types of firescial aid, including Basic Grants. ACT is one of four sources through which extinuous can apply for a Basic Grant. (See MDE for list of other sources.)
- Alternate Disburgement Systems One of two methods by which Basic Grant swards are paid. Because of size or other factors which affect a school's ability to proceed average symmetry, a student is paid his or her Basic Grant directly by the Department of Education, instead of by the institution the student attends.
- Average Grants The sum of all great swards divided by the number of Besic Grant successful arts.
- Award Period: That period of time from July I of one year to June 30 of the next year. (The award period covered in this 1979-90 End-of-Year Report is July 1 1979 June 30, 1980).
- BEGG: Basic Educational Opportunity Grant. One of six major financial aid programs offered to assist individuals in funitaring their postsecondary education.
- Cities Column Percent. The rumbhr of responses in each rell within a column size percent of the total number of responses in the column.
- CSS: College Scholgehip Service. Organization which collects information nessed to determine at dent eligibility for various types of financial aid, including Secie Spants. CSS-is one of four sources through which an individual can copy for a Basic Grant. (See MDE for jot of other sources.)
- Central ad fretitutions This refers to whether an educational institution is public, private non-profit, or private profit-making.
- Dependent Recipients An individual receiving a Besic Educational
  Coportainty Grant us well as significant financia; support from his or her
  parent. To be accelered dependent, an individual must meet at least one
  of the following criteria. The individual must bayes
  - (1) lived with a parent for six weeks or more at any time during 1978, 79, or 80; ci

107.

- (2) been listed as an exemption on the parent's federal income tax form in either 1978, 79 or 80; or
- (3) received assistance worth more than \$750 from the parent at any time during 1978, 79, or 80.
- Educational Cost: The total cost of attending an institution offering

  postsecondary education course work for a full academic year. Factors
  included in calculating educational cost are: (1) tuition and fees; (2) living
  expenses, and (3) miscellaneous expenses, i.e., books, supplies.
- Expenditures: Funds awarded to Basic Grant recipients through either the regular or the alternate disbursement system for an award period.
- Expected Disbursament: The amount of money expected to be received by a Basic Grant recipient based on a student's SEI, educational costs and expected enrollment status. Expected disbursements do not necessarily correspond with actual grant expenditures.
- Family income: One of the primary factors considered in determining eligibility for a Basic Grant. In this report family income is considered to be the sum of a family's adjusted gross income, non-taxable income (including Social Security benefits), and one-half of any veteran's educational benefits received by the applicant.
- Grant Level: The amount of money expected to be received by a Basic.

  Grant recipient for a year. The grant level is based on the Student Eligibility Index (SEI), the educational cost and the enrollment status (full-time, 3/4 time, half-time). In general, educational costs must exceed the SEI by about 150 for a full-time student to qualify for the minimum award of \$200. For the lowest SEI of zero, the grant level may be half of the educational cost, subject to an overall maximum of \$1,800.
- 'Independent Recipient: An individual receiving a Basic Educational

  Opportunity Grant who is not dependent on his or her family for financial support. To be considered independent, an individual must not have:
  - (1) lived with a parent for more than six weeks in 1978, 79, or 80; or
  - (2) been listed as an exemption on the parent's income tax form for any one of the above years; or
  - (3) received financial assistance worth over \$750 from the parent any time during 1978, 79, or 80.



## Inctitútion: Schools participating in the Basic Educational Opportunity Grant Program. Institutions are classified in the following manner:

- Universities schools offering four or five year programs leading to an undergraduate degree, as well as programs leading to graduate degrees.
- Other Four Year schools offering programs leading to an undergraduate:degree only.
- Two Year eschools requiring two years of course work, and awarding a degree or a certificate of proficiency. (Often these are community colleges.)
- One Year But Less Than Two Years schools requiring course work of between one and two years; and awarding degrees or certificates of proficiency.
- Six Month But Less Than One Year schools requiring course work of between six months and one year, and awarding degrees or certificates of proficiency. (Often these are technical or secretarial schools.)
- Other aschools offering nontraditional education and not falling into one of the catagories above, but still meeting all requirements for participation in the Basic Grant Program; and schools participating in the Alternate Disbursement System (which may also fall in the above categories).

MDE: Multiple Data Entry. Process by which an individual can apply for a Basic Grant using any one of the following four different application forms:

- The College Scholarship Service's Financial Aid Form (FAF);
- The American College Testing Program's Family Financial Statement (FFS);
- The U.S. Department of Education's Application for Determination of Basic Grant Eligibility (BEOG);
- The Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency's Application for State Grant and Basic Grant (PHEAA).

MISAA: Middle-Income Student Assistance Act. Act passed by Congress in 1978 which expanded eligibility for Basic Grants. MISAA raised the allowable family income of student eligibility to receive a minimum grant from \$15,000 to \$25,000.



- N: Number. The number of applicants, recipients or other values found in each table cell.
- Net Asset Level: Estimated monetary value of an applicant's (or applicant's family if applicant is a dependent) property minus the applicant's liabilities. Factors considered in estimating net asset level include the value of the applicant's home, investments, business, cash and saving, farm, and debt.
- Non-Gualified Applicant: Individual who has submitted an official application for a Basic Grant but has been determined ineligible to receive a grant because of insufficient financial need. A non-qualified applicant will have a SEI greater than 1600:
- Official Applications The first application to be processed by the central processor.
- Payment Schedules: A table showing a full-time student's Scheduled Award for a given: award period. The Payment Schedule also includes the Disbursement Schedules: which are: tables showing the grant amounts three-quarter and half-time students would receive for an academic year.
- PHEAA: Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency. Organization which collects information needed to determine student eligibility for various type of financial aid. One of four sources through which an individual can apply for a Basic Grant. (See MDE for listing of other sources.)
- Gualified Applicant: Individual who has submitted an official application for a Basic Grant and has been determined eligible to receive a grant award. A qualified applicant has a SEI of 1600 or less.
- R%: Row Percent. The number of responses in each cell within a row as a percent of the total number of responses in the row.
- Regular Disbursement System: Method by which most students are paid Basic

  Grant awards. Institutions on the Regular Disbursement System receive funds during the year, with the amount of funds received based on the projected number of students attending the institution. Students then receive Basic Grant payments directly from the institution where they are enrolled:
- Scheduled Award: The amount that a full-time student enrolled for a full academic year is entitled to receive for a given year.



- SEI: Student Eligibility Index. Number given to applicant, based on applicant's financial strength as indicated by factors such as family income, net assets, and household size, which is combined with applicant's educational cost and enrollment status (full-time, 3/4 time, half-time) to determine applicant's grant level. For a given educational cost and enrollment status, a lower SEI results in a higher grant level.
- SER: Student Eligibility Report. A report provided to an applicant showing the amount of the applicant's expected family contribution. The applicant must submit an SER to the institution he or she plans to attend before a Basic Grant will be awarded.
- SVR: Student Validation Roster. Report filled out by institutions and returned to the Department of Education at the end of each fiscal year, which verifies each recipient's status and the actual amount paid to the recipient.
- Unofficial Application: Any Basic Grant application form or MDE record (other than a Supplemental Form) received by the central processor subsequent to processing the first application.
- Valid Application: An application received from an individual from which an SEI can be calculated.
- Validation: The process by which a small percentage of BEOG applicants is selected and required to present to their financial aid officer certain documents, such as Federal Income Tax Return 1040 or 1040A, and W-2 statements which confirm the accuracy of the information on the student's application form.

